

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, AUGUST 6, 1964, 8:30 A.M.

* * * * *

The regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by the Presiding Officer, Mayor W. W. McAllister, with the following members present: McALLISTER, DE LA GARZA, JONES, KAUFMAN, COCKRELL, GATTI, PADILLA, PARKER and BREMER.

The invocation was given by Miss Jane Horchen.

Minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

The scheduled hearing on the proposed annexation of 39.447 acres of land being part of Harmony Hills Subdivision Unit 4B, was called to order.

64-01

Planning Director Steve Taylor explained the proposed annexation which was requested by the owner.

No one asked to be heard concerning this annexation.

Mayor McAllister declared the hearing closed and announced that the Council would institute annexation proceedings on August 20, 1964.

First zoning case heard was Case No. 2148, to rezone Lots 18 and 19, NCB 7194, located on the north side of Olmos Drive, 50' east of Capitol Avenue, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Jones, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

64-02

AN ORDINANCE 32,628

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 18 AND 19, NCB 7194 FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2159, to rezone Lot 126, NCB 9313, located southeast of the intersection of S. W. Military Drive and Mango Avenue, from "C" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence explained the proposed change which carried the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion of Mr. Bremer, seconded by Dr. Parker, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

64-03

AN ORDINANCE 32,629

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 126, NCB 9313, FROM "C" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2189, to rezone Lot 31, NCB 7586, located southwest of the intersection of Dauchy Road and South Gevers Street, from "D" Apartment District to "F" Local Retail District.

The Assistant Planning Director explained the proposed change which carried the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the Planning Commission's recommendation was upheld by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

64-04

AN ORDINANCE 32,630

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 31, NCB 7586 FROM "D" APARTMENT DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2185, to rezone Lot 28, NCB 10946, triangular in shape, subject property is located northwest of the intersection of Utopia Lane and I. H. 37 Expressway, from "B" Residence District to "D" Apartment District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

AUG 6 1964

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion made by Mr. Bremer, seconded by Dr. Parker, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSTAINED: Jones; ABSENT: None.

64-05

AN ORDINANCE 32,631

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 28, NCB 10946, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "D" APARTMENT DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Case No. 2190 was next heard to rezone Lot 16, NCB 11189, located on the west side of Palo Alto Road, 100' south of Cree Street, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence explained the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion of Mr. Gatti, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the change in zoning, as recommended by the Planning Commission, was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Jones, Kaufman and Parker.

64-06

AN ORDINANCE 32,632

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 16, NCB 11189 FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2191, to rezone Lot 51, NCB 11532, located southeast of the intersection of Bandera Road and Broadview Drive, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District; and Lot 52, NCB 11532, located on the east side of Broadview Drive, 170' southwest of Bandera Road, from "A" Residence District to "B" Residence District.

Mr. Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

AUG 6 1963

On motion of Mr. Bremer, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

64-07

AN ORDINANCE 32,633

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 51, NCB 11532 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT; AND LOT 52, NCB 11532, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Case No. 2175 was heard next, to rezone Lot 5, Blk 6, NCB 10941, located on the west side of Goliad Road, between Avondale Avenue and Montrose Avenue, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion made by Dr. Parker, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Cockrell, Gatti, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Kaufman and Padilla.

64-08

AN ORDINANCE 32,634

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 5 BLK 6, NCB 10941, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

64-09 Next heard was case No. 2188, to rezone Lot 11, NCB 11675, located on the west side of West Avenue, 250' south of Glen Ivy Drive, from "D" Apartment District to "JJ" Commercial District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Cunningham, the applicant, was not present.

Mrs. Gertrude Williamson, 103 Birchwood Drive, opposed the change in zone because she felt an automobile junk yard would depreciate the value of

AUG 6 1964

her property besides being unsightly.

Mr. Gatti explained that the property just south of the subject tract was zoned "JJ" and would allow many different and undesirable type businesses to operate.

Mrs. Williamson stated she had not known this.

Mrs. Stanley, 2202 Spicewood, Mr. John Lackey, 102 Birchwood, Mr. Bill Goodale, 103 Shadyview, all opposed rezoning and complained of weeds growing on City property adjacent to the Railroad right-of-way, and inquired how they could get the adjoining tract rezoned from "JJ" to perhaps "D" Apartment in order to protect this area.

Mayor McAllister asked City Manager Shelley to check into the weed problem on City-owned property and explained to the opponents present that they could meet with the Planning Department immediately after the meeting and make application to rezone the adjoining property from "JJ" to a more suitable classification.

After further discussion, and on motion made by Mr. de la Garza, to overrule the recommendation of the Planning Commission, seconded by Mr. Jones, the rezoning was denied by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer.

Next heard was Case No 2176, to rezone Lot 4, NCB 11683, located on the west side of West Avenue, 720' north of Mackey Drive, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Joe Anderson, Attorney for the Applicant, Mr. Christopher, stated his client was going to build a self service auto wash on this property which would be a definite asset to West Avenue.

Mrs. Hausman, owner of the property on the north, opposed the rezoning because this type of business would draw undesirable people at all times of the day and night. She stated that she owned 260' frontage on West Avenue and did not intend to sell any portion of it for business.

After further discussion, and on motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission to rezone this property was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: McAllister.

64-10

AN ORDINANCE 32,635

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 4, NCB 11683, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

AUG 6 1964
5

Next heard was Case No. 2207, to rezone Lots 20 and 21, NCB 9727, located on the north side of S. W. Military Drive, between I. H. 35 (Panam Expressway) and Logwood Avenue, from "F" Local Retail District to "H" Local Retail District.

Mr. Burt Lawrence, Assistant Planning Director, explained the proposed change, which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

The applicant in the case, Mr. Austin Hemphill, stated he was going to build a 20 x 55 rigid frame building that would take the place of the open building now on this lot.

Mr. Sam J. Pawelik, 1104 Rayburn, stated he opposed the rezoning as he wanted to know just what Mr. Hemphill was going to do about property he owned on Rayburn, adjacent to the present tract.

Mr. Hemphill assured Mr. Pawelik that he would try to purchase all the property on the south side of Rayburn Street and would definitely offer to buy Mr. Pawelik's property. After further discussion, and on motion made by Dr. Parker, seconded by Mr. Bremer, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSTAINING: de la Garza, ABSENT: Gatti.

64-11

AN ORDINANCE 32,636

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 20 AND 21, NCB 9727, FROM "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT TO "H" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2165, to rezone Lot 42, NCB 11875, located northwest of the intersection of Ridgcrest Drive and Broadway, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District; and Lot 43, NCB 11875, located on the north side of Ridgcrest Drive, 176.43' west of Broadway from "A" Residence District to "E" Office District.

Mr. Burt Lawrence, Assistant Planning Director, explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion of Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AUG 6 1964

64012

AN ORDINANCE 32,637

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 42, NCB 11875, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT; AND LOT 43, NCB 11875 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "E" OFFICE DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Case No. 2107 was heard next to rezone the south 1/2 of Lot 1, NCB 3942, located northeast of the intersection of Hildebrand Avenue and Santa Paula Avenue, from "B" Residence District to "E" Office District.

Mr. Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Ralph Perryman, owner and applicant, stated the general trend on Hildebrand is going commercial and that he had sufficient parking for his business.

No one spoke in opposition.

After further discussion and on motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Gatti.

64-13

AN ORDINANCE 32,638

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS THE SOUTH 1/2 OF LOT 1, NCB 3942, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "E" OFFICE DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Next heard was Case No. 2179, to rezone Lots 31 and 32, NCB 11928, located northwest of the intersection of Nottingham Place and Broadway, from "A" Residence District to "D" Apartment District; and Lot 30, NCB 11928, located on the west side of Broadway, 242' south of Lorenz Road, from "A" Residence District to "E" Office District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

AUG 6 1964

On motion made by Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Kaufman, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Gatti.

64-14

AN ORDINANCE 32,639

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 31 AND 32, NCB 11928 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "D" APARTMENT DISTRICT; AND LOT 30, NCB 11928 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "E" OFFICE DISTRICT.

* * * * *

64-15 Case No. 2164 was heard next to rezone Lot 3, NCB 10285, located southeast of the intersection of "F" Street and Twohig Avenue, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the recommendation of the Planning Commission to deny rezoning. He stated since this was an appeal case it would take seven affirmative votes to overrule the recommendation for denial.

The Applicant, Mr. Flannigan, was not present although he had been requested to be present.

No one spoke in opposition.

Mr. Bremer made a motion to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission to deny the rezoning. Seconded by Mr. Kaufman, the motion was approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

64-16 Case No. 2180 was heard next to rezone Tract "F" and the northeast irregular 157.5' of Tract "G", NCB 12180, located on the south side of Austin Highway, 622.8' southwest of Bobby Lou Drive, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Walter Kuraner, attorney for the applicant, Mrs. Alice Schulz, stated the Austin Highway from Alamo Heights on east was a continuous succession of different types of businesses and he saw no reason why the entire tract could not be zoned "F" Local Retail. If the Planning Commission's recommendation was upheld the back of this tract would be entirely useless.

AUG 5 1964

After a lengthy discussion by the Council, Case No. 2180 was referred back to the Planning Commission to work out a suitable buffer zone to the rear of this tract.

Last case to be heard was Case No. 2152, to rezone Lot 29, NCB 11715, located northwest of the intersection of Lorene Lane and San Pedro Avenue; and Lot 23, NCB 11714, which forms the corner west of the intersection of Lorene Lane and the cut-off between San Pedro Avenue and Loop 410, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Mr. Lawrence briefed the Council on the proposed change as recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. John Shaw, attorney for both applicants, stated the property is very valuable and the only real opposition was from the Catholic Chancery Office adjoining this property. Mr. Shaw understood that their opposition was based on an undesirable business being built and perhaps ruining their view.

Mrs. B. Catto, owner and applicant, who also owns six (6) acres adjoining on the west where she has an expensive home said she did not want to deteriorate her property by building something that would detract from her home.

In answer to Mr. Gatti's question, Mrs. E. L. Coble said she planned an interior decorating shop at Lot 29. Mr. Alfred Rhode of Rhode Real Estate, stated he represented one of the adjoining owners who had paid \$35,000 for one acre of land a few years ago as an investment and his client was very interested in seeing that the property being considered, be zoned "F" as recommended, because this was the most desirable use for the property in this area. Mr. Rhode pointed out that there have been numerous sales in this area and that San Pedro being one of the heaviest traveled arteries in the city makes this property suitable only for commercial use and the value of this property is such that only a suitable business in keeping with the neighborhood could be built.

Mr. Richard Wood, attorney for the Catholic Chancery, stated that they opposed the rezoning because they did not know what type of business was going on the property as "F" Local Retail allowed, many types of business that would be objectionable.

Mr. Lowry assured the Council that they would not have any type business that would not be acceptable to the Chancery.

Mrs. Irvin and Mrs. Bucche, both owners of property on Lorene Drive, opposed the rezoning because they feared their property values would be forced down if a suitable building and business is not established.

On motion made by Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Dr. Parker, the recommendation of the Planning Commission to grant the change in zone was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: Cockrell, ABSENT: None.

AUG 6 1964

AN ORDINANCE 32,640

64-17

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 29, NCB 11715; AND LOT 23, NCB 11714 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

* * * * *

Mr. Al Tripp, Purchasing Agent, explained the following ordinances, and on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

64-18

AN ORDINANCE 32,641

AUTHORIZING THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO PURCHASE CERTAIN ITEMS OF SCHOOL SAFETY PATROL EQUIPMENT FROM THE ALAMO MOTOR CLUB FOR THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$1,612.77.

* * * * *

64-19

AN ORDINANCE 32,642

ACCEPTING THE ATTACHED LOW QUALIFIED BID OF O. R. MITCHELL MOTORS TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH THREE PICK-UP TRUCKS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$4,437.00.

* * * * *

Mayor McAllister stated that at the last Council meeting the members of the Council went on record by resolution regarding their stand on a request to pass an ordinance regarding discrimination that would be illegal without an enabling act from the Legislature. Since Gov. Collins, former Governor of Florida, has been appointed Director of Community Relations, under the Civil Rights Bill a committee would be appointed, which would work without authority and voluntarily with the Director on civil rights matters.

The Clerk read the following resolution:

A RESOLUTION

64-20

CREATING A COMMUNITY RELATIONS COMMISSION AND NAMING THE MEMBERS THEREOF.

* * * * *

WHEREAS, a resolution adopted July 23, 1964, by the City Council stated the intention to name a local Community Relations Commission to cooperate with the Federal Agencies created by the Civil Rights Act of 1964; NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO:

AUG 6 1964

SECTION 1. A Community Relations Commission is hereby created to carry on the purposes declared in the Resolution adopted by this Council on July 23, 1964, which stated that such Commission would be named.

SECTION 2. The following persons are hereby designated as members of such Commission to serve for a term ending August 1, 1965:

C. Don Baugh, Chairman
Valmo C. Bellinger
Everett Jennings
James E. Taylor, Jr.
Oscar Miller
General John Foster
Mike Passur
Dr. Herbert Calderon

SECTION 3. The City Clerk is directed to send copies of this Resolution to the persons and agencies named in the aforementioned Resolution of July 23, 1964.

PASSED AND APPROVED this 6th day of August, 1964.

/s/ W. W. McAllister
MAYOR

ATTEST: /s/ James C. Kenny
Assistant City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM: /s/ Sam Wolf
City Attorney

On motion made by Mr. Gatti, seconded by Mr. Kaufman, the resolution was approved for passage by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla, Parker and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

Mayor McAllister thanked Miss Jane Horchen and the other young ladies for attending and said he was always glad to see young people interested in their government.

64-27 The City Clerk was directed to prepare a letter expressing the wish of the City Council for a speedy recovery of Judge Charles Anderson.

The Clerk read the following letter:

AUG 6 1964

August 6, 1964

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

The following petitions were received and forwarded to the office of the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

- 64-27 7-28-64 Petition of Mr. V. V. Bryant, requesting permission to extend the height of the back fence at 102 Camellia Street to a height of seven feet.
- 64-27 7-30-64 Petition of Jesse Orta, et al, requesting the City to install a street light at the intersection of Peche Alley and Sabinas Street, in order to eliminate cars parking there at night, beer bottles and trash being thrown into private yards, fear of possible burglars and trespassing, and disturbance of the peace by a group of boys who gather there.

Sincerely,

/s/ J. H. Inselmann
City Clerk

- - -
The above listed ordinances are listed in full in Ordinance Book PP.
- - -

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.
- - -

A P P R O V E D :

W. McAllister
MAYOR

ATTEST:

J. H. Inselmann
C I T Y C L E R K

AUG 6 1964