

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON  
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 1973.

\* \* \* \*

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A. M. by the presiding officer, Mayor John Gatti, with the following members present: HABERMAN, HILL, BECKER, HILLIARD, MENDOZA, NAYLOR, PADILLA, GATTI; Absent: CALDERON.

73-9 The invocation was given by Reverend James Morgan, Calles Street Church of God.

73-9 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

73-9 The minutes of the meeting of February 15, 1973, were approved.

73-9 PRESENTATION OF ENGINEERING POSTER CONTEST AWARDS

Mayor Gatti called on Mr. Jerry Ratzlaff, Chairman of the Engineering Week Observance.

Mr. Ratzlaff stated that each year in connection with Engineering Week they sponsor a High School Poster Contest. He said that the winners were present and asked the Mayor to make the presentation of the awards.

Mayor Gatti congratulated the winners and presented awards as follows:

FIRST AWARD: Durkee P. Johnson  
Sam Houston High School  
\$100.00 Savings Bond

SECOND AWARD: Miss Kathleen Balog  
Oliver Wendell Holmes High School  
\$50.00 Savings Bond

THIRD AWARD: Miss Patricia Villareal  
Harlandale High School  
\$25.00 Savings Bond

73-9 Mayor Gatti was obliged to leave the meeting and Mayor Pro-Tem Haberman presided.

73-9 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Naylor; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Mendoza, Calderon, Padilla, Gatti.

February 22, 1973  
nsr

## AN ORDINANCE 41,847

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF SCM ALLIED  
EGRY BUSINESS SYSTEMS TO FURNISH THE  
CITY WITH CERTAIN PRINTED FORMS  
(APPRAISAL SHEETS) FOR A NET TOTAL OF  
\$3,099.00. (TAX OFFICE)

\* \* \* \*

## AN ORDINANCE 41,848

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF OVIDIO FLORES  
SR. PLASTICS CONTRACTOR TO FURNISH THE  
CITY WITH CERTAIN CANOPY COVERS FOR A  
TOTAL SUM OF \$4,260.00. (GOLIAD FOOD  
CLUSTER)

\* \* \* \*

73-9

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

## AN ORDINANCE 41,849

ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID OF ALLRIGHT  
AUTO PARKS, INC., FOR OPERATION OF  
THE SAN ANTONIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT  
PARKING CONCESSION FOR A PERIOD OF  
FIVE YEARS, COMMENCING APRIL 1, 1973.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, stated that Allright Auto Parks submitted the best bid which met specifications and will pay the City 94.4 percent of the gross receipts. The rates charged are set by the City. The contract is audited by the Internal Audit Division of the City.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Dr. Hilliard, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Naylor, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Mendoza, Calderon, Gatti.

73-9

Mayor Gatti returned to the meeting and presided.

73-9

The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mr. Becker, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Mendoza; ABSENT: Calderon.

## AN ORDINANCE 41,850

AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASING OF REPAIR  
PARTS FOR YANKEE WALTERS CRASH TRUCK  
FROM WALTER MOTOR TRUCK COMPANY FOR  
A TOTAL SUM OF \$1,152.28.  
(INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT)

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,851

CLOSING AND ABANDONING A PORTION OF MOCKINGBIRD ROAD, WISCONSIN AVENUE AND SUNONITE ROAD AND A 15-FOOT ALLEY AND AUTHORIZING A QUITCLAIM DEED TO H. B. ZACHRY PROPERTIES, INC., IN EXCHANGE FOR THE NECESSARY RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR THE RELOCATION OF CERTAIN STREETS.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,852

APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$121,870.00 OUT OF VARIOUS FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING TITLE TO 37.6 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE BABCOCK ROAD WIDENING PROJECT, THE QUINTANA ROAD GRADE SEPARATION PROJECT, THE WALTERS-MOORE STREET PROJECT, THE NORTHWEST SERVICE CENTER AND MULTI-USE SITE PROJECT, AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING EASEMENTS TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE FOREST SUBDIVISION OFF-SITE SEWER MAIN PROJECT; AND ACCEPTING THE DEDICATION OF EASEMENTS TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE EXPRESSWAY INDUSTRIAL PARK SEWER OUTFALL PROJECT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,853

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$1,242.65 TO THE BAPTIST MEMORIAL HOSPITAL COVERING HOSPITAL CARE OF MRS. SULEMA MARTINEZ, A HEALTH DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE, WHO WAS INJURED WHILE ON DUTY.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 41,854

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE TEXAS HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT PERTAINING TO CONSTRUCTION

50  
28  
OF A PLANKED RAILROAD CROSSING  
ON MONTANA STREET AT THE SOUTHERN  
PACIFIC RAILROAD.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, stated that this item is a minor part of the Montana Street Project. The work will be done by the railroad, and paid for by the Texas Highway Department, but the City needs to enter into this agreement. To a question, he explained that to his knowledge there is no modern equipment which has been developed which would improve on plank railroad crossings.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Becker, seconded by Mr. Hill, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

73-9

IMPROVEMENT OF LOOP 410

Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, stated that the Texas Highway Department opened bids on the improvement of Loop 410 from San Pedro to Harry Wurzbach Road. Allen Construction Company of San Antonio was the apparent low bidder with a bid of \$4.5 million. This project will include the building of two new bridges between Broadway and the airport. This will allow much of the traffic to be put on the frontage roads. The widening of Loop 410 will take place in the center. Construction will take about a year to complete, and this will, of course, cause some inconvenience to the motoring public.

73-9 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,855

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE  
AN AGREEMENT WITH THE TEXAS HIGHWAY  
DEPARTMENT PERTAINING TO THE CONSTRUCTION  
OF A PARKING AREA UNDER I. H. 37 BETWEEN  
10TH STREET AND JONES AVENUE.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,856

AUTHORIZING AN INCREASE IN THE OPERATING  
BUDGET OF THE CITY'S IMMUNIZATION  
MAINTENANCE PROJECT, APPROPRIATING FUNDS  
THEREFOR, AND ACCEPTING A GRANT FOR SAID  
PROJECT FROM THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION AND WELFARE, PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICES.

\* \* \* \*

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 41,857

LEVYING AN AD VALOREM TAX FOR THE SUPPORT OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO; LEVYING A TAX TO SUPPORT THE CITY-COUNTY T. B. CONTROL BOARD; LEVYING A TAX TO PAY THE INTEREST ON THE FUNDED DEBT OF SAID CITY, AND TO CREATE A SINKING FUND THEREFOR; AND FIXING THE TAX RATE AT \$1.89 PER \$100.00 OF VALUATION, ALL SAID TAXES BEING LEVIED FOR THE TAX YEAR BEGINNING JUNE 1, 1972 AND ENDING MAY 31, 1973.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Carl White, Director of Finance, explained that this Ordinance officially sets the tax rate which provides for the budget which was adopted in August, 1972. Of the \$1.89 rate, \$1.3370 is for the General Fund; \$.0130 is for support of the City-County T. B. Control Board; and \$.5400 is for the interest and sinking fund for payment of principal and interest on outstanding tax bonds. He stated this is the same rate that the City has established since 1968.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Becker, seconded by Mr. Hill, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote:  
 AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti;  
 NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 41,858

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN APPLICATION FOR A \$10,000.00 GRANT FROM THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, TO BE USED FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADVERTISING THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO IN CERTAIN NEWSPAPERS AND MAGAZINES IN MEXICO IN RELATION TO AN INTERNATIONAL PROMOTION PROGRAM.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Al Tripp, Director of the Convention and Visitors Bureau, stated this Ordinance authorizes an application for \$10,000.00 Grant from the U. S. Department of Commerce to advertise San Antonio in the Mexico City and Monterrey newspapers and magazines over a three month period. The total cost of the project is \$20,000.00 with the City paying 50 percent.

Mr. Tripp introduced Miss Sharon Eason, Manager of the Visitors Relations Division.

Miss Eason stated that the total cost is due to some 25 to 30 full page color insertions in leading Mexico City and Monterrey newspapers and magazines.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mrs. Haberman, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. William T. Donahue, Associate City Manager for Social Services, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mr. Becker, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,859

APPROVING AN OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YOUTH SERVICES PROJECT OF THE MODEL CITIES PROGRAM, APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFOR, ACCEPTING A GRANT FOR SUCH PROJECT FROM THE TEXAS CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL, WITH CONTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE FROM MODEL CITIES FUNDS AND LOCAL FUNDS, AND DIRECTING SUSPENSION OF THE PRESENT PROJECT FUNDING FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, AS OF FEBRUARY 28, 1973, SUCH FUNDING TO BE REPLACED BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL GRANT.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The Clerk read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION  
NO. 73-9-13

DECLARING IT TO BE THE INTENT OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, IN THE EXERCISE OF ITS REGULATORY AUTHORITY OVER THE AREA, THAT A PETITION SHOULD BE GRANTED FOR THE INCLUSION OF CERTAIN LAND IN SAN ANTONIO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1.

\* \* \* \*

The following discussion took place:

MAYOR JOHN GATTI: Before we proceed with this Resolution, I have a statement that I would like to make. This Resolution has to do with the development of the San Antonio Ranch. This Council, I guess a year ago, voted to provide all of the City's, not resources, but I guess resources and gave their approbation to this development.

February 22, 1973  
nsr

Now we come with an application for a Municipal Utility District which is important, as far as I can determine, to the continued development of the San Antonio Ranch. So, I would say that the Council in approving the Ranch would have a certain degree of responsibility to see to it that whatever necessary implementation is needed to continue with its development should give very serious consideration to the passage of this Municipal Utility District.

Now there have been a number of comments concerning this. There has been a lot of discussion about the appropriateness or the inappropriateness of this type of utility district. So I did want to make that statement because as I mentioned the Council is on record as being in favor of the San Antonio Ranch development. Now there are here three people who would like to be heard concerning this Resolution. First, is Mr. Robert Buchanan.

MR. ROBERT BUCHANAN: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. My name is Robert Buchanan. I am a private citizen, and I represent no group.

MAYOR GATTI: Incidentally, the standard procedure is five minutes to discuss this.

MR. BUCHANAN: I am opposed to the creation of a Municipal Utility District. This, basically, is from the experience that one can see out of Harris County. To me it creates a boondoggle for a developer at the expense of the taxpayer or the homeowner. I can't see why the Council, even though it has in the past approved the development of Ranch Town, has to inflict upon its citizens, maybe or maybe not, more likely maybe at a future time down the line, an expense that could, if I am correct cost \$25 million. I feel that it would just open the door that would plague future Councils because one just can't create one Municipal Utility District. Somebody else is going to want to do it also. It seems that the Council, this present Council, in other areas especially with the Water Board jurisdiction and all is trying to rectify past mistakes or decisions by past Councils, that are plaguing this Council. It also is my opinion that the State Legislature is investigating Municipal Utility Districts and that reports that dollar-wise it makes Sharpstown look like penny-ante operations and with conflicts of interest with equal, I would say, the public attitudes for political body. I also feel that the Council should represent and heed citizens more than certain developers. We had, I believe, about a week or a week and a half of knowledge of the creation of a Municipal Utility District. It was brought up to the best of my knowledge at Plan B Session last week, was supposed to be at the Plan A but was postponed and is now at this one. I certainly don't see why the rush to create this is so necessary when other departments of the State, especially the Texas Water Quality Control Board has now dropped its support at the present of Ranch Town in lieu of the suit in Federal Court against it by other groups that I am not a member of. I just wish to register my views on this, and I thank you for your time.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, sir. Mr. Terry McDonald. Miss, I am sorry.

MISS TERRY McDONALD: My name is Terry McDonald and today I represent the Coalition of four organizations which are opposed to San Antonio Ranch Town. They are Citizens for a Better Environment, the Sierra Club, League of Women Voters and the American Association of University Women. My comments will be brief. I want to make it absolutely clear that we are not, as organizations, for or against New Town. We are not for or against MUD in general. We are committed, however, to the protection of San Antonio's water supply. Our water supply comes from the Edwards

Underground Reservoir. We are against the location of San Antonio Ranch on the recharge zone of the Aquifer. We are against the City of San Antonio further reinforcing special interests of San Antonio Ranch Development at the cost of San Antonio's future environmental qualities. We are against this MUD contract.

It is ironic that this week has been proclaimed Aquifer Week. This Sunday an Aqua-Fair will be held at La Villita sponsored by Citizens for a Better Environment. It's a celebration of the natural resources this area has been blessed with. It's ironic that this MUD proposal coincides with Aquifer Week. I would like to paraphrase what Henry Gonzalez said in the paper - how he was quoted in the paper last night, "I would like to save San Antonio from a MUD fly." We would like to hope to save our water from MUD.

It's significant also that yesterday the Texas Water Quality Control Board announced withdrawal from the suit now pending in Federal Court in support of Ranch Town. They have withdrawn their support in Court. We strongly urge you not to enter into the MUD contract. San Antonio stands at a cross road. We need economic development, but we do not need economic development at the irreparable cost to the environmental qualities of this area. It's a joke to put 90,000 people on the recharge zone. It's a joke to superimpose an additional government entity between the citizens and the protection of an environmentally sensitive and fragile area, and we don't think it's a bit funny. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Mr. Paul Kinnison, Jr.

MR. PAUL KINNISON, JR.: Mr. Mayor, Mrs. Haberman, Councilmen, I am Paul Kinnison. I am an architect, and I represent no groups. It's my opinion that public utilities and facilities should be used to determine settlement plans. I also, in some times past, served as a member of the Bandera Expressway Study Panel. At that time, it was conservatively estimated that to build the Bandera Expressway, would cost in the neighborhood of a 100 million dollars. It since has been talked to building a boulevard type transportation facility along the Culebra corridor. Conservatively again, I think that would cost somewhere in the neighborhood of 50 million dollars. In my opinion, I think that the approval of the proposal for MUD at this time is premature. The Texas Highway Department along with the City Traffic Department, as I understand it, is presently studying alternatives to the Bandera Expressway and the corridor. It is my understanding also, that the developer of the San Antonio Ranch has indicated that there is a partnership, or joint effort, between the In-Town development and San Antonio Ranch. To have a good development of San Antonio Ranch, it would be my opinion that you would have to have some type of transportation corridor to make the thing successful. Therefore, I would assume we should try to solve some of the problems such as transportation prior to the approval of a proposal for MUD. If we approve the MUD at this time, or the City approves the proposal for MUD at this time, it seems that it would be paramount to approval of a transportation facility in that part of the City. Now, this, in my opinion, would further obligate not only the City to assume this bond indebtedness created by the Utility District but would also assume that the City is going to vote, and I would assume we would have to have a bond issue to have a transportation corridor such as the Bandera Expressway. I understand, and I have heard at other meetings that there are some 400 square miles of undeveloped land between Loop 410 and the Farm Market Road 1604 around the City. That land, if utilized properly, could sustain the present growth rate of San Antonio for the next 150 years and increase its property tax base 25 times from what it is now. It would seem to me that this would

be a much more sensible approach than development of the San Antonio Ranch. I had in trying to decide my stand concerning the MUD, I asked several questions: Who will the MUD benefit? Will it benefit the citizens of San Antonio? The existing cities? It is hard for me to answer that question in a positive way. It seems to me that the only people that MUD would benefit would be the developer of the San Antonio Ranch New Town. I don't think, this is my opinion, that it would benefit the citizens of San Antonio.

Again, and I close, will the approval of this MUD proposal solve or help solve any of the citizens' problems related to housing, health, flood controls, central business district revitalization, neighborhood street improvements, public transportation, water resource protection, environmental protection, et cetera. In my opinion, it would not. Thank you very much.

DR. ROBERT L. M. HILLIARD: Several speakers have appeared here to state that they are opposed to San Antonio Ranch. I think, many of you know I was as much opposed to the Ranch as anybody, but that's a dead issue as far as the Council is concerned. The Council voted to approve the Ranch, and I think that the will of the minority cannot forfeit the majority's. The issue today is whether or not we have a MUD and not whether we have a Ranch as far as we are voting today.

MAYOR GATTI: Mr. Bob Hontz.

MR. BOB HONTZ: Let me quickly touch on the points that dealt with MUD and not the questions of whether there should be a San Antonio Ranch or not. The first speaker mentioned the MUD in Harris County and around Harris County and obviously the types of conditions that your City staff and the developer have included in this MUD place. This MUD is in a different type of category. Show me a MUD in and around Harris County with those conditions, and you'll see frankly, there are none. With respect to the State Legislature and investigations allegedly taking place there about doing away with MUD - there is not a bill introduced in the State Legislature to abolish Municipal Utilities Districts. There are, however, bills to adopt many of the conditions that we have voluntarily agreed to accept in negotiations with the City. With respect to no Public notice, those speaking against the MUD, I'm sure have had an opportunity to read the addendum in the environmental statement which was circulated last August which discussed the Municipal Utility District, in fact, their own plaintiff's law suit discusses that Municipal Utility District.

With respect to the Texas Water Quality Board withdrawing from the suit, that's a surprise to the Texas Water Quality Board and a surprise to us. They have tightened their pleadings to the constitutional and legislative issues involved that remain in the suit. With all respect to the question of Water quality, I think it's important to note that the standards that are being adopted by the developer and by the MUD if it should be approved are higher with respect to sanitary sewage than the City's own standards. And let me ask on the question of the Bandera Expressway, I'm not sure how the MUD is involved with that, there is and are existing transportation corridors that are more than suited both to meet the Ranch's needed and ( ? ) needs.

There are about nine arguments that might be used against MUD. The City would have to assume the debts of the MUD in a way that is disproportionate to their policy. The conditions are designed to take care of that. The developer assumes those should you collapse it. The standards of improvement if low would be the City's legacy. The standard of improvements must be approved by your same City staff

that approved the ones in the City. Unreasonably high interest rates could worry you. There is a control factor there. Secretive financial and accounting procedures should worry you in any MUD. There is a provision to allow the City to investigate those and to file public audits. Unreasonably high professional fees should worry you, and there is a provision that we must conform with the State standard with respects to those fees. An uncollapsible MUD should worry you. Where you have no option to collapse it, this once can be collapsible at the City's option. An undemocratic MUD should worry you. This MUD will elect, as in the case of MUD, will elect starting with the second year its board of directors and continue to do so. Establishing a competitive alternative water supply is something that a MUD should worry you. In this case it cannot happen because we will be purchasing our water, with the exception of irrigation water, from the City Water Board, and we will not permit private utility lines. If there are other questions the Council may have, we'll be glad to answer them. Mr. Frank Booth is here also to handle any legal aspects of the questions. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

MR. CHARLES L. BECKER: What are the conditions upon which the City actually has a liability in this MUD?

MR. HONTZ: Mr. Councilman, as you know, it's our proposal that the Municipal Utility District serve as both interim and long-term govern needs for San Antonio Ranch. Meaning before you annex and afterwards it would provide the basis to assess our own citizens for those costs that are above and those services that are higher than those that the City of San Antonio may want to provide their own people. The circumstances under which the City might assume debt would be those when it would annex the entire MUD and elect to collapse it. At that point the developer is committed in a contractual form which has been approved by the City to assume those costs of the MUD which your normal developers' policies would have had us assume in the first place. Therefore, you stand to pick up your off-site utility costs and on a basis without refund to us and on a basis that actually ends up costing you less and on a longer term basis than if we were to proceed under standard developers' policy and have your refund the money to us in a seven year period.

MR. BECKER: Actually, then one of the things you're saying, then, unless the City were to elect to annex San Antonio Ranch or any part of it.....

MR. HONTZ: All of it. It would require all of it.

MR. BECKER: All right. It would not then ever incur an obligation or a liability with respect to MUD. Is that correct?

MR. HONTZ: That's correct. In fact the City could elect to annex all but an acre - gain all of the tax base of the Ranch - and still not collapse it leaving themselves with a liability.

MAYOR GATTI: Okay. We don't have anyone else signed to speak. The Council have any other questions? I want to repeat what Dr. Hilliard said so ably. As everyone knows, I was more than passively opposed to the San Antonio Ranch, but I lost and, this being a democratic society and the Council giving its approbation, I can't see how we can discuss the practicably of the Ranch at this stage of the game. We've already by six to three vote said it was a good idea for the City. So with that last statement call the roll.

MAYOR GATTI: Do you have a motion?

MR. ED HILL: Well, first let me say, Mr. Mayor, I think it should be said for those that are present, that in drawing up this Resolution establishing a Municipal Utility District has been thoroughly gone over by the staff from the sewer installation and treatment of sewage and what not and, as far as I'm personally concerned, I have never seen a contract, so-to-speak, with any developer with the controls that are in this Resolution. Be at this Council or the next, or the next, or the next, San Antonio Ranch cannot do anything without first getting it approved by the City Council of the City of San Antonio. This, as far as I'm concerned, is one of the best controls that the City of San Antonio has ever had on any development and with that, I so move.

MR. LEO MENDOZA: I second.

MR. PADILLA: Discussion, Mr. Mayor. I would like to discuss.

MAYOR GATTI: Discuss.

MR. PADILLA: Thank you, sir. I'd like to make several statements here. First of all, I thoroughly agree with the previous comments that we're not here to discuss San Antonio Ranch. The Council set policy on that before I came aboard. I have not been able to develop sufficient information about this MUD to satisfy me that this is something that we need for the people of San Antonio. Beyond that and because I have not been able to develop this information last week I asked for a staff report. Now, it's my understanding that staff has participated in the drawing up of this Resolution and the drawing up of the proposal for this MUD, but I have not, for one, seen anything from staff indicating any sort of a recommendation or a so-to-speak taking this thing apart and pointing out the pros and the cons in any orderly sort of way.

I am very much concerned that this MUD can very well be a vehicle for using public monies to finance private projects. I have not established that in all fairness to everyone, but I am very much concerned that in a sense, like the well-intentioned Dr. Frankenstein this Council is getting ready to create a monster that will feed on the tax money of the people of San Antonio. I want to be perfectly clear that because I have not been able to develop enough good reason why I could support this, I cannot at this time. I'd like to remind the Council once again that we have not received the staff report that we asked for last week. As an example, I pointed out last week in the Resolution that was presented in Section 6, paragraph A and I'd like to quote for a moment it says: "The district shall be created by the general laws of the Texas applicable to the Municipal Utility Districts including without limitation of those concurred by Chapter 54 titled for Water Codes," and this is the important point, "but if any provision of general law is in conflict or inconsistent with a special provision listed below, the special provisions listed below shall prevail over those contained in Chapter 54." Now this says that we, in this contract or in this Resolution, are going to prevail over the State law. I'm not an attorney but we cannot do that. I asked Howard Walker about it last week, and he replied that he was not in concurrence with this and yet it's still in here. Now, this is just one example of the lack of clarity in this MUD as I see it, and I'm going to recommend strongly to the Council that we vote against it.

MAYOR GATTI: We have a motion and a second. Any more discussion?

MR. BECKER: Does Mr. Booth ..... would it be in order for Mr. Booth to answer Mr. Padilla.....?

(SEVERAL TALKING AT ONCE)

MAYOR GATTI: Call the roll.

On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Resolution, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Gatti; NAYS: Padilla; ABSENT: Calderon.

73-9 After a five minute recess, the meeting reconvened at 10:35 A.M.

73-9 PUBLIC HEARING ON REVENUE SHARING BUDGET

MAYOR JOHN GATTI: As announced, we are delighted to have the citizens here this morning to discuss their attitudes concerning Revenue Sharing. I would like to repeat the comments I've made at least a dozen times, concerning the very, very big problem the City has as a result of the cutback and elimination of many, many federal programs. What has happened now is sort of a reverse of prior periods when the government decided who would get the money. Now, they are asking us to decide who won't get it, which is the very friendly approach for the federal government to take. I hope everyone realizes that to my knowledge there's at least 35 to 40 million dollars in federal programs that are going to be eliminated and with that we are going to try to spend a little over 8 million to the best possible way. So, we will be very pleased to listen to all of you and get your input and then a decision will be made at some future date. The first .....

CITY MANAGER LOYD HUNT: Excuse me, Mayor.

MAYOR GATTI: You want to have a little .....

CITY MANAGER HUNT: I thought as we do normally when we have the budget hearing, we usually try to give you a small resume of what we've done in putting it in budget form and so on. If you'd like that today?

MAYOR GATTI: Well, that's all right, fine. Who's going to do that?

CITY MANAGER HUNT: I asked Bob Macdonald just to speak about a minute on the more or less outlines of the act itself and then to have Carl White give you a breakdown on the budget.

MAYOR GATTI: Go ahead, Bob.

MR. ROBERT MACDONALD, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICER: This budget this morning is submitted in accordance with the State and local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972. I might add that the City staff followed the development of this act for over 18 months before it was finally enacted. The recommendations have been developed in accordance with your instructions that staff examine projects recommended by the 1970 bond issue steering committee that were not funded. Line items and supplemental items dropped from the 1972-73 budget plan because of limited resources and projects planned but not implemented because of limited resources. The determination the community needs is based on information developed

by the community analysis programs and virtually every City project. This program, in keeping with the proposed five year federal funding schedule emphasizes that single efforts of highest value to the City. It also attempts to hold to a minimum recurring personnel and service expenditures which are wholly dependent on Revenue Sharing. I might just briefly go over the categories for which we may legally spend this money. For ordinary and necessary operating and maintenance expenses under the act, we may spend funds for public safety, environmental protection, transportation, health, recreation, library, social services for the poor and the elderly, and necessary capital expenditures. One additional category which is not covered in this budget, we have no programs in fiscal management contained in this budget. That was one more exception. I might point out that this virtually allows the City to do almost anything it would normally do with its own funds with very few exceptions, particularly the second necessary outlay. So we have recommended a program that essentially fits we think with what we would normally do with our own funds in a program of this type.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, Bob. One thing I would like to ask is that each individual whether they are speaking for themselves or for a group that they would either end or preface their statement with the total number of dollars that they would think this particular program would cost. That will be on tape, won't it?

CITY CLERK: Yes, sir.

MR. CARL WHITE, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE: A few words, Mayor, before we get into the budget itself. The federal revenue sharing is authorized by public law 92512 and it stipulates that the budget and reporting of the program and projects included in the budget be by entitlement periods. The problem that we were faced with was the first three entitlement periods are six month entitlement periods. So this budget covers the calendar year 1972 and one covering calendar year 1973. That's in accordance with the act. It has to be done that way. With respect to what the Mayor said about major cities being short changed, let me just give you a few statistics here that might put it in perspective. The City of San Antonio for the first entitlement period got \$6.55 per capital. The major cities in Texas - the eight major cities in Texas comprised more than 50 percent of the State population and yet we're getting - the eight major cities are getting less than 20 percent of the total funds coming to Texas cities. So the major cities in Texas are being short changed and perhaps our State Legislators should be apprised of this.

In this particular budget roughly \$10 million of the \$13 million that's proposed is for capital improvements; \$3.2 million is for maintenance and operating cost. That's the breakdown of this major category. Now, if you'll flip over to page 1 of this budget I'd like to go over this with you just briefly. We have received at this point \$8.3 million. That money is in the bank at the present time earning interest. For 1973, the first six months of the year, we're estimating that we will receive \$4.5 million and that is strictly an estimate. We don't have any indication yet. It increased because of the increase in population.

February 22, 1973  
nsr

-13-

Now, the interest earnings that we're projecting here is based on a rather conservative estimate. We trying to project how the funds would be spent and we were very conservative in this respect. We would probably earn more interest that we are showing here. This will be one of those things like Model Cities in that we will do certain re-programmings as we go along. Some of these projects will be less that what was estimated and some will be more, and we will have excesses and overruns, and we will reprogram as we go along. So, if we earn more interest we will have more money to spend in these two budgets.

Now, the budget itself is organized in the same way as the law. The categories are arranged in the same sequence as the public law is set out. The first major category is public safety. Now, you'll notice in under public safety which is page 2 this is the summary page for public safety. In 1972 the total of the public safety that is proposed by the staff is \$1.3 million, \$47,825.00. For 1973 it's \$601,555.00. Now, you'll see the projects listed there and behind this summary page there is a detail of each project. For example 50 policemen. Now, that's \$250,000.00 is just designed just to carry them through the next entitlement period which is a six month period. This is not the salary for a full year. Twenty-five firemen - \$108,480.00 and the detail of each one of these follows. For example, for policemen, you'll see the \$250,000.00 broken out in personal services, contractual services, commodities and so forth. We do have further breakout, these are just the major categories. If any of you have questions with regard to the detail we have that back up available.

The next major category is environmental protection. There are five projects listed under environmental protection - page 16 of the booklet. For 1972 - \$875,000.00 is recommended by the staff for environmental protection. In 1973, the first half now, is \$250,000.00, and the detail these projects follows the summary page again.

For transportation, which is the next section on page 22, there are ten projects under transportation and the same follows here. In 1972, \$1.2 million, \$99,907. In 1973, \$1,675,000.00.

The next major category is health on page 33 of the booklet. There are five projects listed under public health. They are all in 1972; some of these being capital projects; some are operating and maintenance costs. The detail follows on each one of these projects.

The next classification is recreation on page 39. There are seven projects under recreation. For 1972 \$480,000 and in 1973 it's \$500,000, and the projects are listed with the detail following.

The next major classification is library. There are four projects listed for library. The total is \$171,000.

For social services in 1973 there are three projects listed totalling \$461,705 for social services.

Then the final category is ordinary and necessary capital expenditures. There are nine projects listed there. For 1972 \$2.5 million roughly and for 1973 \$1.3 million.

Now this summarizes the Revenue Sharing Budget, and I would like to point this out to the Council that in accordance with the law this has to be adopted and submitted to the Department of Treasury by March 15. So, we do have some time; we don't have to adopt it today.

I would like to make one other comment that in accordance with the law the 1972 funds that we have received - \$8.3 million - there is not a public hearing required on the \$8.3 million. So if the Council so decided they could just go ahead and elect - set their priorities on that \$8.3 million without a public hearing. If you elect to go for the public hearing that's fine. For the 1973 money public hearings are required.

CITY MANAGER HUNT: Carl, you might mention something about the pay back clause. I think it's important.

MR. WHITE: Also, in accordance with the law, the City of San Antonio along with all major cities will be audited by the general accounting office. The law prescribes that if any disallowance or any project that does not meet the category, all the feds are concerned about are the categories. If there are any disallowances or anything of that nature, we have to pay back 110 percent of the funds that were spent on that project. So, we have to be very careful that the projects fall within the categories set out in the law.

MR. BECKER: What categories do they exclude?

MR. WHITE: Well, it's those nine categories, Mr. Becker. There's only one that's not included in this document, and that's financial administration. There's no projects on that.

MAYOR GATTI: Okay, let's proceed with the hearing. Thank you, Mr. White, and Mr. Macdonald. First speaker, and I want to make again the comment that the standard operating procedure for hearings is a five minute limitation for each speaker. We will have the egg timer going here, and Mr. Houston you are the first one. Rev. Houston:

REVEREND C. C. HOUSTON READ A PREPARED STATEMENT WHICH FOLLOWS:

To the Mayor and City Councilmen:

Dear Sirs:

We the members of the Texas Ministers and Citizens Leadership Council along with the Baptist Ministers Union hereby submit our recommendations and priorities of how we feel that some of the revenue sharing monies should be spent. We also trust that you will give these priorities listed on the following and attached sheets your highest consideration.

Selected Expenditure Proposals  
For General Revenue Sharing Funds

1. Priority Areas:

A. TRANSPORTATION

1. Purchase two each new buses to service the areas of Huntleigh Park, Dellcrest, Eastview Village, and Sam Houston School area. \$ 60,000
2. Drainage project for Lamar at New Braunfels Streets. 100,000
3. Reconstruction of New Braunfels bridge (New Braunfels and Southern Pacific Railroad) 750,000

February 22, 1973  
nsr

-15-

## B. RECREATION

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. Provide playground equipment for the following parks:<br>Pletz, Lincoln Park, Sutton Homes | 150,000 |
| 2. Construct recreation building for Sutton Homes Park  | 100,000 |
| 3. Lighting of Sutton Homes Park  | 50,000  |

## C. HEALTH

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. Purchase one each new ambulance for the East Side Health Clinic to render a 24 hour service to the neighborhood.   | 26,000  |
| 2. Hire additional employees for East Side Clinic for 24 hour operation of clinic   | 56,000  |
| 3. Establish a card identification system for the citizens of Bexar County who use the City Health, Recreation, and other City-owned or supervised City services or facilities. Non-residents should be made to pay the sum of \$10.00 per family per year. | 200,000 |
| 4. Establish an awareness program on dope so as to warn each and every homeowner and citizen.   | 10,000  |

## D. SOCIAL SERVICES

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. Issue yearly bus cards to senior citizens for free rides for the entire year                         | 50,000  |
| 2. Formulate a study and training program for the young prostitutes of our City for gainful employment. | 200,000 |

## E. PUBLIC SAFETY

- |   |         |
|---|---------|
| 1. Construct a City jail annex for the east side citizen who may be arrested, could be placed in a jail that does not have the many hardened criminals that many times a citizen is placed in cells with fearing for their lives. | 150,000 |
| 2. Construct sidewalks for the school children who must walk to and from school (Sam Houston School). They should begin at the intersection of East Houston and Commerce Streets and run to the Sam Houston School                | 80,000  |
| 3. Establish a foot patrol for the east side where the crime rate is most high (New Braunfels Street both south and north) any any other area where they may be needed.   | 30,000  |

## F. LIBRARIES

- |  |                |
|--|----------------|
| 1. Reconstruct the Carver Library into a complete Arts and Cultural center for the east side area. | <u>600,000</u> |
|--|----------------|

TOTAL - \$2,512,000

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, Rev. Houston. We have a copy of your request, and I will see to it that the Council gets copies of it.

MR. PLEAS NAYLOR: Do you announce the total that was requested?

MAYOR GATTI: Yes, that was \$2,512,000.00.

MR. JOE MARTINEZ: Mayor Gatti and Councilmen, I'm President of the Residents Association for Housing Authority, and I come before you to represent these elders, all these elders that are with pay in organizing fear of being left out of homes. Now, we know, we say that these elders, they receive a check for social security, say about \$94.00. Out of that they have to pay rent. They have to pay for their stamps. By the time they get through, they'll have about \$24.00 left to buy the necessities of life. Now, since the subsidies have been impounded, the Housing Authority has seen fit to make ends meet to raise the rent saying it's a service charge. Now, these people, now, out of their \$24.00 left, will have let's say about \$30.00 to get medicine, clothing, and whatnot. They need the necessities of life. Now, I ask the Council to consider the price of these elders, these kids, these mothers and these that aren't able to work because of some illness or something, that they find themselves in such a position. And, the Housing Authority has lost its subsidies. They have a \$400,000 or more to make up, and they have been making it up on these poor, these underprivileged people, and I ask the Council to consider in seeing that they, out of this Revenue Sharing, they can help out these poor people that are in very great need.

So, I'm sure that the figures I've seen in this paper here, it's an emergency crisis that the Housing Authority is facing. We've seen enough in the papers where other housing projects are closing down apartments and people are let out. If we can stop and just visualize the elder - where are they going to move? Where are they going? They can't afford a \$50.00 or \$60.00 rent plus utilities. They're right now, most of the elders, have come to my office and have come to me, what can be done, Mr. Martinez, what's this, what's that. I said, well we can't do nothing but wait and see what the future holds for us. There are people who are willing to help us, I'm sure, and they are now this is their last hope. Now, in the Revenue Sharing that they get some help in order for their rent not to keep going up and give them a chance to have a decent roof over their heads. I thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: What was the, you're asking for the City to eliminate the lieu of tax to the City - \$56,000.00.

MR. MARTINEZ: We are still about \$400,000.00 or more in order for the Housing Authority to keep operating.

MR. PADILLA: Mayor Gatti, I didn't understand Mr. Martinez to request that we eliminate the in lieu of taxes. I understand Mr. Martinez to say that they need relief out at the public Housing Authority to make up the deficit that the Federal government has not been paying that were the monies that were making up the deficit because of the Brook amendment.

MR. MARTINEZ: That is what I meant, really.

MAYOR GATTI: You say it's \$456,000.00. Okay, thank you.

February 22, 1973

-17-

nsr

NANCY BOHMAN: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, I am Nancy Bolman, representing the East Side Neighborhood Development Program. It is an area of about 100,000 acres and we are interested in this project in doing something about the improvement of the quality of life for those residents in that area. We have two purposes in speaking with you this morning - one is to endorse the East Side Multi-Service Center and the phasing...which is represented in the plan that is a part of the Council's proposal \$300,000 in 1972 and \$300,000 in the first half of '73. We would request from you that the East Side Neighborhood Development Group would be considered in the decision making pertaining to the programming and services that are going to be involved in the East Side Neighborhood Center.

The second phase of our appearance here there are several questions. One, is about whether or not there are other monies available to provide for access to I. H. 10 from Hackberry Street South which would make it possible to enter to the central City and to the West Side area of this City from the East Side of San Antonio. We would also wonder if there are other monies that would allow for an exit ramp from I. H. 10 as it progresses to the East Side of the City so that one may exit at South Hackberry Street. We would like to know if there are other monies possible for the repair of the Euclid Street bridge which is over the railroad tracks again. The railroad seems to be posing a number of problems for the residents of this City. We would also wonder if there can be something done about the repairs for the Hays Street bridge which is also over the railroad tracks. We are particularly concerned that there would be some provision made for an under pass repair for Nolan Street.

At this time, you see, Councilmen and Councilwoman, we have something of an invisible wall which it could akin to the Berlin Wall. As it affects the rest of the East Side, should any kind of emergency occur and there are railroad cars in transit, we are completely blocked from access any of the emergency facilities as well as the emergency vehicles that would be utilized in servicing those residents of the area. Without an under pass at Nolan Street, we have to use the bridge over Hays Street. You do this at risk at your own life and limb and this again causes us to wonder about our ability to move about as is needed, especially on an emergency basis in this City. If there are funds available for these considerations, we are not interested in putting them into a figure that would be tallied with your revenue sharing fund. If there are no funds, we certainly would want that there would with your direction give consideration and make preparation for figures on these matters.

Our next major concern is for an overpass at the Commerce Street area, again, with the railroads, and this time with the S.P. Tracks. If we can have access in and out of the community to the central City that will not greatly inconvenience the citizens or the emergency vehicles or general services to the area, then we feel that we are included as a part of the ongoing City life. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you.

CARLOS LONGORIA: Honorable Mayor and Members of the San Antonio City Council, my name is Carlos Longoria. I am an attorney, and I stand before you today on behalf of a group of dedicated persons. We for nearly three years now have provided vital services to this community, which services I could not begin to fully describe in the time allotted me before the Council today.

These services are rendered through a facility known as the San Antonio Free Clinic. As you well know, it is a non-profit organization which was founded to meet various needs of many people in San Antonio, who, because of their cultural and economic background and environment, have no place else to go to seek and receive the help they so desperately need.

Certainly we are all aware of the great number of under-privileged in our community today. The impoverished and indigent have problems, as do you and I, but their problems are aggravated by their circumstances. We get sick and call our family doctor without giving it a second thought. The poor get sick, but they do not and cannot decide to call a doctor immediately because they cannot afford to pay for the office visit much less afford to pay for the medication that is usually needed for their treatment and eventual cure.

These are not extreme cases! Not by any means and we all know it. Talk to the people in the barrios (which you represent) talk to those in the ghettos (which you represent). Then, when you realize the truth, do not simply pity the poor but decide to act affirmatively to alleviate the situation. You are in a position to do so.

The San Antonio Free Clinic has acted affirmatively for nearly three years. During this time they have seen and treated over 20,000 persons at an average rate of 40 to 50 persons an evening and turned away many many more. The clinic has provided and still provides many types of services: For instance in general medical care-this is so important.

The spread of Venereal Disease has reached epidemic proportions in the State of Texas. The clinic not only offers treatment for VD, but goes even further by providing counseling and education to those they treat. We all know the dire consequences of untreated Venereal Disease.

The clinic provides education in family planning including birth control - education and dispensation - abortion counseling and alternatives to abortion - problem pregnancy counseling. Where else can an uneducated poor person receive all of this free of charge?

In order to get married in this state, a blood test is required. This costs money. Money that the poor do not have - yet they can go to the clinic and get a pre-merital serology and physical examination free of charge.

Also, pediatric care, immunization against various diseases, (drug counseling) so important - Model Cities drug abuse program is now in distress. Vocational rehabilitation is provided free of charge at the clinic.

So many services are provided by these persons at the free clinic who work as volunteers - unsalaried and out of the kindness of their heart. It is strong to find good Samaritans in our day and time. Eight doctors, at least 15 medical students, 6 nurses, nurses aides, lab technicians, 40 counselors, and clerical and administrative workers. They all work at this service oriented project.

The Clinic exists solely on private donations. Operating expenses run \$3,000 a month. Donations barely total \$1,000 month. The Clinic needs Help! Your help - you must act affirmatively by providing help in the form of a SMALL cut of the City revenue pie.

The sum of \$3,000 per month - just to meet operating expenses - \$3,000 per month for one year - or \$36,000 is but a small and meager amount, negligible in proportion to the enormous services provided to the Community by the Clinic. This is what we ask - \$36,000 for one year social service. Certainly we can qualify under Health for Funds.

So far, the City has seen fit to award two proclamations or citations to the San Antonio Free Clinic for their outstanding work in the Community. The Clinic can't pay the light bills with a citation. They can not buy the necessary medicines or medical equipment with a piece of paper. They need funds - money - cash - and they need it badly. Not that they are ungrateful for the proclamations - just that they are out of necessity practical.

February 22, 1973  
mg

-19-

Previous appeals to the Council for funds were primarily met with enthusiasm from individual members of the Council but the end result is a letter from Mayor Gatti - (another piece of paper) which states: quote. That says nothing. Any allocation of funds can be questioned. Even the allocation for your salaries. It doesn't say that it is illegal or probably illegal - it merely states that - well, it could be questioned.

Also, it states that an allocations of funds could possibly lead to some controls, audits and inspections. Well that's good. One expects some control when funds are provided. The books will be always open to anyone interested so the audits are of no worry. And the inspections are more than welcomed.

I will not allow the Council to answer my plea with a definite flat no. You must be compassionate. Your Help is needed.

We want and ask you to agree to meet with us in a closed session at your convenience so that if you wish more information we can provide it, if you than refuse us, then allow us to know the reasons why.

There is no greater act of glory than an act of compassion.

I close now in hopes that the Council will act gloriously and compassionally add to their list of credits by acting to help those which are helped daily at the Clinic. Again \$36,000 - completely in Medical Clinic and Counseling Drug Abuse and General Counseling Center. Thank you.

February 22, 1973  
mg

MISS MARIE PORTER: Mayor Gatti, Members of the Council, my name is Marie Porter. I'm Executive Director of Drug Abuse Central. From time to time in the past, we've had the opportunity to work with you, and we have found your concern for the problems of drug abuse in San Antonio. All of us know from looking at the papers that the problems are growing. The latest estimate in the editorials of the San Antonio Express tells us that we have about 8,000 addicts in San Antonio. By a formula that Drug Abuse Central Staff has worked out we feel that there are approximately 18,000 young people who are involved in the abuse of other kinds of substances other than heroin. We feel at Drug Abuse Central that a total all out community involvement and responsibility for this problem must be assumed. The Drug Abuse Central organization, as you know, involves agencies and organizations in San Antonio who are involved and concerned about drug abuse problems. The Board of Directors at Drug Abuse Central involves some of the real experts in the nation and certainly the expertise of San Antonio as far as problems of drug abuse are concerned. This Board has stated that its priority in the solution of drug abuse problems in San Antonio is the viability and continuation of Drug Abuse Central which has enabled us to provide some coordination, some responsible methodical approach to the problems of drug abuse. Already, because we have begun to demonstrate what coordination can do, we have been able to attract funding through San Antonio for drug abuse problems that would not otherwise be possible. Because somewhere in a community you need one organization, one group of people that can speak as one about all approaches to solutions of drug abuse problems. Previously San Antonio has expressed its concern and its involvement in the problems of drug abuse through the use of Model Cities funds. Also, as you know, because I was here last week, Drug Abuse Central is funded by Criminal Justice Council funds. The Criminal Justice Council are contingent upon the program being picked up and continued through the use of local money sooner or later. In other words, we are in the second year of Criminal Justice Council funding. We will not be able to continue with Criminal Justice Council funding for much longer and the Model Cities funding, as you know, is tenuous at best. Therefore, the Drug Abuse Central Board of Director instructed me to come to you today and to express to you our concern and to ask for your support of Drug Abuse Central through the use of Revenue Sharing Funds. We prepared a proposal for this and it was prepared under the directorship of Father Brosnan, who was unable to be here today because he was out of town. The proposal which we prepared requests about \$49,000 for Drug Abuse Central itself. The organization to provide coordination, evaluation and community education fo drug abuse problems. This recalls for programs on the southside, the southwest area, on the eastside of San Antonio, on the westside, as well as support for the free clinic. In all, that would come \$171,900. Combining the request for other drug abuse programs, the total comes to \$220,938.

Mayor Gatti: Thank you Miss Porter.

Miss Porter: I want to thank you very much.

Mayor Gatti: Thank you.

Mr. Abram Emerson: Citizens of San Antonio, Mayor and Council members, I'm speaking to you as an eastside resident in support of a certain particular section of the Drug Abuse Central proposal. That is what eastside projects for prevention and treatment. There is one out reach Counselor in the eastside community. We know that nationwide that it is approximately 50% of the addicts in this Country are black and I would venture to say, even though there have not been any effective studies done, of the eastside community in relation to drug abuse, that approximately 1/4 of the addicts in this town are black. The black population in this town is approximately 7 or 8 per cent. I see

February 22, 1973

-21-

msv

this as rising crisis in our community. And I am asking you to support this particular section of the Drug Abuse Central proposal, which comes to an amount of \$36,425. Thank you for your time.

Dr. Hilliard: Is that over and above what she asked for?

Mrs. Haberman: Thank your Mr. Emerson. Ramon Sanchez.

Ramon Sanchez: Sra. Haberman and Members of the Council. I come before you as a representative of the Mexican American Committee concerned with Revenue Sharing and also on behalf of the Archdiocese and Commission for Mexican American Affairs. Very briefly, I have some good things to say to you and a couple of criticism. On the general side let me say what you are faced with is a realignment of priorities and as I understand it most cities of the United States are taking the easy way out on Revenue Sharing and that is they are going the route of capital improvements because those are tangible, those are measurable, those are one time expenditures. But I think that a lot of the programs that others will speak on that are os social nature are tremendously important in terms of building up and maintaining our community. You can not rehabilitate a community in three years or five years, it takes a generation or more. So I'm pleading that you realign your priorities and that you balance your capital improvement programs with your peoples' programs. In terms of the hearing, I think you are to be commended on the fact that you had the hearing, even though you did not have to have it. But I do think that your choice of location and time is very poor, because many people who would have liked to been here were not able to take off on their jobs and they are people who are interested in having input.

In terms of the fact that was mentioned that you have now been told by Washington not to fund programs, I think that some of you on Council helped to elect the present administration for four more years and therefore, you must bear the responsibility for the next four years for this kind of approach to local problems on it. I would like to cite to you the fact that your Finance Director, Carl White, told you on one hand that you are fairly free to spend the money as you wish and on the other that you must stay within the categories. So it seems to me that you do have the flexibility to almost determine what kind of programs you want. And with this part in mind, I would like to support two concepts that will be explained to you more in detail by the Chairman of our group later, one is \$5000,000 that would go to the San Antonio Housing Authority,  $\frac{1}{2}$  a million dollars, and the other another  $\frac{1}{2}$  a million dollars for general assistance for emergency needs that would go through project free and through project sure. Thank you very much.

Mrs. Haberman: Thank you. Betty Cooke, Posterity Foundation.

MRS. HETTIE COOK: Council members and Mr. Hunt, I sent all of you a detailed brochure. I hope you got it about the Posterity Foundation, except Mrs. Haberman and Mr. Hunt. They saw it last week. Is Melvin out there in the hall or Francis Wright? Two Attorneys are going to testify for us. They've done a lot of free advice and given us a lot of their free valuable time. Well, I think we come under the ..... I think we would come under the category of recreation because we have already given two free concerts of new original music. We did it before we got any money. Now, I have been told that they give the arts money because they have rendered the City a service and we do come pleading with you today to give us \$45,000 with which to build up the children of tomorrow. I speak in behalf of the Posterity Foundation and in behalf of the Children of Tomorrow and the

who will come after them. We know that during the depth of the worst depression this Country has ever known when housing was inadequate, clothes were inadequate, there was hardly any food, the quality of life was better then then it is now during our most afficent period of history. We can not .... Money isn't going to do it. Now, I jotted all this stuff down. If the mint of the United States could jst mountain down on the drug abusers and what makes the drug abuse. Money wouldn't do it. We have got to see the heart and sould of these young people who have dwindled because the heart and mind are subject to the law of gravity just like things that are weighed on scales. If they are not going to do something to lift them up they will drop down and ..... (inaudible). We found out that things of granduer and majesty that were handed down to us such as symphony plays today and a few good plays that are going, we found outthat these thing are being written and have been written and closed doors to them. We write dozens of letters to recording companies and to music publishers to see if we could send part of our material in. Invariably they would write on the bottom of our letters "we do not consider unsolicited material" or "we do not read unsolicited material." So we cannot afford to lose these rare, creative minds particularly today when America has almost lost her soul. We are born in a box, so to speak. We want to get out. We want the lunch hour to come. We want to go see a good show. We want to take a trip and soon to the stars so that we can come back to our everyday hum drum jobs refreshed and feel something to work for. Today the peak of the week that you look for, the children are in school and you work hard all day and struggle with it and it is a struggle and they look forward to the weekend and where do they go. Most of them go to the movies because it is the only mass recreation America has provided for young people and do they soar among the stars. We know that they crawl in the pit. So we feel that authors of America when we hear the President ... (inaudible). Every American must be able to develop his talent to his highest potential. Why you can't even get your work in the door and the authors and the artists of America are not allowed to participate in America's free enterprise.

Our first project would be to have two or three nationally known and admired singers to come and perhaps at the Theater of the Performing Arts. We will guarantee you that every dollar we get will get a two way stretch. Thank you.

MAYOR PRO-TEM HABERMAN: E.O.D.C. Mr. Gene Rodriguez, Jr. and Mr. Dave Andrews.

MR. GENE RODRIGUEZ, JR.: Members of the Council. I am, as you know, the outgoing Director of EODC and with me is Dave Andrews, who has been named by the Board to act in my place.

We come before you today as representative of Economic Opportunities Development Corporation to commend you and the City Staff for the commitments you have traditionally made to the problems of the poor in our community. We, at EODC, have always been encouraged by the support and assistance you have always provided. The fact that the City of San Antonio is one of two, in this five-state region, to have a human resources department speaks well for your concern with the disadvantaged. There are, according to the 1970 census, 159,000 poor people residing in San Antonio or approximately 20% of our total population. The national percentage of poor is 13%. If you believe that poverty in the midst of plenty is an intolerable condition you must recognize that San Antonio's most serious problem and most urgent need is the rehabilitation of its poor.

February 22, 1973

-23-

msv

As your designated anti-poverty agency, we believe that we have developed, over our six-year history, an ability to deal with the problems of the poor efficiently, effectively, and economically. After all, we taxpayers, deserve the most for our money and EODC administers an 8 million dollar community action program with overhead of only six to eight percent. Few businesses or government can operate with that low overhead. We believe that it is to the City's advantage and to the advantage of the taxpayers who are the source of revenue sharing funds for the City to utilize EODC to the maximum. Use our expertise, our planning and evaluation capability, our understanding of the poor and their problems, our decentralized service delivery vehicle and our ability to deal with difficult problems in a responsive way. Specially, we urge you, this morning, to:

- 1) Extend your support of EODC's efforts to secure six-month funding from the National Office of Economic Opportunity.
- 2) That you instruct City staff to work closely with us in determining the best possible way to:
  - ...Provide for the human needs that exist in the poverty areas of San Antonio.
  - ...Take full advantage of the many years of experience and high degree of expertise in social services and citizen participation that we have developed at EODC
  - ...That you resolve to set aside a reserve from the General Revenue Sharing funds you will receive beginning July, 1973 to allow consideration at that time of continuing the essential activities of benefit to all the citizens of San Antonio.
  - ...That together we participate in a careful assessment of various EODC programs to determine their real value to the residents of this City, both the poor and the non-poor. Let us combine our resources to effectively address the needs of our citizens.
  - ...That the social service programs currently planned in your Revenue Sharing Budget (Such as Youth Services, Seniors, etc.) be closely coordinated with existing EODC activities to prevent duplicating structures and costs and a resulting waste of taxpayers money.

These recommendations are based on basic commitments that we have made to neighborhood-based multi-purpose agencies. At a meeting of our Board of Directors last night our seven (7) neighborhood corporations were combined to form four (4) target areas and four multi-purpose agencies. How successful have these agencies been?

The neighborhood corporations received 9.2 percent of EODC's total funding and 26.4 percent of OEO funds. With this 622,000 dollars, annually, we have organized neighborhood based organizations that hired

90 employees receiving an average wage of \$5,311.00. These neighborhood based organizations involved 136 residents on their governing boards and provide service activities for 60,000 persons. The cost per participant is \$10.37. In addition, we fund three special purpose programs, Project Free, Emergency Food and Medical Services, Senior Opportunity Services and Sanyo Youth Development Program. These three programs receive a total of \$406,750 which is 27.9 percent of our OEO funds. A total of 57 persons are employed at an average salary of \$4810.00. They serve 65,904 persons per year at an average cost of \$6.17 per participant. With EODC's total funding of \$6,760,000 in federal funds, we mobilize an additional \$1,900,798 in non-federal revenue which come in the form of volunteer services, operating space and facilities, cash, and property donations. All EODC activities provide services for 138,563 participants, annually, at a cost of \$48.79 per participant. This is a living example of local self-determination and the commitment that local residents have made to the principles of community action.

I recognize the difficult position that each of you face at this hearing, drastic cuts have been made in federal programs that cannot begin to be made up by Revenue Sharing funds. EODC asks nothing more than your commitment to assure that poverty and human suffering in our community is reduced and eradicated and that federal tax monies are used as efficiently and economically as possible.

MRS. HABERMAN: Gene, what is your six month funding that we would be considering here.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, what we're asking you is to endorse it, and that it not be allocated from Revenue Sharing funds if we get it from OEO. That's \$670,000.

(ALL TALKING AT ONCE)

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Well, we're asking for that and then seriously consider your second half of this year's allocation for these purposes after we together evaluate what should stay and what should go and what can be improved.

MR. PADILLA: Gene, are you asking for Revenue Sharing money, and if so what is the amount?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: We are not asking for any at this time. We are asking that you ask the staff to work with us for the next allocation..... (inaudible)

DR. HILLIARD: He's saying he will come back in July if he doesn't get it from OEO.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Newspapers had said we've be asking for about 10 million dollars.

MRS. HABERMAN: Mrs. Celia Cano.

MRS. CELIA CANO: I am Mrs. Celia Cano. I represent Christ the King Senior Citizens. I would like for you to consider all the Senior Citizens Centers. I am under the impression that there is only one Senior Citizen Center being considered. One which is too far south for us at

Christ the King. We would like for you to help us by including us in the Revenue Sharing as the Senior Citizens. That is some of our tax money also. We would like to have funds so we can have a center of our own where we can meet as often as we can and for most elderly persons and to have some of the children in the area. Also, to assist our Senior Citizens in any physical need that they may have. I thank you very much.

MRS. HABERMAN: Thank you, Mrs. Cano, do you know how much money? I think we would have to put about 35 thousand in or something like that from my experience with senior citizens.

Mr. Harry Curnutt.

MR. HARRY CURNUTT: I am Harry Curnutt. I'm speaking for a group of citizens in the Highlands Park area and businessmen about a serious problem that we have. This is a problem that has been created because of inadequate on and off ramps on the expressway to enable these people to get out of the pocket that has been created. The particular expressway is IH-10 to the east and IH-37 to the southeast there have created this problem. I talked to businessmen and being in the position I am in, a banker in the area, I am President of the Highland Park State Bank, and I have talked to businessmen whose businesses we see going down, decaying, and actually dying. The inconvenience to the citizens of this community because of this problem.

I was interested in Miss Bohman's request a while ago. She also made a similar request. I knew nothing about her request and, of course, I'm sure she knew nothing about this. We do have and have presented to you petitions from 1,500 citizens, and I am told that there are more being presented this morning brought in. You also have petitions of approximately 150 businessmen in this area. You have resolutions from the Highland Park Lions Club and the Rotary Club. I think this points out the seriousness of this situation we're in.

We want to make one specific request and this request is that an on and off ramp be provided on IH-10 from Hackberry into the west. This will relieve a problem for a part of your City that is dying and decaying because it is in a pocket there and business is suffering. People are inconvenienced. To go west you have to go up to New Braunfels to get on the expressway you have to go two and two-tenths miles before you get there. There is another off ramp. On IH-37 it's a mile and a half between Carolina and Fair, and those people are pocketed in there unable to get in and out of this area. We request that this one consideration be given by the Council, and we trust that you will think about this part of the City that is in dire need of your help. We're crying for relief.

MRS. HABERMAN: Thank you Mr. Curnutt. Is there any possibility that you've checked on cost?

MR. CURNUTT: I have not.

MRS. HABERMAN: I'd like a cost figure later from our Traffic Department. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: On that off ramp - it might be a good idea to ask Commissioner Ploch to include some of the cost of that in your new bond issue - the very interesting illustration of cooperation between the City and the County.

MR. JACK CURRINGTON: Members of the Council, we're here from the San Antonio Development Agency today to call to the attention the possibility of some special or some Revenue Sharing money being set aside in the amount of about \$500,000 which would be seed money to begin special rehabilitation low interest loan program. This is the program that probably after four to five years could be pretty well self-perpetuating. The thing that we run into in carrying out the renewal projects that are in existence today is the loan money that was being made available in the form of a low interest loan to the homeowner that we were working with in rehabilitating their existing house and eliminating the costly acquisition and relocation programs that is now dried up by the federal government. We are hopeful that, in order to carry out our project, we have got to come to some solution or else put projects that are recently funded - Kenwood project and any other future projects that might be designated as well as the Neighborhood Development Program in the Model Cities area which is also in jeopardy towards being able to carry out the rehabilitation program working with the owner occupants.

We passed out information to each one of the Councilmen and to the staff. We just want to make this request at this time. (A copy of Mr. Currington's statement is included with the papers of this meeting.)

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, Jack. Mr. David Lopez.

February 22, 1973  
nsr

-27-

MR. DAVID LOPEZ: Honorable John Gatti, Members of the City Council of San Antonio, in the name of Senior Citizens and Handicapped, I want to thank you for the opportunity you have given me to inform you that Senior Citizens Handicapped are abandoned for more than a year. We carry out our activities in one of the agencies that peoples community corporation. They let us have the location so we can carry on with our activities. We have directed ourselves to the different offices where programs are to rehabilitate to help the needy and unfortunately, the ones that are in charge of those agencies have not given us any protection. Recently, I spoke with the State Commission for the Blind and they say they can't help us, because they don't have the necessary funds to help the needy. Now in knowing that you are going to start a new program that will benefit the community I want to recommend that if possible for you to take into consideration the people from the Barrios since many of us are going to be affected by closing the different corporations in the westside. Therefore, my desire this morning is may God bless you and that all the programs that you have in your charge may they be for the good of the community here in San Antonio, primarily for the ones that reside on the westside of this City. Thank you very much.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, sir. Mr. Bill Wallace.

MR. BILL WALLACE: I'd like to say Good Morning, Mayor and Honorable Members of the Council. I come before you today to see if I can just get you to look at another way. I'm not against the proposal that has been recommended to you by the staff. I am all for that. What I'm about to say is, in the past any proposal or something like that that has been recommended by staff has generally been endorsed whole heartedly regardless how many people, citizens or something that has come before you and asked you to do something a little different. I come merely to offer you a way of doing everything that is said in that proposal plus most of the things that have been asked of you here today. So I would like to know if this Council is interested in taking part of the little citizen participation that could set a mark or a landmark for this City that would be known nationally. Now, we know that all of the federal funds have been pulled or is going to be pulled from the City. What I am here to say to you is a way to keep the social services. Now, many of the people in the neighborhood, they are always the ones to be left out of anything that you have, the poor, the grass roots, yet in numbers they outnumber everyone else in the City. Why leave out the grass roots. What I am about to offer to you is a way of doing everything in that little yellow book and I am speaking of the total amount of money that we were to see in the newspaper, which is \$16 million not \$8 million.

There is a way to have-all of you have passed up there a little xerox copy, its a little dim because it was made up in the poor people's neighborhood, you know and by poor people. The programs that we have already, we do not bring specific proposals until we hope that you would be willing to call a C Session or something and let us show you a difference. A program with a meaning - something to be done different and done right for more good for less money. We have human development proposed, Senior Citizens, employment and training, youth educational opportunities, youth development, emergency food and assistance, consumer education which is needed in this town worse than anything else that I know, drug abuse, and information, All of the money in the world, by itself, would not cure drug abuse, but you have to talk, attack the causes which most of it is the social injustices and the conditions that you force poor people to live under. What I am about to propose to you is a way. There's not one program in that yellow book that couldn't stand a 10 - 15 per cent cut and you do exactly everything that it says. What I am asking you to do is to set aside 10 to 15 per cent of the total money received for social services programs. We have the way. We have the vehicles. We are the neighborhood people. The most unique thing that we would hire more people than this sort of thing that we are going to hire grass roots people. The only degree necessary is that for the sophisticated accounting system. Let poor people, for once in your life, before all of the federal monies is pulled back including the revenue sharing, show you that they can plan their lives and conduct programs to correct their existence.

MAYOR GATTI: Bill, I have read your proposal, it calls for about 5 to 10 per cent of the allocated revenue sharing.

MR. WALLACE: Right, the reason why we put 10% is that, if necessary, with 10% you can keep open the seven neighborhood corporations and under the present funding left. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you Bill. Jo Ann Gutierrez. Is Jo Ann Gutierrez here? Mr. H. H. Kiel!

MR. H. H. KIEL: Mayor Gatti, Members of the City Council, I am H. H. Kiel, representing the Bexar County Support Your Local Police Committee. I want to speak on the subject of Revenue Sharing for the purpose of sensitivity training for our Police Department. These are my reasons. Sensitivity training for the Police Department means to accept a change of attitude from local control with a high standard and accept a federal control with federal standards which, at this time, are being lowered to permit possible security risks and other past substandards to be employed as Police Officers. The Revenue Sharing for Police Department means converting our local police into a federal police force. The salary is paid and entirely controlled by the federal government. Federal Revenue Sharing is also recognized as (inaudible) that would accomplish this purpose. Pressure from below is being employed through very radical and revolutionary groups who are continually trying to degrade and harass our police and make them appear inefficient and unable to maintain law and order. The City Council is caught in between. I am sure members of the City Council are well aware of it.

Further restrictions have resulted from court interpretations to give the criminals more rights. This has resulted in few convictions for crime and make it appear that our system of justice is not capable of apprehending and punishing criminals. Any problem on a local level the federal government proposes to provide a solution by more money and federally instituted programs of operation. This is being proposed and this is from the records of the City Council taken from the library. In 1973 \$3,900 was spent in training for E.E.O. also \$3,900 for sensitivity training for all City department supervisors. Also \$3,900 for sensitivity training for all new City employees including police officers. This sensitivity training will be under the direction of Special Services Department of the Human Relations Division.

I would like to give you my opinion of why a federal police force is being promoted. Only a totalitarian government needs a national police force. People who are promoting national police are also promoting more socialism but the police force must be ready to protect us when total socialism is (inaudible) in order to keep it in power. The natural enemy of total socialism is free enterprise, local government which is administered by individuals whose responsibility and rights come from God and protected by a constitution. (inaudible) the role of police will change from protection of individuals to protection of states for all rights and authority will be in the states. I believe we can avoid this happening by refusing to accept any and all federal monies that will permit total socialism.

Bexar County Support Your Local Police Committee, Inc. is dedicated to keep our police independent and under local control. It would be under City Manager Loyd Hunt, for implementing this program. Not entirely, even though he has complete authority to do so. What about the City Council? Yes, the City Council hired Mr. Hunt for City Manager. Federal monies will be channeled through City Council to accept. They are not totally responsible. How many of us are willing to accept this federal cheese thinking it costs us nothing not realizing the Socialist trap we are getting into? When the door is closed and our freedom is lost I wonder what the (inaudible). Too late.

The Support Your Local Police Committee is appealing to all - City Manager, Mayor and Council Members to the youngest voter...

MAYOR GATTI: Your five minutes is up. What you are saying is you don't want any revenue sharing. Is that right?

MR. KIEL: Well, the sum total I hope you will allot to the police department for sensitivity training will amount to zero.

February 22, 1973

-29-

mg

MR. JOHN KAPLAN: Mayor Gatti, Members of the City Council. I represent the Youth Development Program of SANYO, which is one of EODC's delegate agencies. First of all, let me say that I would like to endorse Gene Rodriguez' recommendation to you a few minutes earlier and I'd like to elaborate on a few things that he has said and add a few things which I thin are relevant. Mayor, you explained the nature of the ship of financial responsibility from the federal government to the local government in the form of Revenue Sharing and the burden that this puts upon the local government. I respect the great difficulty of this task. I feel, however, that in the proposed Revenue Sharing Budget the priorities are improperly placed and this is something I would like to speak about.

Three and a half per cent, approximately, of the total proposed allocation is supposed to go to social services. I feel that social services, many of which have been presented before you and many of which will be presented after I'm through, should assume a greater emphasis in the Revenue Sharing proposed allocations. I'd like to urge the Council to consider its' priorities to place a greater interest on social service and I endorse Gene Rodriguez' recommendation, because I feel that EODC and delegate agencies have shown the expertise and experience and the knowledge necessary to handle the problem. As Gene said, EODC is not asking for Revenue Sharing money right now, we're trying to look around for all sorts of sources for funding. We're hoping that O.E.D. comes through. But we would ask you to consider for your next Revenue Sharing proposed allocation the EODC functions should this funding not come through. I believe if I give you a dollar figure for YDP, which I can, I'd be missing the point of the emphasis that I'm trying to make. I don't think that YDP or any of the social service agencies that have expressed positions and made requests to you today are more important or less important than the other. These social services are all very important so I would suggest a higher priority placed upon them. So I'm not going to try and tell you much about YDP or even the EODC delegate agencies. I think its track record speaks for itself. I urge you to consider Gene's recommendation and to work with EODC in dealing with the problems of the poor. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you sir. Mr. Rodriguez. Edmundo Rodriguez.

MR. EDMUNDO RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Mayor, and Mrs. Haberman, Members of the Council. Our group, which is called Mexican-Americans concerned with Revenue Sharing, has been meeting since last October. We have many many things that we felt should get addressed to Revenue Sharing, but in face of some of the crisis that have come up recently, we felt that we should narrow these requests and considerations down to two which would affect all the poor people in San Antonio, not just Mexican-Americans, not just blacks, but the total population of poor people which has been stated before as being of 20 per cent. I'd like to read this statement which has been given to you for your consideration.

PROPOSED CHANGES IN THE REVENUE SHARING BUDGET

TO THE CITY COUNCIL

The emergency nature of the human problems facing the city of San Antonio demands that the City Council turn its attention to the housing crisis created by the impoundment of H.U.D. subsidies to the operating budget of the Housing Authority of the City of San Antonio and to a general emergency assistance program through City Welfare. Both of these programs will be directly under control of the City Staff and would benefit low-income people from every sector of the city.

Since the city has yet to receive its second increment for the 1973 budget, it could hold in abeyance some of its proposed capitol expenditures to redirect the allocated funds to these two priority areas:

1. \$500,000.00 to supplement the operating budget of the S.A.H.A. on condition that the Housing Authority would not raise deposits, reclassify tenants into higher rent categories, or decrease maintenance services.
2. \$500,000.00 for general emergency assistance program under City Welfare to supplement the efforts of Project FREE and Project SURE in emergency situations.

Finally, I would like to say that the categories - Housing is not one of the categories - and so that it has to be looked at what possible category the City could allocate money through the San Antonio Housing Authority. It seems to me the one that you left out and that is the financial administration and that, certainly, looking at some of the other proposals if they can be under the category of transportation to fix streets and bridges and things like that then, certainly, this would qualify under the category of financial administration. I would also like, personally, and not as a representative of the group necessary but personally to strongly support the public safety category as it has been proposed by the City Staff. I would like particularly to commend the City for putting in some money and it is very little money for public relations training for all City Employees, not just for policemen. I would like to also ask the persons who are here in support of the public housing to stand up and indicate to the Council who they are.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you sir. Would you all stand? Thank you very much. Mr. Joe Lopez.

MR. JOE LOPEZ: Mr. Mayor, City Councilwoman and Members of the City Council. I think all of us spend a good time.... (inaudible) crime everyday in evening paper. I think that has become a most important matter of concern to every citizen, especially to you members of the City Council. I have many areas in this budget that I would like to bring out to you which I believe I, in my own way, have suggested but there are too many and I could concentrate only on this matter of public safety and its police protection. I know it is from your budget here in respect of this matter has been put out and you say that you added 50 policemen patrol which you expect there will be more patrol resulting in a reduction in crime. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Council, how misleading can that truly be? Because you and I know and every citizen that is here knows that those 50 police that you are adding by this budget will not reduce crime. They will be assigned to the newly annexed areas that you saw your way proper to annex. So, therefore, I believe that this is misleading when somebody states here that you expect a reduction in crime in our City. I think it is time this budget should be revised to provide more police protection for our City. I mean the citizens that elected each one of you on this Council here in San Antonio proper. I base my statement to the fact that these will be assigned to annexed areas because in your introduction page you state that 75 additional police and fire positions including a program for equipment to serve the recently annexed areas. So that means that none of those will be assigned to reduce crime in San Antonio proper.

February 22, 1973  
msv

-31-

Now secondly, another area that I believe is of concern to all of us is with respect to fire - fire section in public safety. You state that you intend to buy pumps, three ladder trucks. Are any of those to protect those citizens that voted you into office? Those again you stated are to be to serve the annexed areas. I am concerned as a citizen here in San Antonio as to what protection are you getting in this budget here. I believe it is only proper when it is the most important issue in our City. I, as an attorney, am very familiar with that.

Gentlemen, that's what I say to you that I hope you will reconsider this matter and if you are going to assign some police protection and really want a result in reduction of crime to increase the number of policemen that you request in here. I think it is sad when here in our City of San Antonio that we can not walk to the grocery store without the danger of something happening to your wife or children or yourself. Now, of course, you have provided protection on the River Walk. But that is for the benefit of the businessman. What about those of us that live and work in the City. Ladies and Gentlemen that's where I'd like for you to give consideration in readjusting your budget. We expect police protection for our City. We expect fire protection for our City. We, the people that elected you.

MAYOR GATTI: Mr. J. B. Sawyer.

MR. J. B. SAWYER: I have copies of this information or request rather for the Council. I am with KCOR and KQXT Radio and I offer this suggestion to the Mayor and to the Members of the Council.

A suggestion for the use of a portion of the revenue sharing funds for San Antonio, Texas.

"Use whatever funds are necessary from Revenue Sharing to free our Police Department from what they presently are... Tax Collectors with guns." In other words, separate the quality of justice and law enforcement in San Antonio from Tax Collection. So long as a portion of the money used to pay the Police comes from the collection of fines from our citizens, our Police force remains in bondage to this evil system. Justice and Law enforcement cannot be tied to the money necessary to operate the system. When the Police are free to pursue the real criminal elements, then San Antonio will reduce it's crime rate. So long as they must harass citizens for some portion of their salaries, the criminals will continue to flourish. It is true, there is no profit to the police for apprehending the murderer, the rapist, the thief, the drug dealer. But then, who ever suggested that the Police make a profit. Lets return the policeman to his proper role, the friend and protector of all the citizens who are not criminals. I'm certain that every honest policeman resents having to collect his own wages in the odious way he is now forced to, .ie. by ticketing parked cars, arresting persons for technical auto violations, where nobody's life is endangered, etc. Lets put the Police Department on it's own, adequately paid, and completely separate from the system of injustice as it presently exists. Use the Revenue Sharing dollars for this purpose and all of San Antonio will present a new image to the World.

Victor Hugo once said there is nothing so powerful as an idea whos time has come. It is our belief that the time for this idea has come. I do not have the figure on what portion of the fines collected goes into the, paid for the Policemen, but I am sure that information is available in your department.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you Mr. Sawyer. Mrs. Ida Kenney.

MRS. IDA KENNEY: Mr. Mayor Mrs. Haberman and Gentlemen of the Council. I tried to stay away and not bother you but I felt we've better put in appearance in today to give you an idea that we thank you for what tried to do with the bus token. Unfortunately, it hasn't worked as we know. Our people have been without bus tokens for months and they desparately need another system. I am sure that you've taken this into consideration in your revenue sharing, so we'll dismis with transportation for the Senior Citizens.

MAYOR GATTI: Let me say... I wrote Mr. Hill a letter yesterday and told him to immediately get the I.D. card.

MRS. KENNEY: God Bless you for the Senior Citizens.

Number two, as you know we have nutrition coming in. It's been promised and we do hope to see it very soon. This is on a 90-10 bases and we know you can not use your revenue sharing funds for matching funds on nutrition. You'd be matching several funds with several funds. However, what you can do and what we do need desparately are centers where this nutritions or the foods can be dispenced to the senior citizens. We have looked at Hemisfair for a long, long time. It's centrally located. I know in the past that the City submitted a bill to our Governors Committee on Aging for this. Supposely, it was turn down because the Governor's Committees funds could not be used for repair. In your revenue sharing, you can use funds for repair of buildings that could be used for the Citizens Seniors downtown. They need areas where they can play dominoes and checkers and get together with friends and not feel underput when they are in the homes of their children or when they need to get out. You can do this with your revenue sharing.

February 22, 1973  
mg

-33-

Number three. We have already had a mandate from the people for the \$3,000 exemption on homesteads. The County has granted. The hospital district has indicated it will also do this. We hope that you will allow in your revenue sharing an amount that will take care of the \$3,000 exemption. I under approximately 100 million will be taken off the tax roll, both in City and County, through your downtown-intown. So the senior citizens feel that surely they can get their \$3,000 off of their homestead exemption. Other than this we think that the police is doing a find job. We feel that the damage that it is doing that is coming to our law enforcement in the City of senior citizens is through the court. Not through the police. They arrest the trouble makers and they are back on the streets before the police get there. So, we're bending every effort towards seeing Legislation is passed to put teeth in the law so that these people can be put away and not hurt the seniors. As you know also we have been informed indirectly that the County is turning down our geriatric village at Southton. We're very sad over this because supposedly the maximum security unit for the criminally insane will go there instead. And this again takes jobs away from senior citizens because these people would be trained to go out and put on jobs on the southside. I hope something can be done through the City. I know it's in the County, and not the City, but perhaps your influence will help. Thank you very much.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you Mrs. Kenney. Jackie Dauer.

MR. JACKIF DAUER: I relinquish my time and concur with what Mr. Joe Martinez and Father Edmundo Rodriguez have said about public housing.

MAYOR GATTI: Mr. Dick Jones.

DICK JONES: Thank you, Mayor Gatti and Members of the City Council. I am Dick Jones, Executive Director of San Antonio Housing Authority.

In a previous appearance before you I outlined the financial dilemma of the San Antonio Housing Authority and its causes. I will not bore you today with a repetition of those remarks.

- In a nutshell, congress has mandated unusually low rents to residents of LHA'S to assist them. Congress has also provided offsetting "operating subsidized" to help LHA'S pay the bills. The White House has seen fit to impound a large portion of the needed subsidies, thereby cutting off the oxygen supply of housing authorities and jeopardizing the very existence of the program.
- Taking the staff's best recommendations on how to close an \$800,000 gap between income and expenses, the Board has approved a series of policy changes effective March 1 and April 1 which will close this gap and permit SAHA to keep the shelter safe for 6,545 San Antonio low income families.
- Less responsible boards have already voted to close down apartments as they become vacant and/or deed the entire property back to the department of HUD. We in San Antonio have decided against that course.

Mayor Gatti and Members of this Council have wisely perceived the revenue sharing strategy of this administration--to allocate new monies to the Cities while at the same time cut off monies previously authorized by congress for the specific "categorical" programs such as Model Cities, Urban Renewal, the EODC, water and sewer grants and subsidized housing. (My unsolicited advice would be to use the new revenue sharing money to continue those programs which are going to be cut back, as far as the money will go, without taking on new and continuing programs... However, you do not need my advice on such matters.)

In closing I would like to say one word about the partnership between SAHA residents and management which is now entering it's fifth year. This partnership is based upon mutual respect and faith in each other in spite of all attempts to pit one party against the other as mortal enemies.

- The board and staff of SAHA share the same goals as do the residents--to provide the best possible housing for each individual and to improve the neighborhood in which each family lives.
- Management and the board has the obligation of caring for a \$65 million dollar housing asset for San Antonio and will meet that responsibility without flinching.
- I will not pretend to speak for the residents because they can speak for themselves and do a much better job of it than I ever could. They have a different role than management, even though we both share the same goals.

After considering the many needs of people in San Antonio, the City Council decides to share \$400,000 worth of this new revenue with the San Antonio Housing Authority, then obviously, both staff and board would be overjoyed and adjust the new policies accordingly. Our deadlines did not permit waiting until this hearing and its outcome because of a serious cash flow problem which would have found us unable to pay our bills sometime during the month of March, 1973. The "Survival Budget" has been resubmitted to the department of HUD with unanimous board approval and we expect to have it approved by the HUD area office this week.

We shall, of course, be guided by the wishes of the Mayor and City Council and know that your decisions on revenue sharing will be arrived at by careful thought and deliberation.

The problem which we all share is how to restore this administration's confidence in the people of America... as much confidence as is shared in the bankers, large businesses and in the department of defense.

Thanks for your consideration of the survival dilemma of the San Antonio Housing Authority--its board, staff and 21,000 residents.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you Mr. Jones.

MR. RAYMOND COOK: Mr. Mayor, Members of the City Council, I'm Raymond Cook, representing as youth protection and safety chairman, the 900 plus parents of Roosevelt High School P.T.A., the school staff and their students. Briefly, we wish to publicly thank the City Council and its staff for including in its project the item number C7, "Reconstruction of Walzem Road." The amount of the money shown and its timing are exactly right for the needed project. With the Texas Highway Department and Bexar County cooperating, you will help us by saving lives and protecting our school children. Please act favorably on this item.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you sir.

MR. COOK: We acknowledge and appreciate your efforts.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you very much. Mr. Jack Simms.

MR. JACK SIMMS: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, I had the pleasure of speaking with you about two weeks ago about the same subject. I'm here on behalf of present contractor concerning ambulance services. I hope that I'm going to suggest something that will be good to your ears, and that is how to save some money. The proposal that you have before you about the staff proposes a budget of \$1,063,000 for what they call an emergency medical services system. So far as I can determine the system that is outlined consists of ambulance service. As you know, the City of San Antonio presently subsidizes ambulance service so that it can be provided on an emergency basis for those who can not pay. Now, there's no question in anybody's mind that we need to improve the ambulance service in this City. However, a great many portions of the plan submitted to the Council depend upon the cooperation of others. That is the cooperation of hospitals, the cooperation of the medical society and the doctors. For instance, there is a considerable area devoted to communication. Something in the nature of the television series where there is direct radio communication between the ambulance and the emergency room, I might mention that the present contractor, when he entered into this contract established just that type of communication. But, the hospitals and medical society or whoever has the responsibility did not see fit to assign anyone to answer the radio on their end. Therefore, it was useless and was removed. Unless we have the cooperation of all of these various facets, the plan will not work. Now, I'm here to tell you this, that if a private enterprise contractor whether it be the present contractor or someone else is given the same amount of cooperation by the hospitals and by the medical society that is proposed in the present plan that is submitted to you that the exact same service can be provided for less than half of the amount that is proposed. The proposal is inaccurate in that you'll notice that it calls for some 49 men to operate 13 ambulances. Well, a simple pencil to that figure will show you it does work. You got two men to an ambulance. That's 26, three shifts, that's 78. You've got to have some people for days off and vacation time and so forth. You're talking about 85 men at least, plus staff office personnel, dispatchers, this type of thing. So the figures are just not fair. I noted and jotted down figures given earlier for firemen. They said that 25 firemen for 1/2 a year would run \$108,000, multiplying that if you take 50 firemen for a full year you're talking about \$433,000. If you're talking about some 85, you've got to consider it larger figure. I'm telling the Council that we hope that you will see fit to be very jealous with public money that you will give free enterprise and opportunity to provide the service. As I told you in the past last year the City Council saw fit to spend approximately about \$100,000 on ambulance service. This is a very small amount. However, if that amount were doubled or tripled a very adequate service

for the time being could be provided, and I guarantee you that the very sophisticated service that is proposed if the cooperation of these various agencies were provided as contemplated by the plan that it can be provided with at least one-half of the proposed cost. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, Mr. Simms. Mr. Lanny Sinkin.

MR. LANNY SINKIN: Mr. Mayor, Members of the Council, I'm Lanny Sinkin, Executive Director of the Urban Coalition of Metropolitan San Antonio. I'm going to talk very fast cause I have a lot to say. (A copy of Mr. Sinkin's remarks are included with the papers of this meeting.)

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you Mr. Sinkin. Mr. Bernal

MR. JOE BERNAL: Mr. Mayor and Members of the Council. I come with the concern probably exemplified by Mr. Sinkin's remarks and that is that I really don't feel that the public has had sufficient time to study the 66 page report that was submitted for future expenditures under the Revenue Sharing fund that was given to San Antonio. I attended that same meeting that Mr. Sinkin referred to in Dallas, and I understand, of course, the Revenue Sharing concept is a very pervasive one. If I caught any feelings from the Council in Dallas, and the Council is made up of all of the heads of the various departments. If I caught any feeling it was a feeling that the public would have to change to the Mayor's office, the Governor's office. Of course, that brings up a very strong concern on my part that through categorical grants that have been provided for many people throughout the country and throughout Texas and San Antonio, that many people were provided a voice like a voice through Model Cities or a voice through Housing or a voice wherever. It seems that those voices will be changed to voices coming before the City Council and voices that have to be heard before the Governor's office. The politics of this, of course, may shift to having to take a long trek to Austin or having to come to meetings in the morning which prohibits many people from coming because they have to work, especially the low income people.

I have a whole list of concerns that I really wanted to express. I don't feel really that I can unless I was in the position to dialogue some of these matters with you, the Members of the Council. Suffice it to say I feel that perhaps that item which you had is a very important item about a year ago about allowing for geographical areas to be represented by the City Council. That those persons in the City Council would be living in those particular geographical areas may be a future response, a legitimate response to people being heard from the many areas that don't feel that they can be heard by having to come to a morning meeting before the Council.

I would like to touch on maybe three things that are very important in my estimation. The one thing perhaps that I've heard more about, where I have my office on Buena Vista Street, is those people that live in public housing. These are the lowest of the low income people and their amount of deposit being increased to 50 dollars either from 10 or 20 dollars to 50 dollars is really hurting some of these people because in some cases they have no income. This may seem like a very small amount for many of us; it's a great big amount for many. I would like to endorse Father Rodriguez' earlier comments on the amount of money that could be provided to take care of this deficit, that Mr. Jones talked about in the amount of either \$500,000 or \$800,000. As far as the elderly are concerned, I had a

part to do with the \$3,000 exemption, and I hope that the City moves to make up for that amount of money that the City will not accrue from the \$3,000 exemption on the homesteads for the elderly.

The other matter has to do with police and I did meet with some of the association members at one time and their concern is my concern and that is that at certain hours of the day they really need two people. One person patrolling an area sometimes doesn't only hurt the patrolman, but it hurts the people that the patrolman is supposed to protect. The association was asking that two persons patrol in a car on certain times in certain areas. I think this is a very good thing that it could be brought about to some of the money that you are talking about in your general Revenue Sharing. I think it would be a good idea.

The other is the whole question, again, of the various special Revenue Sharing funds that are going to be coming in the future. That throws us again into my first point of interest. That is that we're talking in terms right now of general Revenue Sharing and in the future, if the Congress moves with Mr. Nixon's request that we have four areas of special Revenue Sharing, it means more people coming to your offices, to the Council Chamber to discuss further what their needs are. I'm just saying that perhaps one of the most important things would be to revive that issue that you had about a year ago of providing that the City Council members be selected or voted upon or elected by change of the City Charter so that those people could come from certain geographical areas so that if that Councilman felt responsive, and I'm sure he would to that particular area that would be electing him, he could hold those public hearings at whatever time he need necessary in response to the needs of the public from that particular area that saw that person as their representative. Thank you very much.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you, Joe. Mr. Emerson Marcee.

MR. EMERSON MARCEE: To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council, I am here today to restate two items of need previously brought to the attention of the Council for consideration of which you have agreed is far overdue. The San Antonio Branch NAACP appeared before this Council some months ago and urged the Council to do something about the underpass and overpasses over the existent railroad on the east and west side. We spoke specifically about the Southern Pacific stoppage of traffic from the east side which has caused delays, inconveniences in travel, and some lives of persons in route to hospitals of which we have none on the east side and these were in case of emergencies. We have also urged the Council to build an overwalk over the expressway, Interstate 37, that passes through the Victoria Courts to protect the lives of children, senior citizens and others. Today we feel it appropriate to request that the Council designate a portion of the Revenue Sharing funds for the railroad underpasses and overpasses and the overwalk for the expressway near the Victoria Courts. Thank you.

MAYOR GATTI: Thank you. All right, I declare the hearing closed. We will now recess for about a thirty minute lunch and then we'll continue with the regular order of business.

73-9 The meeting recessed, for lunch, at 12:45 P. M. and reconvened at 1:35 P. M.

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Chief of Police Emil Peters, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mr. Naylor, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Padilla; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,860

ESTABLISHING PRICES TO BE CHARGED THE PUBLIC FOR POLICE DEPARTMENT PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN AT THE SCENE OF ACCIDENTS.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Fire Chief Bart T. Mulhern, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,861

GRANTING THE PETITION OF THE NORTHWEST OPTIMIST CLUB TO HOLD A CEREMONIAL BONFIRE, FEBRUARY 24, 1973, ON PRUE ROAD UNDER SUPERVISION OF THE SAN ANTONIO FIRE DEPARTMENT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,862

GRANTING PERMISSION FOR ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY, STUDENT SENATE OFFICE, TO HOLD A BONFIRE ON THE UNIVERSITY GROUNDS FEBRUARY 24, 1973, UNDER SUPERVISION OF THE SAN ANTONIO FIRE DEPARTMENT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,863

ADDING ONE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT CHIEF POSITION WITHIN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND AUTHORIZING CERTAIN CHANGES IN THE DEPARTMENT ORGANIZATIONAL TABLE.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Bob Frazer, Director of Parks and Recreation, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Becker, seconded by Mr. Naylor, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

## AN ORDINANCE 41,864

APPROVING A BUDGET FOR THE NEBRASKA STREET PARK PROJECT AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURE IN THE PROJECT; AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF THE CITY'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE PROJECT FROM PARK BOND FUNDS.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Bob Frazer, Director of Parks and Recreation, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mr. Becker, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

## AN ORDINANCE 41,865

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT WITH BARRY F. JOHNSON, INC., CONSULTING ENGINEERS, TO FURNISH PROFESSIONAL SERVICES PERTAINING TO ELMENDORF LAKE POOL IMPROVEMENTS; AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$5,000.00 TO SAID ENGINEERS OUT OF ACCOUNT 708-04 AND \$500.00 TO BE USED FOR MISCELLANEOUS CONTINGENCIES.

\* \* \* \*

SCOPE OF PROJECT:

1. Install circulation system and filter
2. Construct 20' x 20' wading pool
3. Improve bathhouse facilities
4. Sewer line connections

73-9 Item 21 on the agenda being an ordinance to extend a contract with Paul E. Rushing for lease of 120 acres of City-owned land, was withdrawn from consideration at the request of the City Manager.

73-9 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

## AN ORDINANCE 41,866

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF WAGNER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE ACCESS ROAD SUPPORT - QUINTANA ROAD GRADE SEPARATION; APPROPRIATING \$164,835.00 OUT OF STREET BOND FUND 409-02 PAYABLE TO SAID CONTRACTOR AND \$8,245.00 TO BE USED AS A MISCELLANEOUS CONTINGENCY FUND; ALSO AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACT COVERING THE ABOVE.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, speaking for Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Assistant Director of Public Works, stated that this Ordinance accepts the low bid of Wagner Construction Company for the construction of the Access Road Support in connection with the Quintana Road Grade Separation. The project consists of re-location of utilities and buildings on Kelly Air Force Base within the new right-of-way required for the Quintana Road Grade Separation. Three bids were received on this project as follows:

Wagner Construction Company	-	\$164,835.00
H. B. Zachry Company	-	227,628.00
O. A. Fessenden, Incorporated	-	254,575.00

Mr. Fischer recommended that the low bid of Wagner Construction Company be approved.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Becker, seconded by Mr. Hill, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote:  
AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Hilliard, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti;  
NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

73-9 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,867

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MODIFICATIONS TO THOSE AGREEMENTS WITH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PROVIDING FOR TRANSPORTATION AND TREATMENT BY THE CITY, OF SEWAGE GENERATED WITHIN CERTAIN MILITARY INSTALLATIONS; SO AS TO BRING SUCH AGREEMENTS INTO CONFORMITY WITH CITY ORDINANCES CONCERNING SEWER SERVICE RATES AND INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT AND TRANSPORTATION.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,868

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF THE SUM OF \$7,500.00 TO MRS. ESTHER MARIE MITCHELL, A FEME SOLE, IN SATISFACTION OF HER CLAIM AGAINST THE CITY AND PATROLMAN JAMES C. ERVIN FOR THE DEATH OF HER SON, MAURICIO MITCHELL.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 41,869

APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$1,500.00 FROM FUND NO. 708, ACCOUNT NO. 78-40-90, PAYABLE TO THE U. S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION FOR TRAINING OF CITY EMPLOYEES.

\* \* \* \*

Action on item 26 on the agenda being an ordinance amending the franchise and calling for a public hearing was postponed by the City Council until the administrative staff completes an analysis of the proposed amendments and submits same to the City Council.

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Associate City Manager Winston Ulmer, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Naylor, seconded by Mr. Hill, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,870

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF UNITED AERIAL MAPPING TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHIC SERVICES FOR A TOTAL PRICE OF \$4,200.00; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO SAID COMPANY IN THAT AMOUNT.  
(TAX MAPPING PROJECT)

\* \* \* \*

73-9 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Hilliard, seconded by Mr. Mendoza, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,871

APPOINTING MR. DAN T. PARADA TO THE ADVISORY BOARD OF THE SAN ANTONIO METROPOLITAN HEALTH DISTRICT FOR A TERM EXPIRING JULY 31, 1975.

\* \* \* \*

CITY MANAGER REPORTS

City Manager Loyd Hunt reported that since January, the staff has been trying to make an assessment of the impact of frozen federal funds and programs that are being stopped for lack of funds. The study is in terms of the effect on manpower. The purpose of the study is to develop a plan so that as vacancies open up in the City's rolls some of the affected people can be moved to permanent jobs. He stated that he hoped to have a manpower plan within a month or two.

A. CASE 4703 - to rezone Lots 3 and 4, NCB 11511, 3600 Block of Embassy Drive, from "A" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District, located on the northside of Embassy Drive, being 210' southwest of the intersection of Bandera Road and Embassy Drive, having 157.0' on Embassy Drive and a maximum depth of 150'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Becker made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Hill seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Hilliard, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,872

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE  
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE  
ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN  
ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION  
AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY  
DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 3 AND 4, NCB  
11511, 3600 BLOCK OF EMBASSY DRIVE,  
FROM "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL  
DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY  
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT  
PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

B. CASE 4864 - to rezone Lot 1, Block 1, NCB 14795, 4300 Block of Gardendale Drive, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District; Lot 2, Block 1, NCB 14795, 4400 Block of Gardendale Drive, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; a 21.925 acre tract of land out of NCB 13662, 11.503 acre tract of land out of NCB 14281, 7.398 acre tract of land out of NCB 16030, 8.572 acre tract of land out of NCB 14595, 2.194 acre tract of land out of NCB 14446, 55.413 acre tract of land out of NCB 14445 and 18.391 acre tract of land out of NCB 12858, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; a 14.628 acre tract of land out of NCB 14595, 4.742 acre tract of land out of NCB 14282, 5.990 acre tract of land out of NCB 14281, 11.291 acre tract of land out of NCB 13662, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "O-1" Office District; Lot 7 and 2.278 acre tract of land out of NCB 13662, 2.152 acre tract of land out of NCB 14281, 0.929 acre tract of land out of NCB 14282, 8.971 acre tract of land out of NCB 14445, 3.325 acre tract of land out of NCB 12858, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District and "B-2" Business District to "B-3" Business District; and a

17.350 acre tract of land out of NCB 14446, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "I-1" Light Industry District.

Lot 1 is located north of the intersection of Wurzbach Road and Gardendale Drive; having 239.94' on Wurzbach Road and 208.86' on Gardendale Drive.

Lot 2 is located east of the intersection of Bluemel Road and Gardendale Drive; having 326.11' on Bluemel Drive and 1459.03' on Gardendale Drive.

The remaining properties are located in the University Estates Subdivisions having frontage on the northwest and southeast side of Wurzbach Road between Fredericksburg Road and I.H. 10 Expressway. An overall map showing the above-mentioned tracts is available in the office of the City Clerk.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Becker made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Padilla, Gatti; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

#### AN ORDINANCE 41,873

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NCB 14795, 4300 BLOCK OF GARDENDALE DRIVE, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT; LOT 2, BLOCK 1, NCB 14795, 4400 BLOCK OF GARDENDALE DRIVE, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; A 21.925 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 13662, 11.503 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14281, 7.398 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 16030, 8.572 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14595, 2.194 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 1446, 55.413 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14445 AND 18.391 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 12858, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3"

MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT;  
A 14.628 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB  
14595, 4.742 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF  
NCB 14282, 5.990 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT  
OF NCB 14281, 11.291 ACRE TRACT OF LAND  
OUT OF NCB 13662, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED  
BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE  
FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "O-1"  
OFFICE DISTRICT; LOT 7 AND 2.278 ACRE  
TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 13662, 2.152  
ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14281,  
0.929 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB  
14282, 8.971 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF  
NCB 14445, 3.325 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT  
OF NCB 12858, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED  
BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF  
THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1"  
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT AND  
"B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT TO "B-3"  
BUSINESS DISTRICT, AND A 17.350 ACRE  
TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14446, BEING  
FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED  
IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM  
TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL  
DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT,  
PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

C. CASE 4877 - to rezone a 4.142 acre tract of land out of NCB 10976, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 6800 Block of Pecan Valley Drive, from "B" Two Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District, located on the northwest side of Pecan Valley Drive, bounded by Utopia Lane and Woodruff Avenue; having 839.71' on Pecan Valley Drive, 476.49' on Woodruff Avenue and 640.16' on Utopia Lane.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

Mrs. Helen Dutmer, 739 McKinley Avenue, stated that she could not say that she was against this particular project. She said she represented a group of citizens living in the vicinity of the proposed apartment complex. She stated that her concern was not the apartments that are of the conventional type. However, in their area of the City the rental basis do not meet those of luxury type apartments. They are concerned that the people constructing the apartments meet the minimum City codes and then wait the 18 month moratorium to "turn-key the project". This has happened before. She felt citizens should be taken into consideration and asked that a public statement be made from the owners so that they would at least be morally taken to task if they should turn-key this project at a later date.

Mr. Richard Peacock, the applicant representing the owner of the property, stated that he met with this group of people and explained to them what they are going to build. They definitely are

not building a low class rental project and were not planning to sell them to the Housing Authority. It will be a garden-type apartment project of 160 units and will be financed by a local savings and loan association. The rent will range from \$160 to \$210 per month.

After consideration, Mr. Hill made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Becker seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Haberman, Hill, Becker, Mendoza, Naylor, Gatti; NAYS: Padilla; ABSENT: Hilliard, Calderon.

AN ORDINANCE 41,874

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 4.142 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 10976, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 6800 BLOCK OF PECAN VALLEY DRIVE, FROM "B" TWO FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

73-9 CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

MR. JOSE MONTALVO

Mr. Jose Montalvo, 2323 San Fernando, representing El Comite del Paseo de Marzo, presented a resolution for consideration by the City Council to declare Saturday, March 10, 1973, an official day of commemoration in honor of all Mexican Americans -- both living and dead -- who have served the cause of freedom in all wars since the Texas War of Independence; and that the Council embrace the idea to establish a national shrine in commemoration of forgotten Mexican American soldiers on the site of the old cemetery, now known as Milam Square; and that the Council urge all business and civic groups, as well as all citizens in general, to join the efforts of El Comite del Paseo de Marzo, to establish the yearly commemoration on the first Saturday following March 6 and the dedication of the national shrine. (A copy of Mr. Montalvo's resolution is included with the papers of this meeting.)

MR. RAUL RODRIGUEZ

Mr. Raul Rodriguez spoke of the alleged waste of \$35,000 by the United Businessmen of San Antonio. He stated that the CPPC had asked Mr. Roy Montez, Director of Model Cities, to set up a meeting with UBSA, and this has not been done. Mr. Rodriguez asked that the Council take action to dismiss the present Director of Model Cities.

73-9

The Clerk read the following letter:

February 16, 1973

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

The following petitions were received by my office and forwarded to the City Manager for his investigation and report to the City Council.

February 12, 1973

Petition of Prestige Homes requesting permission to erect an eight foot (8') fence along one side of their development.

February 16, 1973

Petition of Reverend Charles Kemble in behalf of Highland Park Lions Club, requesting the need for an entrance and exit ramp from I. H. 37 and I. H. 10 on the west side of South Hackberry.

/s/ J. H. INSELMANN  
City Clerk

\* \* \* \*

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 2:10 P. M.

A P P R O V E D

  
M A Y O R

ATTEST:

  
C i t y C l e r k

February 22, 1973  
nsr

-47-

