

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 11, 1971.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order by the presiding officer,
Mayor W. W. McAllister, with the following members present:
McALLISTER, CALDERON, BURKE, JAMES, HABERMAN, NIELSEN, TREVINO, HILL,
TORRES; Absent: NONE.

- - -
71-6 The invocation was given by Reverend John E. Parse, Westminister
Presbyterian Church.

- - -
The minutes of the meeting of February 4, 1971, were approved.

- - -
71-6 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained
by members of the Administrative Staff and after consideration, on motion
made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following
vote: AYES: McAllister, Calderon, Burke, James, Haberman, Trevino, Hill,
Torres; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 39,235

EXTENDING THE PRESENT GROUP LIFE AND
ACCIDENTAL DEATH INSURANCE WITH
REPUBLIC NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE
COMPANY AT PRESENT RATES UNTIL
JANUARY 1, 1972.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,236

AUTHORIZING THE RENEWAL OF CERTAIN
SUBSCRIPTIONS FROM STANDARD & POOR'S
CORPORATION, FOR USE OF CITY OF SAN
ANTONIO PUBLIC LIBRARY, FOR A NET
TOTAL OF \$1,010.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,237

ACCEPTING THE LOW BIDS OF CATTO &
PUTTY, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$11,086.80
AND WATSON DISTRIBUTING COMPANY, INC.
IN THE AMOUNT OF \$4,212.60 ALSO MAGNOLIA
SEED HARDWARE AND IMPLEMENT COMPANY IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$4,300.00 TO FURNISH THE
CITY WITH CERTAIN TYPES OF FERTILIZER.

* * * *

February 11, 1971
kry

AN ORDINANCE 39,238

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF ANDERSON
MACHINERY COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY
WITH TWO TRACTOR-DOZERS FOR A TOTAL
OF \$120,000.00.

* * * *

71-6 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Aviation Director, Tom Raffety, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hill, seconded by Mr. Trevino, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Calderon, Burke, James, Haberman, Trevino, Hill, Torres; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 39,239

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH COMPANIA
MEXICANA DE AVIACION, TO AMEND THE
PRESENT LEASE AGREEMENT OF CERTAIN
SPACE IN THE PUBLIC TERMINAL BUILDING
AT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, TO INCLUDE
ADDITIONAL SPACE.

* * * *

Mr. Torres told Mr. Raffety that he had received complaints from the wives of some of the custodial employees at International Airport to the effect that a suitable place was not available for them to take a coffee break or to eat their lunch. Employees are not permitted to sit in the main building, except in the restaurant area.

Mr. Raffety said that it is true that more space is needed and this is being prepared. Meanwhile, employees have been using the annex building, which is some 150 feet from the main building. He said that employees are not permitted to take a break or eat lunch in the main building, because the public does not know that they are off duty.

Mr. Raffety assured Mr. Torres the situation will be improved.

71-6 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by members of the Administrative Staff and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Calderon, Burke, James, Haberman, Nielsen, Trevino, Hill, Torres; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 39,240

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF CRANE SUPPLY
COMPANY FOR CONSTRUCTION OF AIRPORT
SEWAGE PUMP PROJECT; AUTHORIZING
EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT COVERING SAID
WORK; AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF \$3,201.00
TO SAID CONTRACTOR, AND THE SUM OF
\$150.00 TO BE USED AS A CONTINGENCY
ACCOUNT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,241

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT WITH GROVES, FERNANDEZ, LUDWIG, BARRY, TELFORD & ASSOCIATES, TO FURNISH PROFESSIONAL SERVICES IN REGARD TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE MISSION PARKWAY PROJECT, FROM MILITARY DRIVE NORTH TO MISSION ROAD; APPROPRIATING \$19,375.00 OUT OF PARK IMPROVEMENT BONDS PAYABLE TO SAID CONCERN, AND \$1,000.00 TO BE USED AS A CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,242

AUTHORIZING A FIVE YEAR LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WHEREBY KELLY AIR FORCE BASE MAY UTILIZE THE CITY'S SANITARY LAND FILLS FOR SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL AT A FEE OF \$.60 PER TON.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,243

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT WITH SELIGMANN & PYLE, CONSULTING ENGINEERS, TO FURNISH PROFESSIONAL SERVICES IN REGARD TO RELOCATION OF SANITARY SEWERS FROM LOOP 410 TO SAN PEDRO AVENUE IN CONNECTION WITH U. S. 281 NORTH EXPRESSWAY; APPROPRIATING \$5,680.00 OUT OF NORTH EXPRESSWAY BOND FUNDS PAYABLE TO SAID ENGINEERS AND \$1,000.00 TO BE USED AS A CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,244

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH NASEER AHMAD, D/B/A PAKISTAN HANDICRAFT CENTER, FOR A ONE YEAR LEASE OF BUILDING NO. 336 AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA, BEGINNING ON MARCH 1, 1971, WITH A FOUR YEAR OPTION TO RENEW.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,245

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH LOFT ART GALLERY, INC., D/B/A VILLA DE ARTES, FOR A ONE

YEAR LEASE OF BUILDING NO. 308
AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA, BEGINNING ON
MARCH 1, 1971, WITH A FOUR YEAR
OPTION TO RENEW.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,246

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT
WITH MISSOURI-KANSAS-TEXAS RAILROAD
COMPANY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY RAILROAD
CROSSING AT ROTARY STREET IN CONNECTION
WITH THE STOCK SHOW PARADE ON FEBRUARY
12, 1971.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,247

APPROPRIATING \$44,410.00 OUT OF NORTH
EXPRESSWAY BONDS TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY
IN REGARD TO U. S. 281 NORTH EXPRESSWAY
PROJECT; APPROPRIATING \$2,500.00 OUT OF
SEWER REVENUE BONDS FOR EASEMENTS
PERTAINING TO THE SALADO CREEK OUTFALL
PROJECT; APPROPRIATING \$12,500.00 OUT
OF STREET IMPROVEMENT BONDS FOR PROPERTY
IN CONNECTION WITH GITTINGER AVENUE
PAVING PROJECT AND APPROPRIATING
\$22,696.00 FOR ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY
PERTAINING TO MILITARY DRIVE, SECTION C,
PROJECT, PAYABLE OUT OF NORTH EXPRESSWAY
BONDS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,248

CLOSING AND ABANDONING A 1' x 18' STRIP
OF ALLEY RIGHT OF WAY ADJACENT TO LOT 16,
BLOCK 3, NEW CITY BLOCK 6781, AND
AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE
A QUITCLAIM DEED TO THE ABUTTING OWNER
OF LOT 16 FOR A CONSIDERATION OF \$250.00
AND OTHER VALUABLE CONSIDERATION.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,249

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO RELEASE
A PORTION OF A DRAINAGE EASEMENT IN A
PORTION OF LOT 20, BLOCK C, NEW CITY
BLOCK 11610, AND TO EXECUTE A QUITCLAIM
DEED THERETO.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 39,250

AMENDING THE RENTAL RATES CHARGED FOR THE SAN ANTONIO CONVENTION CENTER ARENA, BY PROVIDING A CHARGE OF \$500.00 PER EVENT TO ANY SCHOOL OR COLLEGE PROMOTED AMATEUR BASKETBALL GAME.

* * * *

71-6 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 39,251

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY FOR CARRYING OUT THE MODEL CITIES HOUSING COUNSELING AND INFORMATION PROJECT; ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT; AUTHORIZING A TRANSFER OF FUNDS, AND APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$88,212.00 OUT OF SUCH NEW ACCOUNT PAYABLE TO THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY FOR CONTRACTUAL SERVICES TO BE RENDERED.

* * * *

The following discussion took place:

ROY MONTEZ: The Council will recall that the staff was instructed to meet with Urban Renewal to see if they could, or if they would, undertake both of these two projects, the Housing Counseling Service and the Special Rehabilitation Project. I have a letter from Winston Martin, wherein he discusses the two projects with the Urban Renewal Board of Commissioners and they have indicated that they would be willing to undertake these two projects.

DR. CALDERON: I so move.

MR. TORRES: How will they conduct them?

ROY MONTEZ: How will they what, sir?

MR. TORRES: In other words, how would they - how would their operation - you know we took nine months before we rejected a previous proposal - how, exactly, would these two projects be operated? The same way as it had been previously proposed by MAUC? Is there an in-house capability to conduct this thing? What is this capability? Who is going to conduct it? Under who's supervision and direction? How is the service going to be performed? I mean there's a number of questions that we took nine months on a previous proposal and by your motion, it would seem to me, Dr. Calderon, that because you have such a hang-up over the MAUC proposal, you're wanting to rubber stamp and react to this one here by merely, you know, carte blanche approving it.

DR. CALDERON: I have all the confidence in the world.

February 11, 1971
kry

-5-

MR. TORRES: Well, it's not a matter of confidence.

DR. CALDERON: Let me finish here. I have all the confidence in the world in the capabilities of the Urban Renewal Agency to carry out both of these projects. Based on my trust in their capabilities, I so move.

MR. TORRES: Well, confidence doesn't fall into it, you know, I mean, we have confidence in a lot of people and a lot of things and, you know, I think our responsibility is incumbent upon us to see how these tax dollars are going to be spent. You know, it's more than just a matter of confidence. I should think that we ought to know, or have a proposal as how these things - how these things are going to be managed. Do you have a proposal as how they are going to do this, Roy?

ROY MONTEZ: Yes, sir, we're working with Urban Renewal.

MR. TORRES: Has that proposal been completed?

ROY MONTEZ: No, sir, we're working on it.

MR. TORRES: Well, we sure required another agency that had a previous proposal before us - that we required them to go nine months to one year submitting proposal after proposal after proposal, which was approved on three occasions and finally it was rejected and now you come up with - up with something that you don't even have a proposal completed on.

MR. TREVINO: Mr. Mayor, may I say, may I interject here. Urban Renewal has a track record. They have the trained staff and they're doing similar work, not necessarily the same one, but it is very, very - almost the same - and these people have shown that they're capable of doing the job. I didn't see any finalized proposal of the other proposal that Mr. Torres is talking about. It was just the same thing on paper - just an ordinance, as we have here with maybe the budget. I didn't see anything in detail. Now, I presume that you did see that in detail, so you have seen this one in detail also and you know the record. You know what they've been doing in the past. You know that it took them four or five months to train their staff and that they do have a trained staff and, therefore, I'm going to second the Doctor's motion

MR. TORRES: We spent nine months reviewing and analyzing and discussing and approving and disapproving segments and portions of the Mexican American Unity Council proposal and now, by the motion and the second that has been made here, what this Council is saying is that without any discussion whatsoever this Council is going to rubber stamp a proposal of an agency whose proposal we have not even received. I think we have a bigger responsibility than that, Mayor.

DR. NIELSEN: Dr. Calderon, it's more than confidence. It's a matter of comprehensiveness. Roy, has this change been submitted to the CPPC in any way, shape or form?

ROY MONTEZ: No, sir, the City Council instructed us to meet with the Urban Renewal Agency to discuss if they could, or if they would, undertake these two projects. The amounts have not been altered one penny. The intents of the projects are identical to the point that Urban Renewal can do both of them

MR. TORRES: What's wrong with you submitting it to the CPPC, then? If that's the board and the agency with which you're supposed to work and they serve on an advisory capacity to the Council, I assume that

our role in establishing a Citizens' Participation Policy Committee was to submit these various proposals to see what the reaction of the Citizens' Participation Policy Committee would be. Now, why is it that we are changing our modus operandi in this one situation?

ROY MONTEZ: It's not being changed at all.

MR. TORRES: You said you haven't been through the CPPC. Have you?

ROY MONTEZ: I have not

MR. TORRES: Then, it has been changed and you are changing it in this particular situation, Mr. Montez.

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: Let me clarify this issue. The CPPC recommended the other agency - the private agency - to do this particular - these two projects - and it was under discussion, as you stated, for many, many months. We had documents from HUD stating that if a City agency could accomplish the projects, they would not approve the projects by a private agency. This City Council, after much discussion, decided that they would not approve the recommendation of the staff and of the CPPC to award it to the outside agency and instructed the staff to check with Urban Renewal to see if they had the capability to do these two projects and, if they did, then we were to contract with our City agency, the Urban Renewal Agency, and this is what we have done on the Council's instructions.

MR. TORRES: That was not my impression of the HUD memorandum, Mr. Henckel. There was never anything intimated in that HUD memorandum that we were to go to the Urban Renewal Agency or that a private agency could not do it. That certainly was not, I think, that you're misconstruing the tenor of the HUD letter, at least that correspondence that has - that correspondence which was given to the - copies of which were given to the City Council. Now, if there is something that you know on policy matters that the Council has not been appraised of it, I suggest that you share that policy information with the City Council. You know, you talk often about the administrative responsibilities and administrative functions and you handling the administrative and we handling the policy. I would suggest that if you refer to information which you have and this information, which could assist us in making a policy determination, then I suggest that you share your wisdom with this City Council, Mr. Henckel.

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: Pete, I furnished the Council with everything that we have and, as I stated, at the time that the decision was made by the Council, the policy decision that the memorandum from HUD that I thought was ambiguous and did not carry out the tenor of the verbal conversation that they gave us. I'm under the impression, and I may be wrong, that this Council has already made a policy decision and instructed the staff to contract with Urban Renewal, if I'm wrong, I stand to be corrected.

MR. TORRES: I have a substitute motion to make - that substitute motion being in the following tenor, that the proposal now before us, as Item No. 17 of the agenda, be submitted to the Model Cities' Citizens' Participation Policy Committee for consideration before action by this Council. I make that motion at this time.

DR. NIELSEN: And in seconding it, I think that Dr. Calderon, as we attempt to be comprehensive, we ought to not act so arbitrarily and we should, in fact, attempt in every way to maintain the partnership

February 11, 1971
kry

agreement we have with CPPC. Who knows, they may, as bad as we need housing, endorse this fully, say - let's get on with it - but we've got some procedure and protocol to go through. I'm sorry I didn't stay at the meeting two, three weeks ago, when you all decided to turn this over to the Urban Renewal Agency and I did not get into town until very late last night and saw this on the agenda and didn't know that it hadn't even been to CPPC, till just now. So, I think we should follow that procedure

MRS. HABERMAN: Jerry, let me ask, did CPPC approve the modus operandi in the same sense that this is being committed today?

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: Yes, I think the thing we need to clarify is that the basic operation here, in carrying out of these projects, would be almost identical, whether it be done by Urban Renewal or whether it be done by this other agency and this, I've been informed, that Mr. Martin has been in contact with the other agencies to use some of their personnel in carrying out this project. I don't believe there's any difference in the manner, the guideline set forth by HUD will have to be followed and so, state in the specifications that we have here today. The only question to be decided is to who is to do the project and I'm under the impression the Council had already made that decision.

MR. TORRES: The HUD guidelines have to be followed in all situations, so does that mean that, in view of the fact that HUD guidelines determine or establish the guidelines to be followed, that HUD establishes the guidelines to be followed, does that mean then that you're suggesting now that we eliminate the Citizens' Participation Policy Committee, since the, you know, the almighty people in HUD, you know, I mean, the Federal agencies, I'm not finished

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: Listen, Pete, maybe I misled the

MR. TORRES: You sure did mislead me. I still wasn't finished. If HUD establishes these guidelines, are you saying then that the omnipotence of HUD is going to replace, you know, the Citizens' Participation Policy Committee of any advisory and any other advice that this Council might seek from people of this community, who, I think, know more about local problems than people in Fort Worth or people in Washington, Mr. Henckel?

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: No, sir, let me go back, Pete, because I don't want to mislead you. This matter was recommended by staff to contract with the outside agency. This was approved by the CPPC and the next step was to submit it to the Council. When it was submitted to the Council, it was handled on two or three occasions, because the Council was not in concurrence with the recommendations. At the last discussion with the Council, the staff was instructed to contract with Model Cities' agencies and told the other agencies, who's president, executive director was here, that they would not contract with him for this particular project. I think that's the way the matter stands and it's merely up to the Council to make the decision. I don't believe there's anything more that staff could do.

MRS. HABERMAN: I call for the question.

MAYOR McALLISTER: Okay.

DR. CALDERON: Let me just say, in support of, as far as the subject motion is concerned - (inaudible) - approved the project and all that

February 11, 1971
kry

we're doing here is just merely substituting the sponsor for it. In no way does it involve changing the projects per se. I would, therefore, vote against the substitute motion.

MR. TORRES: So, what you're saying, Dr. Calderon, is that any situation where the CPPC approves a certain agency to perform a particular contract, you're saying that this Council, having designated the Citizens' Participation Policy Committee, and again in accordance with HUD guidelines, which make it incumbent upon us to designate an advisory board that's made up of the citizens in the Model Cities' area, then, you are saying that this Council can arbitrarily, and without seeking the advice of that agency, which we have established to give us advice in these matters, then you're saying we can arbitrarily scratch whatever wisdom they might have to lend us in these matters, is that what you're saying?

DR. NIELSEN: That's what he's saying, Pete, and I don't think

MRS. HABERMAN: That's your impression.

DR. CALDERON: Let me say

MR. TORRES: It's not my impression, I'm just merely seeking clarification of what this is Dr. Calderon's impression. This is what Dr. Calderon is doing by the action that he is taking this morning. He is saying this Council, if it wants to do things different, can rubber stamp anything that it wants and, of course, what this Council is doing, if it goes along with Dr. Calderon's proposal this morning, is being the same rubber stamp Council that you've been for the last three years, last ten years.

DR. CALDERON: Let me, Mr. Mayor, let me say to Mr. Torres, that, as usual, he was not listening to what I was saying

MR. TORRES: Oh, Dr. Calderon, you've been saying . . . I'm not going to keep quiet, Mayor, because this man is ridiculous, he is ridiculous, he is, talks

MAYOR McALLISTER: You're out of order!

Question is called for. Call the roll on the substitute motion.

MAYOR McALLISTER: No.

DR. CALDERON: No.

REV. JAMES: No.

MRS. HABERMAN: No.

DR. NIELSEN: Aye.

MR. TREVINO: No.

MR. HILL: No.

MR. TORRES: Aye.

CITY CLERK: Motion failed.

February 11, 1971
kry

MAYOR McALLISTER: Motion failed, call for a vote on the original motion.

MR. HILL: Aye.

MR. TORRES: No.

MAYOR McALLISTER: Aye.

DR. CALDERON: Aye.

MR. BURKE: Aye.

REV. JAMES: Aye.

MRS. HABERMAN: Aye.

DR. NIELSEN: No, I hate to say that as bad as we need housing, but this whole thing procedurally and politically and every other way has been messed up since the very beginning. I'm sorry that the majority on this Council feels the way it does.

MR. TREVINO: Yes, because we're trying to do just what he says, trying to fix it.

MAYOR McALLISTER: Okay, next item.

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 39,252

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY FOR CARRYING OUT THE MODEL CITIES SPECIAL REHABILITATION OF PROPERTIES PROJECT, ESTABLISHING A NEW ACCOUNT, AUTHORIZING A TRANSFER OF FUNDS, AND APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$161,160.00 PAYABLE TO THE URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY FOR CONTRACTUAL SERVICES TO BE RENDERED.

* * * *

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: This is the second part of the same thing that we've been discussing.

MR. TORRES: Do you have a written proposal on this particular item?

ROY MONTEZ: No, sir, Mr. Torres, both of these ordinances - both of these projects have been presented to the Urban Renewal Agency, and they are in substantial form as they were previously

MR. TORRES: But, you have

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: We have a written agreement, Pete.

MR. TORRES: Has that written agreement been presented to the Council for study?

ROY MONTEZ: No, but I'll be happy to read it, Mr. Torres.

MR. TORRES: How long is it?

ROY MONTEZ: One paragraph.

MR. TORRES: One paragraph. A \$161,160.00 proposal is a one paragraph, Mr. Montez? It's the shortest

CITY MANAGER HENCKEL: No, that's incorrect, the agreement

DR. CALDERON: Mr. Mayor, I move for the adoption of the Ordinance.

MR. TORRES: Until this morning, Mayor, the agreement had not been presented to the Council. I notice from the agreement that it does not specify a number of items which I think would be relevant and so far as the Council knowing the manner in which this particular proposal is going to be conducted, and I would offer a substitute again, first that the matter be referred to the Citizens Participation Policy Committee for consideration and secondly, that the manner in which this agreement is going to be implemented be submitted in writing to the City Council as has been the case in the past.

MAYOR McALLISTER: Is there a second? For lack of a second, the motion is out of order. Proceed with the roll call on the original motion.

MR. TORRES: No.

MAYOR McALLISTER: Aye.

DR. CALDERON: Aye.

MR. BURKE: Aye.

REV. JAMES: Aye.

MRS. HABERMAN: Aye.

DR. NIELSEN: No.

MR. TREVINO: Aye.

MR. HILL: Aye.

71-6 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 39,253

AMENDING THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS AND THE COUNTY OF BEXAR, TEXAS WHICH CREATED THE SAN ANTONIO METROPOLITAN HEALTH DISTRICT BY REDEFINING THE SERVICES TO BE PERFORMED BY THE DISTRICT AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION 14 OF SAID AGREEMENT.

* * * *

February 11, 1971
nsr

-11-

The ordinance was explained by Mr. Bob McDonald, Inter-governmental Coordinator, who reminded the Council that consideration of the ordinance had been postponed from February 4. It was also discussed in detail at the informal meeting on February 4.

In answer to Mr. Torres' question, Mr. McDonald said that this change would permit the City to perform medical services at the clinics.

Dr. Calderon spoke against adoption of the ordinance as he felt that the offering of medical services is strictly the responsibility of the County.

After discussion, on motion of Mr. Torres, seconded by Dr. Nielsen, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote:
 AYES: McAllister, Burke, James, Haberman, Nielsen, Trevino, Hill, Torres;
 NAYS: Calderon; ABSENT: None.

71-6

BLACK CULTURAL CENTER COMMITTEE

City Manager Henckel said that members of the Council have been furnished with a list of names suggested at last week's meeting to comprise a committee. The purpose of the committee would be to determine the feasibility of remodeling Carver Hall or whether a new facility should be built on the east side of San Antonio. It would, in fact, study the entire matter of an east side facility and make a report and recommendation to the Council.

Dr. Calderon expressed his opposition to any facility which would be constructed for any one group. He stated that all publicly owned buildings should be available to anyone to use.

After discussion, on motion by Rev. James, seconded by Mr. Torres, the following persons were appointed to serve on the committee by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Burke, James, Haberman, Trevino, Hill, Torres; NAYS: Calderon; ABSENT: Nielsen.

Rev. Sam H. James - Chairman

Mrs. Norva Hill	Mr. Eugene Coleman
Rev. C. W. Black	Mr. Franklin Collins
Mrs. Delores Bradley	Mrs. Carol Haberman
Mrs. Nancy Bowman	Rev. Gerald McAllister
Mr. R. Apollon	Mrs. Deborah Weser
Rev. E. A. Callies	Mrs. Virgie Jackson
Mrs. Barbara Payne	Mrs. O. M. Whittier

71-6

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE QUARTERLY REPORT

Mr. M. H. Segner, Vice-President of the Chamber of Commerce, distributed copies of a written report to members of the Council and asked them to follow as he read the report. (A copy of the report is included with papers of this meeting.)

February 11, 1971
 nsr

Following the report there was a general discussion of the report.

71-6 The Clerk read the following Ordinance for the second and final time:

AN ORDINANCE 39,169

PROVIDING FOR THE EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BOUNDARY LINES OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, AND THE ANNEXATION OF CERTAIN TERRITORY CONSISTING OF 18.1364 ACRES OF LAND, WHICH SAID TERRITORY LIES ADJACENT TO AND ADJOINS THE PRESENT BOUNDARY LIMITS OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO.

* * * *

Mr. J. H. Wilkerson, Acting Planning Director, explained the proposed annexation which was requested by the owners of the property, Community Properties, Inc. The area is known as The Hills, Unit 3 and 6.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, on motion of Dr. Calderon, seconded by Mr. Hill, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Calderon, Burke, Haberman, Nielsen, Trevino, Hill, Torres; NAYS: None; ABSENT: James.

71-6 SALE OF \$10,000,000 CITY WATER BOARD REVENUE BONDS

At 10:00 A. M. the bids received for the sale of \$10,000,000 City of San Antonio Water Revenue Bonds, Series 1971 were opened and read as follows:

HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC. & ASSOCIATES & DOMINICK & DOMINICK, INC.

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,014,231.25
Less: Premium	1,560.00
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,012,671.25</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.65339%

THE FIRST BOSTON CORPORATION & ASSOCIATES

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,106,856.25
Less: Premium	12,243.50
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,094,612.75</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.7077%

SALOMON BROTHERS & ASSOCIATES

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,254,712.50
Less: Premium	251.00
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,254,461.50</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.81384%

PHELPS, FENN & CO.F. S. SMITHERS & CO., INC. AND ASSOCIATES

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,138,871.88
Less: Premium	9,000.00
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,129,871.88</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.73116%

BLYTH & CO., INC.

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,125,740.62
Less: Premium	-0-
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,125,740.62</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.728428%

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED AND ASSOCIATES

Total interest from February 1, 1971 to final maturity	\$7,090,031.25
Less: Premium	5,348.50
Net Interest Cost	<u>\$7,084,682.75</u>

Effective Interest Rate 4.70118%

After verification of the bids, Mr. Mike Passur, Chairman of the City Water Board, advised the City Council that the bid submitted by Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc. & Associates & Dominick & Dominick, Inc., was the low bidder with an effective interest rate of 4.65339%. Mr. Passur said that the Water Board recommended that the Council adopt the Ordinance authorizing the issuance and sale of the bonds to Halsey, Stuart & Co., Inc. & Associates & Dominick & Dominick, Inc.

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 39,254

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF
WATER REVENUE BONDS. (\$10,000,000, SERIES
1971 SOLD TO HALSEY, STUART & CO., INC.
& ASSOCIATES & DOMINICK & DOMINICK, INC.)

* * * *

After consideration on motion of Dr. Calderon, seconded by Mr. Hill, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Calderon, Burke, James, Haberman, Trevino, Hill; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen, Torres.

February 11, 1971
nsr

-14-

71-6

MUNICIPAL COURTS

A discussion developed concerning published reports of mass dismissal of tickets and possible shortage of funds in the Corporation Court. It was agreed by the members of the Council that the matter should be discussed by the Council in private since it concerned personnel matters.

71-6

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

Mr. Joe A. Flores, 419 Rivas, accompanied by his wife and Raul Rodriguez appeared before the Council. Mr. Flores said that the Police Department had impounded his car. He did not have the money to get it released at the time. On investigating some months later, he found that the car had been sold at auction. He asked the City to indemnify him.

City Manager Henckel explained that all steps to notify Mr. Flores had been taken. His finance company was also notified. The City could not do anything about it.

Mrs. Haberman said that she would discuss the matter with Consolidated Finance Company.

COMMITTEE OF CONCERNED CITIZENS
RE: NIMITZ APARTMENT PROJECT

Mr. Bob De Paolo, Chairman of the Committee of Concerned Citizens, Mr. Charles Franz, and Mrs. Charles Kozarek, Jr., each spoke to the Council concerning the Nimitz Apartment Project being built across Blanco Road from Nimitz Junior High School. They advised the Council of additional efforts during the past week they had made to have this project stopped. The City Council was urged to enact an ordinance along the lines of the suggested ordinance which was submitted to the Council on February 4. Copies of a letter which the Committee had sent to HUD was distributed to members of the Council. (A copy of the letter is on file with the papers of this meeting.)

71-6

The Clerk read the following letter:

February 5, 1971

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

The following petitions were received by my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

2/1/71

Petition of Mr. J. R. Straus, Sr. and
Mr. John E. Newman requesting the
annexation of 75.088 acres of land out
of N.C.B. 4443.

February 11, 1971
nsr

-15-

2/4/71

Petition of Mr. Pat Maloney in behalf of Mr. David Henry Cavazos III appealing the decision of Police Chief George W. Bischel in revoking the license of Mr. Cavazos for Billiard tables at 203½ N. Broadway.

/s/ J. H. INSELMANN,
City Clerk

* * * *

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned.

A P P R O V E D



M A Y O R

ATTEST: 
City Clerk