

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1979.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 P.M. by the presiding officer, Mayor Lila Cockrell, with the following members present: CISNEROS, WEBB, DUTMER, WING, EURESTE, THOMPSON, ALDERETE, CANAVAN, ARCHER, STEEN, COCKRELL; Absent: NONE.

79-57 The invocation was given by the Reverend John D. Lowry, Jefferson Methodist Church.

79-57 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States.

79-57 The minutes of the meetings of November 8, November 14 and 15, 1979, were approved.

79-57 PRESENTATION OF CITATION TO THE
COUNTRY CRITTERS

Mayor Cockrell read the following Citation:

IN RECOGNITION OF THEIR OUTSTANDING CONTRIBUTION AS MUSICAL AMBASSADORS OF GOODWILL TO OUR SISTER CITY OF GUADALAJARA, MEXICO, DURING THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER 5-8, 1979. THE CITIZENS OF GUADALAJARA WILL LONG REMEMBER THEIR VISIT AND OUTSTANDING PERFORMANCES. THIS UNIQUE GROUP IS THE COUNTRY/WESTERN CONTINGENT OF THE AIR FORCE BAND OF THE WEST AND HAS RECEIVED NATIONAL ACCLAIM.

THE CITY COUNCIL IS PROUD TO HAVE HAD THIS GROUP REPRESENT THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AND PRESENTS THIS CITATION IN GRATEFUL APPRECIATION WITH BEST WISHES FOR CONTINUED SUCCESS.

* * * *

The Mayor and Councilman Joe Alderete, Chairman of the Sister City Committee, then presented the Citation to Major General William P. Acker, Major Ray E. Toler, and recognized several members of the "Country Critters," who were present in the audience.

Mayor Cockrell commended the group and expressed her appreciation to the delegation for representing the City.

79-57 CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

Mr. Archer asked why the staff had to prepare written minutes of the City Council meetings.

Mayor Cockrell explained that the minutes were very valuable in the written form and needed to be available for the Council's own information.

79-57

PRESENTATION OF PROCLAMATION TO TELETHON NAVIDENO

Mayor Cockrell read the following Proclamation:

- WHEREAS, Telethon Navideno was founded in 1976 by Archbishop Patrick Flores and Mr. Emilio Nicolas and since that time has aided over 3,900 needy families with emergency assistance during the Christmas Season, and
- WHEREAS, Telethon Navideno is now a Corporation of Representatives of the business and community at large and serves to strengthen the spirit of sharing, brotherhood, and love for one another, and
- WHEREAS, Raul Jimenez, Sr., has generously dedicated his time as Business and Finance Chairman to involve the business community of this City in this most noble cause.
- WHEREAS, the Telethon Navideno '79 is holding its Fourth Annual Telethon Navideno at Market Square on Sunday, December 2 from 10:00 A.M. through 12 midnight, and
- WHEREAS, Hundreds of citizens actively participate in this purely volunteer effort to rekindle the spirit of love and sharing of Christmas time,
- NOW, THEREFORE, I, LILA COCKRELL, Mayor of the City of San Antonio, in recognition thereof, do hereby proclaim the week of November 26 - December 2, 1979, as

"TELETHON NAVIDENO WEEK"

in San Antonio, Texas and urge all citizens to support and contribute to this most worthwhile endeavor,

* * * *

Mayor Cockrell then presented the Proclamation to the following members of the Telethon Navideno: Mr. Johnny Zamarripa, Ms. Marta Tijerina, Ms. Alice Trevino, and Mrs. Yolanda Arrellano.

The group then presented the Mayor and Council with sweat shirts promoting the Telethon.

79-57

CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Steen moved that items 4-25, constituting the Consent Agenda be approved with the exception of Item 17, to be considered individually. Dr. Cisneros seconded the motion.

On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinances, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 51,494

ACCEPTING THE BID OF PAUL ANDERSON COMPANY
TO FURNISH THE GOODWILL REHABILITATION SERVICE
WITH ACOUSTICAL SCREENS FOR A NET TOTAL OF
\$3,521.80.

* * * *

November 21, 1979
mb

-2-

AN ORDINANCE 51,495

ACCEPTING THE LOW BIDS OF ALAMO IRON WORKS AND AN-TEX PIPE AND STEEL, INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT WITH BLACK STEEL PIPE FOR A TOTAL OF \$3,559.50.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,496

ACCEPTING THE BID OF BLAUER MANUFACTURING CO., INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO POLICE TRAFFIC DIVISION WITH CROSSING GUARD COATS FOR A TOTAL OF \$3,792.00, LESS 1% - 20 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,497

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF THE AMERICAN COMPANIES, INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC LIBRARY WITH MAIL-A-BOOK SERVICE FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$3,810.40.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,498

ACCEPTING THE BID OF KLEER COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO CONVENTION & VISITORS BUREAU WITH PLASTIC NAME BADGES FOR A TOTAL OF \$4,950.00, LESS 1% - 10 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,499

ACCEPTING THE BID OF DELGADO CONCRETE PRODUCTS TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC WORKS SEWER ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WITH WET WELL RINGS AND COVERS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$5,640.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,500

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF JOE V. ALVAREZ TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PARKS AND RECREATION WITH A CONTRACT TO RE-ROOF THE PARKS AND RECREATION MAIN OFFICE FOR A TOTAL OF \$5,860.00, LESS 2% - 20 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,501

ACCEPTING THE BID OF ALAMO IRON WORKS, BRIGGS-WEAVER, INC., DIXON EQUIPMENT, AND SUPPLY, HEUSINGER HARDWARE COMPANY, THE PERRY SHANKLE COMPANY AND THE STONE COMPANY OF TEXAS TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT WITH CONSTRUCTION TOOLS FOR A TOTAL OF \$6,282.94.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,502

ACCEPTING THE BID OF GUIDO BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT WITH THE RENTAL OF HEAVY EQUIPMENT FOR THE KENNEDY BALL DIAMOND COMPLEX- PHASE II FOR A TOTAL OF \$29,897.00, BUT NOT TO EXCEED \$29,994.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,503

EXTENDING THE CURRENT CONTRACT WITH THE GULF OIL CORPORATION TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH ITS TRANSPORT GASOLINE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 1980, AS PER THE FEDERAL MANDATORY FUEL ALLOCATION ACT AS PRESCRIBED BY THE FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,504

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH EXCALIBUR AVIATION FOR LEASE OF SPACE AT STINSON FIELD.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,505

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH THE REPUBLIC OF MEXICO TO EXTEND THE PRESENT LEASE OF BUILDINGS 329-332 AT HEMIS-FAIR PLAZA (MEXICAN CULTURAL INSTITUTE).

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,506

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT EXTENDING FOR ONE YEAR THAT CERTAIN LEASE FOR GRAZING PURPOSES AS AUTHORIZED BY ORDINANCE #49439, DATED JUNE 1, 1978 TO VAN DE WALLE & SONS, LESSEE, AND FURTHER AMENDING CERTAIN TERMS, THEREIN.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,507

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH DOBBS HOUSES, INC., TO ADD 99 SQUARE FEET OF SPACE TO ITS PRESENT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TERMINAL BUILDING LEASE, TO BE USED FOR SALE OF GIFT ITEMS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,508

FINDING THAT CERTAIN TAX ASSESSMENTS ARE INVALID AND ORDERING THAT THE INVALID ASSESSMENTS AND THE TAXES BASED THEREON BE CANCELLED.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,509

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF REFUNDS TO PERSONS MAKING OVERPAYMENTS OR DOUBLE PAYMENTS ON 11 TAX ACCOUNTS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,510

ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID(S) RECEIVED IN CONNECTION WITH \$2,000,000.00 IN CITY FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR DEPOSIT IN INTEREST-BEARING CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,511

AMENDING CHAPTER 38 (TRAFFIC REGULATIONS) OF CITY CODE SETTING FORTH LOCATIONS WHERE PARKING IS PROHIBITED IN CITY OWNED PARKING LOTS; DESIGNATING LOCATIONS AT WHICH ELECTRIC TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS ARE IN FULL OPERATION; DESIGNATING STOP SIGN LOCATIONS, DESIGNATING YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY LOCATIONS; SETTING MAXIMUM SPEED LIMITS ON CERTAIN STREETS; ESTABLISHING PARKING METER ZONES; PROHIBITING PARKING AT ALL TIMES ON CERTAIN STREETS; PROHIBITING LEFT TURN ON RED; PROHIBITING TURNS DURING CERTAIN HOURS AT CERTAIN INTERSECTIONS; AND, PROVIDING THAT VIOLATIONS HEREOF BE PUNISHABLE BY A FINE NOT LESS THAN \$1.00 NOR MORE THAN \$200.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 51,512

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO CONSENT TO AN AMENDMENT TO THE LOAN COMMITMENT TO SAN ANTONIO 2000 LTD. RELATIVE TO THE ALAMO PLAZA/PASEO DEL RIO LINKAGE AND HOTEL PROJECT.

* * * *

Travel Authorization granted - to attend the National League of Cities annual meeting:

Mayor Lila Cockrell	11/23/79-11/28/79
Mayor Pro-Tem Gene Canavan	11/25/79-11/28/79
Councilman Joe Webb	11/23/79-11/28/79
Councilwoman Helen Dutmer	11/23/79-11/28/79
Councilman Bernardo Eureste	11/25/79-11/28/79
Councilman Joe Alderete	11/23/79-11/28/79

79-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,513

ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID FOR LEASE OF CERTAIN CITY-OWNED PROPERTY FOR GRAZING PURPOSES, REJECTING ALL OTHER BIDS AND AUTHORIZING A FIVE-YEAR LEASE OF SAID PROPERTY TO JOHN H. FARRIS, D.V.M., FOR A TOTAL CONSIDERATION OF \$64,000.00.

* * * *

Mr. Steen moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Webb seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Alderete, Mr. George Noe, Administrative Assistant to the City Manager, explained that the lease contains a clause for termination by either party by giving 180-days written notice. He stated that if the City finds it necessary to terminate the lease before the expiration date, the City will compensate Lessee for his expense of fencing on the basis of 100%, 25% and 10% for the termination of the lease during the first, second, or third year, with no compensation if lease is terminated during the fourth or fifth year, other than refunding the prepaid rental on a pro-rated basis.

Mr. Alderete expressed concern regarding the various nurseries which have expressed interest in utilizing City land. He asked if a better financial deal could be made with the City.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, explained that the property contains approximately 500 acres, more or less. He stated that the City is using the area for the primary purpose of disposing of excess wastewater. He stated that the City has tried to make some sort of an income off the land, because the land does not lend itself to any type of grazing. He further stated that the City will derive \$16,000.00 per year for the second, third, fourth, and fifth years of the lease or a total sum of \$64,000.00. Mr. Kiolbassa explained that invitation to bid was published in the local newspapers.

After discussion, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

79-57

HIGHLAND HILLS LITTLE LEAGUE BASEBALL PARK

Mrs. Dutmer asked if the Legal Staff had researched the question regarding the Highland Hills Little League Baseball Park.

Mrs. Jane Macon, City Attorney, stated that she had referred this matter to the Tax Section, and they will be referring back to the Council later in the week.

79-57

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,514

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE FIELD ALTERATION NO. 4 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$37,450.00 TO THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE 3RD YEAR CDA NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS PROJECT.

* * * *

Dr. Cisneros moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Steen seconded the motion.

Mr. Webb stated that he had received complaints regarding the 700 Block of Gabriel Street. He stated that a number of lawns were cut down without retaining walls. He asked if this Ordinance would address this problem.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, explained that this Ordinance does address the problem. He explained that the Ordinance authorizes the addition of concrete steps to provide proper access to and from several residences and the addition of concrete retaining walls at several concrete driveways. He stated that the amount of \$37,450.00 would be allocated from the construction contingency fund. In response to another question by Mr. Webb, he stated that this Ordinance would also address the low water problem at the 2100 Block of Burnett Street.

After discussion, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

79-57

ZONING HEARINGS

26. CASE 7881 - to rezone a 2.847 acre tract of land out of NCB 12830, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, from "B-3" Business District to "B-2" Business District, located 240' southeast of Wurzbach Road and 310' southwest of Fredericksburg Road, being 700' southwest of the cutback between Wurzbach Road and Fredericksburg Road, having 550' in length and 335' in width.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Steen seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 51,515

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 2.847 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 12830, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

27. CASE 7879 - to rezone the southwest 190' of the northeast 377.76' of the northwest 273' of Lot 12, NCB 11622, from "B-3" Business District to "B-2" Business District with the removal of a 60' building setback line required by Ordinance No. 43252, dated January 10, 1974, located 500' northeast of Fredericksburg Road and 470' northwest of Donore Road, having a maximum length of 273' and a maximum depth of 190'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Steen moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Webb seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

November 21, 1979
mb

-7-

AN ORDINANCE 51,516

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS THE SOUTHWEST 190' OF THE NORTHEAST 377.76' OF THE NORTHWEST 273' OF LOT 12, NCB 11622, FROM "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, WITH THE REMOVAL OF A 60' BUILDING SETBACK LINE REQUIRED BY ORDINANCE NO. 43252, DATED JANUARY 10, 1974, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

28. CASE 7877 - to rezone a 19.1484 acre tract of land out of NCB 11672, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, from "O-1" Office District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District, located on the southeast side of Braesview Drive, being 493' northeast of the intersection of N.W. Military Drive and Braesview Drive, having 708.72' on Braesview Drive and a maximum depth of 1110'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that the applicant work with the Traffic Department for proper ingress and egress and that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Steen seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 51,517

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 19.1484 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 11672, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, IN THE 11700 BLOCK OF BRAESVIEW DRIVE, FROM "O-1" OFFICE DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT THE APPLICANT WORK WITH THE TRAFFIC DEPARTMENT FOR PROPER INGRESS AND EGRESS, AND THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

29. CASE 7840 S.R. - to rezone the south 200' of Parcel 6, NCB 14853, in the 5200 Block of North F.M. 1604 West, from Temporary "R-1" E.R.Z.D. Single Family Residential Edwards Recharge Zone District to "B-3" E.R.Z.D. Business Edwards Recharge Zone District, located northwest of the intersection of the North F.M. 1604 West and the Southern Pacific Railroad, R.O.W., having 842.2' on North F.M. 1604 West and 200' on the Southern Pacific Railroad R.O.W.; the north 208.43' of Parcel 6, NCB 14853, from Temporary "R-1" E.R.Z.D. Single Family Residential Edwards Recharge Zone District to "I-1" E.R.Z.D. Light Industry Edwards Recharge Zone District with special City Council approval for a machine shop, located on the west side of the Southern Pacific Railroad R.O.W., being 200' north of the intersection of the Southern Pacific Railroad R.O.W., and North F.M. 1604 West, having 208.43' on the Southern Pacific Railroad R.O.W. and a maximum depth of 842.2'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

In response to Mr. Webb's question regarding the property being on a recharge zone district, City Attorney, Mrs. Jane Macon explained that the City Council is charged with the responsibility of zoning in this matter and this property will fall under the overlay provision.

Mr. Thompson also expressed concern regarding the property being in a recharge zone district. He also addressed the problem of the waste disposal.

Mr. Benjamin Cervantes, the applicant, explained the proposed use of the property. He stated that the machine shop would be dealing with metal work only and would not be involved with dangerous chemicals.

Mrs. Dutmer stated that the applicant would have to comply with the State and Aquifer requirements.

After discussion, Mr. Steen moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Archer seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 51,518

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS THE SOUTH 200' OF PARCEL 6, NCB 14853, IN THE 5200 BLOCK OF NORTH F.M. 1604 WEST, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" E.R.Z.D. SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL EDWARDS RECHARGE ZONE DISTRICT TO "B-3" E.R.Z.D. BUSINESS EDWARDS RECHARGE DISTRICT; THE NORTH 208.43' OF PARCEL 6, NCB 14853, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" E.R.Z.D. SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL EDWARDS RECHARGE ZONE DISTRICT TO "I-1" E.R.Z.D. LIGHT INDUSTRY EDWARDS RECHARGE ZONE DISTRICT PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

30. CASE 7884 - to rezone Lot 5, Block 1, NCB 6832, 805 Vanderbilt Street from "B" Two Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District, located on the northside of Vanderbilt Street, being 57.2' east of the intersection of S. New Braunfels Avenue and Vanderbilt Street, having 50' on Vanderbilt Street and a depth of 150'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

The applicant, Ms. Minerva Irma Alonzo, 805 Vanderbilt, explained the proposed use of the property. She stated that she is self-supporting and that the change in zoning would enable her to hire more employees without having to have any type of addition to her home. In response to a question by Mrs. Dutmer, Ms. Alonzo stated that entrance to this property would be on Vanderbilt, in front of her home. She also explained the parking situation

November 21, 1979
mb

-9-

Mrs. Dutmer expressed concern regarding the infringement of a business into a residential portion of that neighborhood. She stated that there needs to be a buffer between the business and residence in this area. She stated that she feared strip zoning would occur along the major thoroughfare.

Mr. Canavan stated that the subject property does abut the "F" Local Retail Zoning. He stated that "B-1" is light zoning and spoke in favor of the requested change.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

At this point in the meeting, Mr. Archer stated that the statement, "No one spoke in opposition," made on the justification for approving or disapproving a zoning case by the Zoning Commission should not be included in their comments to the City Council. He felt that the Zoning Commission needs to act on the merits of the case and the statement should not be taken into account.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, stated that this was just a statement made by the Zoning Commission to let the Council know that there was no one who spoke in opposition. He stated that this was included for the City Council's information in evaluating the case.

Several of the City Council members made the statement that they wished to have this statement included in their information.

After discussion, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved. Mr. Steen seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Wing, Eureste, Alderete, Canavan, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: Dutmer, Thompson, Archer; ABSENT: Cisneros.

AN ORDINANCE 51,519

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 5, BLOCK 1, NCB 6832, 805 VANDERBILT STREET, FROM "B" TWO FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT.

* * * *

79-57

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,520

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF ADDITIONAL ARCHITECTURAL FEES TO THE JOINT VENTURE OF W.E. SIMPSON COMPANY, INC., PHELPS & SIMMONS & GARZA, AND GOETTING AND ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR PREPARATION OF THE PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND SCHEMATIC DESIGN PHASE OF THE MUNICIPAL AUDITORIUM RESTORATION PROJECT.

* * * *

Dr. Cisneros moved to approve the Ordinance. Mrs. Dutmer seconded the motion.

Mr. Archer asked if any monies out of the Mayor's allocated amount would be included for the Municipal Auditorium in the upcoming Bond Election.

Mayor Cockrell stated that her first responsibility was to flood control which would involve \$15-16 million. She stated that there are not enough monies to include the Auditorium.

Mr. Archer stated that he had voted against a previous ordinance concerning the Auditorium because at the time there were no definite plans as to what was going to be done. At that time, he felt that the monies would be wasted.

Mayor Cockrell stated that there are many private sources who are interested in securing funds for the restoration of the Auditorium.

Mr. Archer stated that he would be glad to give a portion of the money allocated for District 9, for this cause.

Mr. Thompson asked if a definite goal had been set by the City Council, on the subject of the municipal auditorium.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, then reported that there are plans to restore the Auditorium to function as it was before the fire. He stated that any final options would be presented to the City Council.

Mr. Kiolbassa stated that the plans will be coming to the City Council in a "B" Session soon.

Mrs. Dutmer stated that she has not received any requests for school sidewalks from any PTA school in her district. She stated that she allocated \$5,000 for an Art Center in District 3; \$5,000 to Parks and Recreation, to help them pay for renovation of two tennis courts; \$20,000 for the lighting and other incidentals for the Highland High School. She stated that this will be a joint City-School District tennis complex. She further stated that she had also allocated \$50,000 for the Arsenal property; if there is any money left over, this can be allocated towards the Municipal Auditorium restoration.

After discussion, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Dutmer, Wing, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell, Webb; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Eureste.

79-57 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Steen, seconded by Dr. Cisneros, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Eureste.

AN ORDINANCE 51,521

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH HEERY/MARMON MOK/SIMPSON TO PROVIDE ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TERMINAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM; ADOPTING A BUDGET; AND AUTHORIZING TEMPORARY LOANS FROM THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT REVENUE FUND.

* * * *

November 21, 1979
mb

-11-

79-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,522

AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT OF THE 1979/80
BUDGET OF THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS
BUREAU ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN BY AN ADDITION-
AL AMOUNT OF \$150,300.00.

* * * *

Mrs. Dutmer moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Thompson seconded the motion.

Mr. Archer spoke against the motion to approve the Ordinance. He stated that he could not see how \$150,300.00 spent on newspaper ads could benefit the City. He felt that word of mouth advertising would do more good.

Mr. Henry D. Nussbaum, Director of the San Antonio Convention and Visitors Bureau stated that this Ordinance authorizes an amendment in the amount of \$150,300.00 to the Convention Bureau budget to provide for an increased visitor advertising campaign. He stated that because of anticipated energy problems this summer and the increased competition for visitors by major markets, the Convention and Visitors Bureau proposes to utilize supplementary funds to undertake an increased advertising/media program this spring and summer. He also played the radio campaign, "San Antonio Rose" which includes a 300 mile radius of San Antonio. He stated that the campaign would air with 60-second and 30-second spots in Houston and Dallas, and would be supplemented with outdoor billboard advertisements.

At this point, Mr. Archer made a motion to deny the Ordinance. The motion died for a lack of a second.

Several of the Council members spoke in favor of the Ordinance.

Mayor Cockrell stated that in effect, the City is spending money to make money and that the City benefits from visitors. She spoke strongly in support of the Ordinance.

After further discussion, Mr. Alderete made a motion to call the question. Mr. Eureste seconded the motion. On ro call, the motion carried by the following vote: AYES: Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: Cisneros, Webb; ABSENT: None.

The original motion to approve the Ordinance carried by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: Archer; ABSENT: None.

79-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,523

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO
AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY WATER BOARD TO
PROVIDE A COMPUTER-BASED IMMUNIZATION INFOR-
MATION AND VACCINE CONTROL SYSTEM.

* * * *

Mr. Steen moved to approve the Ordinance. Dr. Cisneros seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Webb, Mr. Donald Eubanks, Public Health Advisor with the Metropolitan Health District, stated that thirty immunization clinics are presently located in San Antonio. He explained the need for a central filing system which would alleviate the concerns that they have had regarding unnecessary immunization.

After discussion, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

79-57 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Wing, seconded by Mr. Eureste, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Canavan; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 51,524

AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF THE FY 1980 PERSONNEL SERVICES SCHEDULE OF THE BEXAR COUNTY MHMR CENTER.

* * * *

79-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,525

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH CONTROL DATA CORPORATION RELATIVE TO LOCATING IN SAN ANTONIO.

* * * *

Dr. Cisneros moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Wing seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mrs. Dutmer, Mr. Thomas Huebner, City Manager, stated that this corporation would train people at various skilled levels.

Mrs. Dutmer expressed concern regarding the fact that San Antonio went through a great deal of trouble to get A&M Skills Training Center established in the City and so far, skilled training has been given to every other organization but A&M. She also asked if a contract would be entered with the Mexican-American Unity Council to handle this program.

Dr. Cisneros stated that the article that appeared in the newspaper had been incorrect. He stated that at the present time, the Memorandum of Understanding, which is before the Council for their consideration, makes no reference to the Mexican-American Unity Council.

In response to a question by Mr. Steen, Mrs. Jane Macon, City Attorney, explained the Memorandum of Understanding. She stated that the City Council will be asked to act on specific items outlined on the Memorandum as they come up.

Mr. Huebner also stated that this is one of the first steps needed to decide the relocation of the Control Data Corporation to San Antonio.

Mr. Archer stated that he objected to the Ordinance and felt that the Control Data Corporation was receiving preferential treatment. He also expressed concern regarding the items outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding.

Mayor Cockrell stated that the City Council strongly supports the attraction of new industry to San Antonio and spoke strongly in favor of the Ordinance.

Dr. Cisneros then detailed the items listed on the Memorandum of Understanding and stated that the City will greatly benefit if the move is completed.

Mr. Thompson spoke in support and reiterated Dr. Cisneros' statements.

A discussion then took place on statements made by Mr. Archer on the relocation of the Control Data Corporation. Other Council members took exception to the remarks.

After discussion, Mr. Alderete moved that the debate cease. Mr. Thompson seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carried by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: Cisneros; ABSENT: None.

The main motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: Archer; ABSENT: None.

79-57 Item 27, being a proposed resolution approving the by-laws of the Institute of the Americas, was withdrawn from the agenda.

79-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 51,526

APPROPRIATING \$341,760.00 AS AN ADDITIONAL CITY PAYMENT FOR THE W.W. WHITE/EAST HOUSTON STREET DRAINAGE PROJECT.

* * * *

Mrs. Dutmer moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Wing seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Webb, Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, explained that this project would involve the area from I.H.10 to W.W.White Rd.

After discussion, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

79-57 The following Resolution was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Webb, seconded by Mr. Wing, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Steen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

A RESOLUTION
NO. 79-57-106

REAFFIRMING SUPPORT FOR FUNDING THE RENOVATION
AND REPAIR OF THE SAMSCO BUILDING ON THE
CORNER OF CENTER AND CHERRY FOR USE AS A
STATE OFFICE BUILDING.

* * * *

79- The meeting was recessed at 3:10 P.M. and reconvened at
4:20 P.M.

79- BOND ISSUE DISCUSSION

At this point in the meeting, the City Council took up the
subject of the Bond Issue and what projects they wish to be included.

The following discussion took place:

MR. JOHN STEEN: Are we to give out list of projects to Mark,
or Karen as to whoever of the staff is going to handle it because I'm
afraid, Henry, if we go through item by item we're going to be here
all evening.

DR. CISNEROS: I just thought that, that was what we were going to do.

MR. STEEN: I don't know what we're going to do.

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: Fine. We could certainly do it that way, where
each Council member would just turn in a list. But then, we would have
to vote to approve the entire list at some point and to raise any questions
that they might have for clarification or whatever. But in some cases,
there may be the problem where several Council members, as in this case,
are participating in the same project. And in this case, it was kind
of a three-way split, and it might be helpful to get that information
out so that the other Council members involved would be advised that there
was sort of a definite commitment being made.

MR. STEEN: Good, then we could, maybe, limit the discussion a little
bit, we could get this to where it might criss cross into one or
more districts.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Okay, fine. And then did you have another question.

DR. HENRY CISNEROS: Madam Mayor, what I want to do is basically let
the rest of the Council know then, that there is kind of a three way
agreement here to do the overpass - or rather, the underpass. Which by
the way, has always been talked about as an overpass, but it's a lot
cheaper to do it as an underpass. The difference is thirteen million
versus six point eight. So that's the reason we've chosen to do what
we've done. And I think it's also true that the six point eight really
does a whole lot of Iowa Street for a good distance beyond, isn't that
right, Joe - Iowa Street?

The other one that is a cooperative project for me will be the
San Antonio River, and I have not yet been able to establish the amount
that I'll be able to put on that, but for starters, I want to indicate
that it will be two million dollars for starters. So, that's two point
three in one program and two million in River - that's about half - it's
about fifty percent of the allocation for District One, and the rest
of it will roughly be allocated on neighborhood type street improvements
and that sort of thing. And that's roughly the way it'll go for District
One.

November 21, 1979
mb

-15-

MAYOR COCKRELL: Well then, added to the eight million that I had already indicated for the River, that'll make ten million, which is a good chunk out of the fifteen that is called for. Mr. Webb.

MR. JOE WEBB: Madam Mayor, I think there may be some clarification as to the total amount for District

MAYOR COCKRELL: We've been talking about roughly eight million. Actually, that will leave - that amounts to eighty eight million, so it leaves two million, a little overage there, so if somebody's project runs you know, just slightly over the eight million, you know, it's possible that it could be handled.

MR. WEBB: Madam Mayor, basically, my projects look like there're going to cost about nine point two million dollars, and I'll have to deal with the overage, I suspect, at the necessary time, I might encourage or beg or plead or something to some of the other Council members. The underpass, as Henry just indicated, we had a meeting with Stu Fischer, as to how we could basically do the underpass, and Helen Dutmer and Dr. Cisneros were present, and it looks like it's roughly six point eight or something, so it looks like there's going to be two point three million dollars for the underpass. The East Commerce Street drainage is three point three two one, and then there's another project called the Castille Drainage, that was pointed out to me that where the refrigerators and the garbage cans were floating down the street that I thought the Lone Star Drainage was going to take care of, and we find out that it does not. That's two point one eight nine, and then the "I" Street Drainage is another project which is one point three nine three. So, those are the projects that I'd like to interject at this time for District Two.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Thompson.

MR. BOB THOMPSON: Thank you, Madam Mayor. I was looking this over, I certainly wanted to hear Frank Wing and his explanation of how he's going to get his. He's spent the whole bond issue. I have taken the approach in District Six, in looking at each year's application of the monies and the funds that have been set aside on the projects that I've identified, are not accurate. The improvements that we will make will total the amount of money we have in the bond package, if it's eight million, or eight point two, or whatever it's going to be, and each year as that money is made available, our share, out of twenty million dollars of the four years, and ten on the first year, we will look at those projects in the next week or two to define what we can do on each one of these projects. I've got listed a complete Acme Road Street Improvement. Well, we're not gonna make a complete Acme Road Street Improvement. We're gonna do what we can do with approximately a million dollars that year. So, my projects will be limited to the dollars available, and we're going to identify what we can accomplish in each one of those years with the monies we have available. Sometimes, it will be just culverts in a particular street, and not street improvements or curbs. So, my projects and the numbers I have, I'll be ready to define that in about ten days, to exactly what will happen on each one of those streets, with the amount of money I have available.

Those are topics as far as indicating where I'm going to put the money, but it doesn't mean that I'm going to completely redo six miles of street, when I say improve Acme Road. And that's the cost figures that I've had to put on here, so that really doesn't do my list fairness, because that's not what I'm trying to do. I've already made an appointment with Mr. Kiolbassa on Monday, and we'll be working on that.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Good. All right, Mr. Wing.

MR. FRANK WING: Yes, Madam. I just wanted to ask Mr. Kiolbassa to please check the figures on the Hutchins Street repair from Zarzamora to Commercial. And that depending on the outcome of the final tally on that, we may have to take an alternate route if the cost figure is correct. Well, I just can't afford five million dollars for a two block street.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Okay, fine. Mr. Steen.

MR. STEEN: Thank you, Madam Mayor. I've passed my list out, and I guess that's all I have to do. (A copy of Mr. Steen's list is on file with the papers of this meeting.)

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, Mrs. Dutmer.

MRS. HELEN DUTMER: Yes. It looks like I'm not going to have any left over to put in that flood control from what I get here. And of course, I just have to forego the drainage, and even the three million that would be set aside to buy up the homes is prohibitive if I'm going to do anything else. And of course, I do want to help build that underpass on Durango Street because those people need access out there, both to the City and for emergency vehicles to get to them when it's needed out in that southeast area. So, from what I can see here, it's Pecan Valley Drive improvements, which is needed very badly, it's seven hundred and seven thousand; South Flores, Thirteenth to Roosevelt, is nine hundred and twenty six thousand; the underpass on Durango did we decide on two five - is that what it was - two three? Oh, my goodness, I've got two hundred thousand left. All right, roughly, two five. All right, just assuming that I have two hundred thousand dollars more, I might be able to do something. All right, then Drexel, then resurfacing some of the streets, Drexel, Hamlin, Highland Boulevard and Kayton Avenue, that's the older section of Highland Park, redoing those streets will be a million six eighty two, and then of course, doing the streets in Villa Coronado, which are badly needed - those people need help out there - is two million seven hundred and sixty one. That gives me two hundred thousand to play around with. But I don't know, let's see what this comes out to - that comes out to eight million five seventy six, so that shoots my two hundred thousand real quick, if I'm going to stay within my limits.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Archer.

MR. VAN HENRY ARCHER: Mayor, I would like to put down the, are we going to . . .

MAYOR COCKRELL: That's fine, then if you perhaps follow through, and be sure Staff's got them, exactly, correctly.

MR. ARCHER: I wanted the Hildebrand Drainage Project to be Number One, and it's my understanding from Mr. Perry, that it will be about three point nine million. And then, in addition to that, the Kenwood Drainage which is listed as a little over nine million, and there's no way it can be done unless, unless as Henry and I talked about last night, that some CD money be put in, and then that's up in the air, we don't know if that is eligible or not. They say it's nine, and I don't know whether - but I hate to spend half of what's allotted to my District, and then have it fail because of the Federal Government saying it's not eligible.

MAYOR COCKRELL: On the Kenwood?

MR. ARCHER: Yes Madam. Now, I think that's a misnomer, I think it ought to be called the North of Edison High School Flood Control, instead of - it's not exactly Kenwood, it's that area just north of the football field - all in there. It's not Kenwood, per se.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, the Olmos Drainage, isn't that under construction or back under - ready to have the bids let?

MR. MARCUS JAHNS: I believe they are in the final phases of right of way acquisition. It should be for the Olmos Drainage Project.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Would this be then, south of the Olmos?

MR. ARCHER: It's the area just to the north of Edison High School, Hildebrand is just south of Edison High School.

MAYOR COCKRELL: On that, I wonder what phase, say the engineering would be? In other words, what the problem is that the whole project is nine point two, and what I guess what Mr. Archer would like to know is what amount of it can be taken care of with the amount of money that he is proposing to use. You have to go into some kind of a phase.

DR. CISNEROS: Either that or into a fund to be supplemented by the first CD monies available. But the question that - that real problem is that the CD eligibility. And Frank can speak to that.

MR. ARCHER: Now we also talked - Mr. Perry told me that we could take part of that Hildebrand, and what did you say we'd call it, Mr. Perry.

MR. FRANK PERRY: The two projects that are in discussion, are part of the eighty four drainage system which started with the East Fork Martinez Creek under Community Development Block Grant Funds - that constructed the project to Hildebrand Avenue from the south. The next segment is Project eighty four Phase B, which is the Hildebrand Drainage Project, which has been defined in the Community Development Block Grant Application that we're currently operating under, in the fifth year, in two phases. The first phase, is the fifth year phase, which is for some right-of-way acquisition and completion of engineering planning, and then in the three year plan that was submitted to HUD, that project is identified for completion in the next phase. However, this uncertainty of the data changes that are resulting from the Polk Study and that sort of thing, and the question of - that HUD has raised informally with us in discussions at this point of using CDBG funds to meet local commitment toward completion of Urban Renewal Projects is one that casts the shadow of doubt on future eligibility of that project in the years to come. Those factors enter into consideration on the basis of the Manager's recommendation to you for consideration of that particular project.

Moving from Hildebrand then to the football field at Edison High School, which is the Phase B project - on north of there, north of Fresno to San Pedro and on into the Kenwood neighborhood, is the Kenwood Drainage Project, which involves the outfall from Fresno Drive, north to San Pedro. We will need to check with the Public Works Department to determine whether that outfall can be constructed separately from the rest of the drainage project in the area of Kenwood itself. That is basically the outline that we discussed yesterday. Does that clarify the question?

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, does that clarify? Mrs. Dutmer.

MRS. DUTMER: No, I talked, but I do want to talk again.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Archer. Henry.

DR. CISNEROS: Frank, on this business of the eligibility of that project, what can we do - what can the Council do to get that problem cleared up so that those people can be told one way or the other for sure or that the Council is trying to get this cleared up.

MR. FRANK PERRY: Part of the question could be cleared up by simply resolving the question of continued income eligibility in the low and moderate income area. That question is one that is in the process of being resolved. That is not yet resolved at the present time.

DR. CISNEROS: What does that hinge on.

MR. PERRY: That hinges on the outcome of the data survey that we're waiting for.

DR. CISNEROS: Polk?

MR. PERRY: Yes, sir.

DR. CISNEROS: Could we influence that in anyway? Is there City data that's available? We don't want to be neutral in this. We want to make it eligible because otherwise that is an uphill fight. You see there's no way we're going to get the \$9 million in present dollars, \$9 million out of this bond issue or in the other likely bond issue because that cost is going to increase faster than we are going to be able to keep up with it in bond issues. So my question is just how can we take a positive stance to assume its eligibility?

MR. PERRY: Within the time frame that we're speaking of we cannot resolve the question of eligibility with HUD. That's not something that is realistic.

DR. CISNEROS: Forget the time frame of the bond issue, I'm just asking for a program, a commitment from the staff that we are going to go up to HUD and get it declared eligible.

MR. PERRY: As I say, the data study is currently underway trying to resolve the question of income eligibility for the area. The other question is working at the present time, and we're pushing for a determination, but we don't have one at this time.

MR. MARCUS JAHNS: The other difficulty here is, too, that HUD does not like to give us determinations on eligibility before the application actually goes forward. But in answer to your question, Frank is exactly right, the Polk data study is underway and(inaudible)..... information staff is in the process of reviewing that to see how it really looks.

MR. ARCHER: Henry, you see, that area really to the north of Edison High School is really not a low income area. I don't - that's not what I would exactly call a low income area. Is there any way that we could take part of that cost of \$9 million to benefit that area. Do half of it?

MR. JAHNS: The difficulty arises because of the drainage basin that the project serves. There's a drainage basin incorporates higher income areas then you have the eligibility question. It may be possible - this is something we have to explore and see if we built part of the CDBG funds and we can demonstrate that that does benefit the low and moderate income areas then I don't see why there should be an eligibility problem. If we can confine the CDBG money to construction of only that part that benefits low and moderate income persons and then find some other money someplace else to do the rest of it. That may very well be a possible solution, but we have to sit down with the engineering folks and see if it can be defined that precisely.

DR. CISNEROS: The two costs, we have two relative costs here, one of them is the one less utility relocation cost. That's as a result of our decision the other evening with the Water Board?

MR. JAHNS: Yes sir.

DR. CISNEROS: Specifically what decision? The one to transfer the \$4 million from the surface water fund, is that the decision that made this possible?

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER LOUIS FOX: Maybe I can address that. It was my understanding that the Council affirmed the policy that water relocations would be paid for with City Water Board receipts.

DR. CISNEROS: We kind of glossed over that the other evening, and I would like that to be the outcome, but I find it difficult to believe that in light of the no rate increase, push off on bonds in the future that we can - that it's all fundable out of that policy. It wasn't clear to me that we really bit that bullet the other night. We just sort of glossed over it and I just want to make sure we're not living in a false comfort here.

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, MR. LOUIS FOX: There is no question that funds will have to be generated to pay for the relocation. I think the question with the Water Board was how that cash, how those revenues would be generated, either through current revenues or through a part of one of their bond issues, the three phases that the Council approved. So, in looking at their program, the three year program in the total of some \$33 million, I think, it was our recommendation that funds be generated through bonds to pay for water relocations because those have long term life expectancy. I'm sure Mr. Van Dyke will come back with a list, and will use that subsequently to influence the Council to one, increase rates or get additional bond capacity.

DR. CISNEROS: But we have now, at least for the feasible future, we are now in a program where the Water Board is going to pay for relocations?

ASST. CITY MANAGER FOX: That's our direction and understanding from the meeting last week.

DR. CISNEROS: Okay, Thank you.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Wing.

MR. WING: Are these figures that you gave us, the latest ones, is this the result of cross checking between your department and Mr. Kiobassa's.

MR. JAHNS: As far as I know on all the projects we have worked with Public Works to work out any of the problems that may have been identified. This is the latest information that we have available at this point.

MR. WING: Let me, there is a dramatic change in the costs of projects when I checked with you and then you came back, for instance on Fitch and Stonewall Streets, roughly together it's about \$1 million project, but checking back, I find out that Fitch alone on one - checking with Public Works, is \$105,000,000. so I'm having trouble reconciling those.

MR. JAHNS: Perhaps, Mr. Perry can lend some light on this.

MR. PERRY: I'm not sure where you're getting the \$1 million figure for Fitch and Stonewall.

MR. WING: No, sir, I'm saying - it says here, District 4 and you have a figure of \$745,000 for Fitch, and then it's been scaled down to \$690,000. Then you have Stonewall \$510,000 scaled down to \$473,000.

MR. PERRY: Okay, the figure on the Fitch Street repair is the figure that you just received a memorandum this afternoon on that has been changed as a result of re-estimate and Public Works estimates the figure that we have the \$745,000 cost for, did not include the drainage that had been discovered was left out of the estimate. So, your new figure is the one in your memorandum that you have today.

MR. WING: Yes, but that's just for only one street.

MR. PERRY: That's correct, and it's included on this estimate in the addendum on the back page. The correct figure for Fitch Street is now \$1,468,000.

MR. WING: Okay, I can feature that, but what is the correct figure for Stonewall Street?

MR. PERRY: There has been no update of estimate on Stonewall, and I would assume that the \$473,000 still holds correct.

MR. WING: Thank you, very much.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mrs. Dutmer.

MRS. DUTMER: Yes, I'm having a real wrestling problem in that if we're going to get this thing passed I have to give something to each section over there. The problem I'm having is, Marc, how did Villa Coronado come out on the CD funds. I know they're eligible for CD funds for their streets and upgrading, are they not?

MR. JAHNS: We don't have an answer yet. We have received about ten boxes of paper from the Polk people and we're at the point of trying to review that and at this point, we really can't make any determination in terms of their eligibility at this point, but as I recall that area there wasn't any question with HUD.

MRS. DUTMER: There wasn't any question with Villa Coronado, no, there's no question about that at all, as being eligible, but you know they did request some street work over there out of CD funds. Now, if I can do that I can go over around the Dellcrest area and just pave the streets from W.W. White to Dellcrest which is about a two block area and pave all those little streets in there so that those people will also be getting something for their money. Those streets are in horrible condition. So, let me know about Villa Coronado. Will you, so that I can make a decision here and forget it.

MR. JAHNS: Okay, I'll try to give you the latest we can on that, but in last year's application, we didn't have any problems with Villa Coronado's eligibility.

MRS. DUTMER: No, none at all, you're right.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, I think we are working through, some of the Council members have reached their final recommended package, and we'll urge that everyone who has not quite reached the bottom line in your District to try to get that in by the first of this next week and then the staff will be able to get that together and finalize it.
Mr. Fox.

ASSIST. CITY MGR. FOX: Just a word of encouragement that Monday, if possible, we would like to have the final projects so we can get the package together. Monday, please.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Monday. All right, as I've stated before, I've signed off just on whatever amount can be put on Flood Control, Phase I.

MR. JAHNS: Could we ask just one thing, if we could have just a hand written listing the appropriate amounts that you want designated to each project because it's kind of difficult to get all this.

MR. CANAVAN: Kind of like, I did.

MR. JAHNS: Right, that's fine.

November 21, 1979
mb

-21-

MAYOR COCKRELL: Some of them have turned in written - mine is just one project so if you can accept that verbally. All right, I think we have completed the business order

MS. KAREN DAVIS: Mayor, I have one comment. We're looking right now for a couple of projects that have been mentioned here today, but if we don't already have estimates on projects and we're trying to substitute at this point, it's going to be extremely difficult for us to get those estimates and give accurate information in time for the bond program. So, we need to caution you and alert you to that factor in putting this together for next week.

79-57

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

MS. MICAELA GONZALEZ

Ms. Gonzalez spoke in favor of Control Data Corporation locating in San Antonio because she felt it would bring jobs for the citizens of San Antonio.

Ms. Gonzalez stated that a Zoning Representative had stated that it was his professional duty to recommend that a buffer be stipulated in the zoning case, which she's involved in.

MR. PERRY PENN

Mr. Penn, on the legal opinion issued by Assistant City Attorney, Louis Garcia, stated that he would submit his testimony regarding Ms. Gonzalez' zoning case in writing because he would not be able to be present at the hearing.

MS. ESTELLA MONSALVO

Ms. Monsalvo spoke regarding the Peddlers' Ordinance. She stated that they were concerned because children had been discussed by speakers at a previous meeting. She stated that they did not want to be placed in the position of taking jobs away from the children.

Dr. Cisneros stated that the florists have accomplished what they set out to do. He stated that the Council had acted in good faith in protecting them from out of state florists. He further stated that some other amendments are in process, and he felt that florists will hurt the cause by coming in time after time to the Council.

Ms. Monsalvo stated that that was not their intent. She stated that their only concern is the fact that children were brought into the picture.

MS. BEATRICE MUNOZ

Ms. Beatrice Munoz spoke regarding the Peddlers' Ordinance, in effect. In response to a question by Mr. Eureste, she stated that she is opposed to setting aside the Ordinance around San Fernando Cemetary on special occasions.

November 21, 1979

-22-

mb

Mr. Eureste stated that he had gotten in contact with the Superintendent of San Fernando Cemetary #2, and found out that the peddling of flowers takes place during Easter, Mother's Day, and Father's Day. Mr. Eureste stated that this type of business has been going on for over 50 years and it is a tradition. He further stated that he would like for it to remain so. He also stated that he will speak on this subject when the matter comes before the Council.

MR. KEVIN MCCORMICK

Mr. McCormick, a student at the University of Texas at San Antonio, spoke on the subject of para-mutual betting in San Antonio. He stated that it would establish a dynamic industry and would provide jobs, whereby San Antonio would benefit. He asked that the Council prepare a resolution and forward it to appropriate officials at Bexar County

MR. JACK SCHMITZ

Mr. Schmitz asked for an extension on the section dealing with the licensing of peddling. He stated that he had talked to various people that peddle fruits, vegetables, etc., and he felt that the Ordinance is unjust. He stated that he was also concerned about the peddling in the downtown area.

Mayor Cockrell advised Mr. Schmitz that he can obtain a map after the Council meeting, of the downtown area that allows peddling.

MR. RUDOLPH OBREGON

Mr. Obregon spoke regarding the Peddlers' Ordinance. He stated that the people that sell flowers around the San Fernando Cemetary do not charge taxes. He stated that they would not object if the flower shops were not taxed like the people who sell flowers on the streets.

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 5:20 P.M.

A P P R O V E D

Lila Cockrell

M A Y O R

ATTEST: *Arma S. Rodriguez*
City Clerk