

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON  
THURSDAY, OCTOBER 15, 1964, 8:30 AM.

\* \* \* \* \*

The regular meeting of the City Council was called to order by the Presiding Officer, Mayor W. W. McAllister, with the following members present: McALLISTER, DE LA GARZA, JONES, KAUFMAN, COCKRELL, GATTI, PADILLA, and BREMER; ABSENT: PARKER.

64-242 The invocation was given by Councilman Roland Bremer.

Minutes of the previous meeting were approved.

64-242 First item of business considered was Zoning Case No. 2221, to rezone Lot 19, NCB 10926, located on the south side of Can's Court 120' east of S. Presa Street from "B" Residence District to "JJ" Commercial District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained this case had been postponed for thirty days from September 15, 1964, in order to obtain the views of the military concerning the development of Brooks Air Force Base and the effect this zoning would have on it. Mr. Lawrence asked that this hearing be continued until the next zoning meeting on November 5, 1964, to give the military more time to furnish the information.

The applicant, Mrs. Ruby J. Henderson, acquiesced to the postponement.

The Council then continued Case No. 2221 until November 5, 1964.

64-243 Next heard was Case No. 1894, to rezone Lot 6, Blk 26, NCB 2292, located on the southeast corner of W. Houston Street and Calaveras, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Jim Kinsey, representing the applicant, Mr. Albert Flores, to questions by the Council, stated that the property was to be used for manufacturing tortillas and Mexican food to go. It is proposed to build an addition to the rear of the present residence for the business and the family would live in the house. As to parking, he felt there would be no problem as customers would park only a short time and leave.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

Mr. Padilla made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be upheld. Seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Cockrell, Padilla, and Bremer; NAYS: Jones, Kaufman and Gatti; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,816

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 6, BLK 26, NCB 2292 FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-244 Next case heard was No. 2213, to rezone Lot 30, Blk 1, NCB 8052, save and except the north triangular 116.27' of this lot described generally as being located northeast of the intersection of Leahy Street and I. H. 35 (Panam Expressway) from "B" Residence District to "C" Residence District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which carried the recommendation of the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

On motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Kaufman, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,817

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY HEREIN AS LOT 30, BLK 1, NCB 8052, SAVE AND EXCEPT THE NORTH TRIANGULAR 116.27' FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "C" RESIDENCE DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-245 Next hearing was Case No. 2132, to rezone Lot 22, NCB 12910, located on the south side of Rigsby Avenue (U. S. Hwy. 87) between Hollyhill Drive and I. H. 410, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

On motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Bremer, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,818

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 22, NCB 12910 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

NOV 15 1964

At this time Mr. W. C. Langley, President of Turbiville Lincoln-Mercury Company, Inc., was recognized. Mr. Langley stated that he was present to deliver the new 1965 Lincoln Continental in exchange for the 1964 model to be used as the official City car, in accordance with agreement. He also read a proposal offering to again exchange, at no cost to the City, the 1965 model for a new 1966 Lincoln Continental. He then presented Mayor McAllister with the keys to the new official City car, which were accepted with thanks.

64-246 Next heard was Case No. 2257, to rezone Lot 4, NCB 10235, located southwest of the intersection of E. Commerce Street and Spriggsdale Blvd., from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

No one spoke in opposition.

On motion of Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: McAllister and Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,819

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 4, NCB 10235, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-247 Next heard was Case No. 2244, to rezone Lot 21, Blk 55, NCB 7107, being triangular in shape, located southwest of the intersection of Fresno Drive and the connection between Fresno and Olmos Drive, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Alfred Rhode, representing the applicant, Rhode and Company, stated the purpose of the request was for construction of a service station. He agreed to installing proper screening to protect the adjacent residences. As to traffic, the access was along one way streets, and curb-cuts will be controlled by the City, and of the four intersections with the Expressway, this will be the only one to apply for rezoning as the others are owned by the City and State.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Jones, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance, by the following vote: AYES: de la Garza, Jones, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: Kaufman; ABSENT: McAllister and Parker.

OCT 15 1964

## AN ORDINANCE 32,820

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 21, BLK 55, NCB 7107 FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-248 Next heard was Case No. 2259, to rezone Lot 38, Blk 18, NCB 12046, located southwest of the intersection of Whitefield Avenue and Goliad Road, from "E" Office District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. M. M. Hughes stated the application was for the purpose of using this property for a used car lot which is the present use of the property immediately to the south, and is also owned by the applicant, Mrs. Dorothy Lee Burke. He stated property across the street on Goliad is presently zoned "F" and there are "F", "H" and "G" zones all around the area. The property in question has been zoned "E" Office District for several years, and they have not been able to interest anyone in the property for this purpose.

Mr. Gerald Dennis, 267 Coney, owner of the property next to the existing car lot asked the Council to postpone its decision pending further study of the case. He exhibited photographs of the used car lot showing the condition of the premises. He felt if this property is rezoned as requested, he will have to move out. He explained there are many distractions and this will make it worse.

Mrs. Gerald Dennis told the Council that she had hoped to make this their permanent home and asked that final action on the request be delayed.

The daughter of Mr. Dennis also spoke and told of the many distracting existing. She asked that if this property is rezoned as requested, the Dennis property should be changed to "E" Office District. The pictures showed the slovenly condition of the used car lot and Mr. Hughes was asked what he would do to protect the residential property.

Mr. Hughes stated the Council could condition its action by requiring screening which they were willing to provide. They would also talk to the lessee about keeping the lot clean and include this provision in the lease.

Mr. Bremer stated that from the pictures submitted it appeared the building on the used car lot was in violation of the rear-yard set-back requirements, and this matter should be checked out by the Administrative Staff.

After further discussion, Mr. Jones made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved with stipulation that screening be provided and the property kept clean. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gatti.

OCT 15 1964

Mrs. Cockrell then made a motion to amend the motion by substituting the recommendation of the Planning Commission that the West 60' of Lot 38 be retained in an "E" Office classification for the protection of the residences and that the remaining eastern portion be approved for "F" Local Retail District. Seconded by Mr. Kaufman, the motion failed by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Kaufman, Cockrell, Padilla; NAYS: de la Garza, Jones, Gatti, and Bremer; ABSENT: Parker.

On roll call the original motion carrying with it the passage of the following ordinance prevailed, the vote being as follows: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Gatti and Bremer; NAYS: Kaufman, Cockrell and Padilla; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,821

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 38, BLK 18, NCB 12046 FROM "E" OFFICE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-249 Next heard was Case No. 2236, to rezone Lot 12, NCB 6813, located northwest of the intersection of Fair Avenue and the proposed I. H. 37 Expressway, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. William Ferguson, attorney representing the applicant, Mr. Bert Joiner, explained that the purpose of this request is to build a service station for the American Oil Company. He stated the property is located on the access road of the Expressway and is not suitable for residential purposes. In order to protect the adjacent property owners, Mr. Joiner has purchased the property at 347 Fair Avenue, which is not being rezoned and will serve as a buffer.

Mr. E. J. Rinehard, 339 Fair Avenue, expressed concern about what the rezoning will do to property values.

Mr. Ferguson felt that values would not be adversely effected and in addition to the buffer zoning, agreed to screening of the property proposed for rezoning.

After further discussion, Mr. Jones made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be upheld with the provision that screening be provided between the property in question and the residence at 339 Fair Avenue. Seconded by Mrs. Cockrell, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following ordinance prevailed by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, Jones, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; ABSTAINING: Kaufman; ABSENT: de la Garza and Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,822

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 12, NCB 6813 FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-242 At this time Mayor McAllister announced that the Council had received a complaint regarding possible conflict of interest insofar as serving on the Planning Commission was concerned and a Council committee was appointed to investigate the matter. He asked Councilwoman Mrs. Cockrell to present the committee report.

Mrs. Cockrell, Chairman of the Committee, composed of Mrs. Cockrell, Mr. Kaufman and Mr. Jones, read the committee report as follows:

"On October 1, 1964, Colonel Glen Walker (Retired), appeared before the City Council on Zoning Case No. 2231, a request by Antone J. Sultenfuss and Florence Sultenfuss Haecker, for a change of zoning from "A" Residence to "J" Commercial on 4.064 acres located on the south side of Sinclair Road, 500 feet east of South W. W. White Road. This request had been denied by the Planning Commission in a 5 to 3 vote on August 12, 1964.

In pursuing the appeal of this case before the Council, Colonel Walker raised a question concerning improper action on the part of one of the Planning Commission members. He told the Council that "less than two weeks" after the Commission action, "one member on the Commission who had voted against me called and offered to sell me four acres."

He continued: "This disturbed me as a citizen -- if this is the integrity of the people that we are leaning on, if this is what we must depend upon to guide our City, then I must have chosen the wrong town, because I'll not put up with it. And I do have a choice, I can move. That's all I'm going to say on that point."

Colonel Walker then went on to discuss his zoning request. Immediately following the hearing on this case, Councilwoman Mrs. S. E. Cockrell, Jr., requested a 3-member Council committee to be appointed to look into the incident outlined by Colonel Walker. Mayor McAllister appointed Mrs. Cockrell and Councilmen Jack Kaufman and Robert Jones to investigate.

Upon investigation, it was determined that the Planning Commission member cited by Colonel Walker was Douglas Van Buren.

The Council committee met with Colonel Walker and Mr. Van Buren to discuss the incident. At that time, Colonel Walker stated to the committee that he did not feel that Mr. Van Buren had any intention of wrong-doing, and that it was strictly a matter of poor judgment and nothing else.

He said that Mr. Van Buren had called by telephone and asked him if he had found anything yet. When Walker replied in the negative, Mr. Van Buren asked if he would be interested in looking at a tract of land he owned and which was adjacent to Mr. Van Buren's office. He said he owned the land and was not acting as a broker. Colonel Walker then stated that he went to visit Mr. Van Buren at his office where he was shown a tract of land and a building which could be used for possible storage. He said the visit was most cordial.

Mr. Van Buren said the idea had not entered his mind until the day of the phone call. He said that Colonel Walker had stated to the Planning Commission he was desirous of locating in that area because many of his employees lived there. Mr. Van Buren stated that at no time did he give any thought to a question of propriety being involved. He stated he was sorry that it happened and did agree that he did not use his best judgment in the matter.

001 1 5 1964

An examination of the minutes of the Planning Commission meeting in which Zoning Case No. 2231 was heard disclosed that the motion to deny the request was made by Mrs. Winfield Hamlin. It was seconded by Mr. Louis Michael.

Mr. Van Buren, Mr. Seymour Dreyfus, Mr. Michael, Mrs. Hamlin and Mr. Paul Rose voted in the affirmative. Mr. Ralph Dietert, Mr. Wilber Fite and Mr. Gilbert Garza voted in the negative. Rev. Samuel H. James, the ninth member of the Commission, was absent at the time the vote was taken.

Minutes of the meeting showed that Mr. Van Buren neither made nor seconded the motion before the Commission. Conversation with some of the Commission members further indicated that there was no effort on Mr. Van Buren's part to influence the vote on the issue.

The Council committee has carefully examined the minutes of the Planning Commission meetings with reference to this case. It is noted that the applicant presented certain facts supporting a zone change at the hearing before the Council (as the existence of two major utility easements on the property) which were not presented to the Planning Commission at its Public Hearing on August 12, 1964.

After examining the evidence and interviewing the parties involved, it is the committee's conclusion that Mr. Van Buren did not have any intention to do anything improper; that his act was an act of poor judgment and nothing else.

We feel it is poor judgment for a Board member to have business dealings -- even indirectly -- relative to matters before the Board when there is a possibility that they may be misconstrued. His judgment may be improperly affected - or if not - it may appear to be so affected.

Citizens who have matters heard by the various City Boards are accustomed to and entitled to fair, impartial and courteous treatment and an objective decision. No less important is the appearance of an objective decision.

It is the committee's recommendation that in order to provide guidance to members of the City Council and all City Boards, it would be advisable for the Council to have all such Boards more competently oriented as to conduct concerning their representation of the City of San Antonio."

COUNCIL COMMITTEE:

Mrs. S. E. Cockrell, Jr., Chairman

Jack Kaufman

Robert Jones

The Mayor then entertained a motion to accept the Committee report. On motion of Mr. Gatti, seconded by Mr. Padilla, the report was accepted by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

OCT 15 1964

64-250 Next heard was Case No. 2202, to rezone Lots 5 and 6, NCB 13723, located northwest of the intersection of Viewpoint Drive and Crestridge Drive and Lots 45 and 46, NCB 13399, located southwest of the intersection of Viewpoint Drive and Crestridge Drive, from "A" Residence District to "B" Residence District; and Lot 5, NCB 13661, located on the east side of Babcock Road 236.71' north of Viewpoint Dr., from "A" Residence District to "D" Apartment District; and Lot 4, NCB 13661, located on the east side of Babcock Road 205.12' south of Callaghan Road, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which was recommended by the Planning Commission.

Mr. Lloyd Denton, applicant and developer of the property explained the proposed use and how he planned to protect the high class residences in the area that surrounds the property in question.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

On motion of Mr. Bremer, seconded by Mr. Jones, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was approved by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,823

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 5 AND 6, NCB 13723 AND LOTS 45 AND 46, NCB 13399 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT; AND LOT 5, NCB 13661, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "D" APARTMENT DISTRICT; AND LOT 4, NCB 13661 FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-255 Next heard was Case No. 2215 to rezone Lot 38, NCB 10260, located southwest of the intersection of Nebraska Street and Artesia Drive, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Director of Planning Burt Lawrence explained that action on this case had been postponed from August 20th, and further postponed on September 17th to get information from the State Highway Department on its offer to purchase land for the Expressway adjacent to the property in question. He said that as of October 15th Mr. Schaefer had not accepted the State's offer and the Highway Department has asked that the property not be rezoned until the State acquires the property.

Mr. Rutledge, attorney for Mr. Schaefer, agreed with the Council that this case be postponed indefinitely.

On motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Bremer, the case was referred back to the Planning Commission, the vote being as follows: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Cockrell, Kaufman, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

OCT 15 1964

64-251 Next heard was Case No. 2180, to rezone Lot 17, NCB 12180, located on the south side of Austin Highway, 513.43' southwest of Bobby Lou Drive, from "A" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which the Planning Commission recommended be denied on part of the proposed rezoning.

Mr. Lawrence stated the case was heard before the City Council and final action postponed for 30 days so that the property could be replatted in accordance with the Council's wishes to provide protection to residences on Hartline Drive. He informed the Council the property has now been replatted as requested.

After discussion, on motion of Mr. Gatti, seconded by Mr. Jones, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was overruled and the property rezoned by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

AN ORDINANCE 32,824

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 17, NCB 12180, FROM "A" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-252 Next heard was Case No. 2260 to rezone the west irregular 128.6' of Lot 5, Blk 2, NCB 10611, located northeast of the intersection of Lula Mae Drive and Springfield Road, from "B" Residence District to "F" Local Retail District.

Assistant Planning Director Burt Lawrence explained the proposed change which the Planning Commission recommended be denied by the City Council. He said this was an appeal case and would require seven affirmative votes to rezone.

Mr. Anthony Guajardo, representing the applicant Mr. P. J. McWilliams, who is in the restaurant and catering business, made the requested change for the purpose of establishing a nice restaurant. He explained that the entrance of the property would be directly from W. W. White Road, and that Springfield Road is used by patrons of the Twin Town Drive-Inn Theatre. There will be no parking problem as there will be off street parking on the adjacent lot which is available for lease. He described the business operations in the vicinity which made this property undesirable for residential use.

No one spoke in opposition to the change.

On motion of Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. de la Garza, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was overruled and the property rezoned to "F" Local Retail District by passage of the following ordinance by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

OCT 15 1964 103

## AN ORDINANCE 32,825

AMENDING SECTION 2 OF AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING ZONING REGULATIONS AND DISTRICTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH A COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, ETC.," PASSED AND APPROVED ON NOVEMBER 3, 1938, BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS THE WEST IRREGULAR 128.6' OF LOT 5, BLK 2, NCB 10611, FROM "B" RESIDENCE DISTRICT TO "F" LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \* \*

64-253 The following ordinance was explained by Purchasing Agent Al Tripp and on motion of Mr. de la Garza, seconded by Mr. Bremer, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: McAllister, de la Garza, Jones, Kaufman, Cockrell, Gatti, Padilla and Bremer; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Parker.

## AN ORDINANCE 32,826

ACCEPTING THE BID OF G. W. ANDERSON IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,776.00 FOR THE 1964 PECAN CROP IN CERTAIN CITY PARKS; AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH G. W. ANDERSON IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Clerk read the following letter:

October 15, 1964

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

64-254 The following petition was received and forwarded to the Office of the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

10-13-64 Petition signed by citizens of Harmony Hills, requesting the City to provide a fire station with more immediate access to this area. (A similar petition was filed by other residents in this area on October 5, 1964.)

Sincerely,

/s/ J. H. Inselmann  
City Clerk

The City Manager made the following report on the above petition:

64-254 Two petitions (one of October 5, 1964 and one of October 13, 1964) filed by residents in the area of Harmony Hills, requesting the City to provide a fire station with more immediate access to the residents of this area.

The January bond election provided funds for the purchase of a site for a fire station in the Blanco - West Avenue area. Funds were not provided for construction of the fire station. Attempts are presently being made to acquire a site.

00: 4 3 1964

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned.

A P P R O V E D :



M A Y O R

ATTEST:

  
City Clerk

OCT 15 1964

105

