

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 23, 1976.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A.M., by the presiding officer, Mayor Lila Cockrell, with the following members present: PYNDUS, BILLA, CISNEROS, BLACK, HARTMAN, TENIENTE, COCKRELL; Absent: NIELSEN, ROHDE.

76-61 The invocation was given by The Reverend Joseph Deane, St. Ann's Catholic Church.

76-61 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States.

76-61 The minutes of the Special Meeting of December 14, 1976 and the Regular City Council Meeting of December 16, 1976 were approved.

76-61 PRESENTATION OF HONORARIUM TO ROBERT CERVANTES

Mayor Cockrell stated that Police Officer Robert Cervantes was retiring after more than 28 years of service to the City of San Antonio. She also stated that Mr. Cervantes has served four Mayors as their personal chauffeur. She then read the following Honorarium:

THE CITY COUNCIL AND ALL OF THE CITIZENS OF SAN ANTONIO JOIN IN EXPRESSING SINCERE APPRECIATION TO THIS DEDICATED PUBLIC SERVANT ON THE EVE OF HIS RETIREMENT.

IN HIS 28 YEARS OF DISTINGUISHED SERVICE TO THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, HE HAS PROVIDED DIGNIFIED AND LOYAL SECURITY FOR OUR MAYORS WHILE ALWAYS MAINTAINING HIS CHEERFUL SMILE AND SENSE OF HUMOR. THE DIPLOMACY AND FRIENDSHIP HE HAS EXTENDED TO THOUSANDS OF VISITORS HAS BEEN A SIGNIFICANT CONTRIBUTION TO THE SUCCESS OF MANY OFFICIAL FUNCTIONS, PARTICULARLY THE MEXICAN TRADE FAIR, AND HAS GREATLY ENHANCED THE IMAGE OF OUR CITY.

WE EXTEND VERY BEST WISHES FOR ABUNDANT GOOD HEALTH AND MUCH HAPPINESS IN THE YEARS TO COME.

* * * *

Mayor Cockrell then read letters of commendation from Mayor Emeritus Walter W. McAllister, former Mayor John Gatti, and herself. She then presented Robert Cervantes with a gift certificate and a book as a memento and a token of the Council's deep appreciation.

The entire City Council then congratulated Mr. Cervantes upon his retirement.

City Manager Raffety presented Mr. Cervantes with a certificate of appreciation for the many years of service rendered to the International Airport.

Police Officer Cervantes then thanked the City Council for giving him the privilege of serving under four Mayors and expressed his appreciation.

December 23, 1976

el

00231

Mayor Emeritus Walter W. McAllister expressed his best wishes to the City Council for their dedicated service to the City.

KRUGERRAND COIN

Mayor Cockrell read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION
NO. 76-61-92

OPPOSING THE SALE OF THE KRUGERRAND COIN
AND URGING CITIZENS NOT TO PURCHASE THESE
COINS.

* * * *

WHEREAS, the Republic of South Africa espouses and practices the doctrine of apartheid as official policy, a doctrine which is considered suppressive to Black South Africans; and,

WHEREAS, a well financed advertising campaign has been in progress in the San Antonio area and elsewhere in the United States promoting the sale of the gold Krugerrand coin; and,

WHEREAS, the sale of these coins contribute to the support of the South African government and its apartheid policies which have been condemned by many governments and individuals; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council supports the concepts of freedom and human rights for all persons; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council is concerned that San Antonio citizens are being solicited through advertising to purchase these coins and may not be aware that purchase of the Krugerrand gold coin contributes to the support of the repressive apartheid policy;
NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO:

That the sale of the Krugerrand coin is opposed by this body, and citizens are urged not to purchase same.

* * * *

Reverend Black stated that although the Council usually does not take a stand on international affairs, the sale of the Krugerrand coin is of such a serious violation of human rights that it demands attention and action by the City Council. He urged the Council to join their voice with other concerned citizens in supporting this Resolution.

After consideration, Mr. Billa moved to approve the Resolution. Mr. Teniente seconded the motion.

Mr. Pyndus stated that he would be abstaining on this vote because the Resolution in his opinion would affect innocent employees and he further stated that the City Council should not take stands on international matters.

On roll call, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Pyndus; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

Mr. Rick Green, representing the Committee Against the Sale of the Krugerrand, thanked the Council for their support and passage of the Resolution.

76-61 Mayor Cockrell was obliged to leave the meeting and Mayor Pro-Tem Bob Billa presided.

76-61 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,506

APPROVING AND SETTING THE ADJUSTMENTS TO CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND GAS SERVICE PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE 47118, AS AMENDED, FOR THE JANUARY 1977 BILLING CYCLE AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

* * * *

Mr. Don Thomas, Manager of Information Services, City Public Service Board, explained the reasons why the electric adjustment was up and the gas adjustment was down this month. He also stated that the average bill in January will be about \$51.00 compared to a \$43.00 average in December.

Dr. Cisneros stated that this January bill will be the worse price level experienced by San Antonio citizens. He stated that the committee formed last week by the Mayor is reviewing and studying a rate restructuring program and life-line rate possibilities. Dr. Cisneros also mentioned a proposal which will be forthcoming to the committee from Don Thomas which would change the 300 kilowatt hour exemption to some kind of structured relief program. This proposal will be reviewed by the committee and then presented to Council.

Mr. Pyndus mentioned the difficult financial decisions that will have to be made if the City cuts down on the revenue it takes in from the City Public Service Board.

In response to Mr. Hartman's comment on the 17 percent increase over last month, Mr. Thomas stated that the increase was not due to a change in price but due to the fact that consumers use about 50 percent more gas in January than they do in December.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen, Cockrell.

76-61 Mayor Cockrell returned to the meeting and presided.

76-61 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,507

APPROPRIATING THE AMOUNT OF \$50,286.08 IN FUND 52-003, AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO ARTEM CORPORATION AS REIMBURSEMENT FOR THE COST OF OVERSIZE ON-SITE SEWER MAINS IN THOUSAND OAKS SUBDIVISION, UNIT 1A.

* * * *

Councilman Hartman asked if this item has been referred to the Planning Commission because it deals with certain matters under consideration by the Planning Commission at this time.

City Manager Raffety stated that this item was referred and approved by the Planning Commission one year ago.

Mr. Hartman stated that the Planning Commission at that time was not involved with the development of a master plan and expressed his concern that the Planning Commission review this item.

Mayor Pro-Tem Billa stated that this item is a reimbursement for work which has already been done.

Mr. Elkin McGaughy stated he is the developer of the subdivision and that the oversize mains are at the request of the City of San Antonio in accordance with subdivision regulations. He also stated that the oversize mains were put in to protect the Aquifer. He asked the Council to approve the Ordinance and that he be given some sense of direction.

Mr. Hartman stated he was not opposed to the Ordinance but expressed his concern on this item as it relates to the Master Plan and how it would impact on same.

Mr. Pyndus spoke in favor of the Ordinance and moved for adoption. Mr. Teniente seconded the motion.

City Attorney Parker stated that the plat has been approved in accordance with the then existing subdivision regulations.

Mr. Hartman stated he would be in favor of voting for the Ordinance with the provision that a letter be sent from the City Manager to the Planning Commission advising them of the action by the City Council in regards to this item.

The Council concurred in instructing the City Manager to advise the Planning Commission of the action taken today by the City Council.

On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

Mr. Pyndus stated that similar cases will be forthcoming to the Council and inquired about the procedure and manner in which these cases will be handled.

Mayor Cockrell asked that the City Manager review with the City Attorney the proper policy and flow of information to be followed, recognizing the Council's desire to have the Planning Commission participate in all of the areas concerned with the development of the Master Plan.

City Manager Raffety stated a report will be forthcoming.

Reverend Black stated that the essential element of the Master Plan addresses the growth process, and he would like to see a policy that would not undermine the projection of the Planning Commission.

76-61 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,508

APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE PAYMENT OF
ADDITIONAL ENGINEERING FEES ON VARIOUS
DRAINAGE PROJECTS AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT
TO VARIOUS ENGINEERING FIRMS AS HEREIN
PROVIDED.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,509

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF FARRELL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,292,581.80 FOR DRAINAGE AND WATER MAIN CONSTRUCTION ON THE CATALPA-PERSHING DRAINAGE PROJECT NO. 2-7; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A STANDARD CITY PUBLIC WORKS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT COVERING SAID CONSTRUCTION; APPROPRIATING THE AMOUNT OF \$3,508,663.00 IN FUND 41-001, PROJECT NO. 001008; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT AS HEREIN PROVIDED.

* * * *

76-61

PROPOSED ORDINANCE ON CASTLE HILLS FOREST
UNIT 1 OFF-SITE SANITARY SEWER MAIN

The Clerk read an Ordinance authorizing execution of a Standard Professional Services Contract with Harry Jewett Associates for professional engineering services and the preparation of plans and specifications for the Castle Hills Forest Unit 1 Off-Site Sanitary Sewer Main.

After consideration, Mr. Pyndus moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Teniente seconded the motion.

In response to Mr. Hartman, Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Assistant Director of Public Works, stated that the plat on this has not yet been approved. He further stated that a dilemma exists on determining at what stage is the plat submitted to the Planning Commission for their review. The basic question is whether or not the Public Works Department should send the plats to the Planning Commission in the preliminary stage and have them determine whether it conforms or not to their master plan before the developer spends a lot of time and money on plans and specifications.

Mr. Hartman stated that this suggestion has a lot of merit since it would be to the advantage of the developer as well as to the Planning Commission.

City Attorney Parker stated that if a developer submits a plat and that plat complies with all of the requirements of the City's subdivision regulations at that point in time, the Planning Commission has 30 days in which to act on it.

Mr. Hartman stated that since the plat has not been approved nor submitted to the Planning Commission for their review, he asked that this item be held in abeyance pending the establishment of a procedure.

Mr. Sueltenfuss stated that if the plat meets all the requirements, the Planning Commission would not have a basis for turning it down.

On roll call, Mr. Pyndus' motion failed to carry by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Cisneros, Black, Hartman; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

Mr. Hartman moved to refer this item to the Planning Commission. Dr. Cisneros seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

Item 4 was referred to the Planning Commission.

76-61

SANTA CLAUS VISIT TO COUNCIL CHAMBER

Santa Claus accompanied by two "dears" greeted the Council and wished them a Merry Christmas on behalf of the Southwest Migrant Association. The two dears, Miss Rosalinda Longoria and Miss Lydia Rodriguez, presented each Council member with a candy cane. Santa Claus then thanked the Council for the support shown the migrant farm workers and asked for continued support.

REVIEW OF OAK HOLLOW ESTATES, UNIT 1
AND OAK MOSS NORTH SUBDIVISION PLAT

Mayor Cockrell noted for the record that these plats were reviewed and referred to the Planning Commission.

Dr. Cisneros stated he would like to have it noted for the record that he looks askance at the continuation of subdivision platting in the area over the Edwards Recharge Zone because of the potential dangers to San Antonio's water supply.

76-61 Item 6 being a review of Helotes Park Estates #2 was withdrawn from consideration.

76-61 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,510

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AGREEMENTS WITH THE CITIES OF BALCONES HEIGHTS, CASTLE HILLS, KIRBY, OLMOS PARK, TERRELL HILLS AND WINDCREST PROVIDING FOR THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO TO TRANSPORT AND TREAT SEWAGE GENERATED WITHIN SAID CITIES IN CONSIDERATION FOR PAYMENT OF THE SEWER SERVICE CHARGE ESTABLISHED BY THE CITY COUNCIL.

* * * *

In response to Mr. Hartman's question, Mr. Carl White, Finance Director, stated that the City operates a regional sewer system and has entity contracts with satellite cities and other entities. The contract calls for annual update of charges and explained the manner in which the rates are calculated.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

76-61 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,511

SETTING THE 1977 RATE FOR TRANSPORTATION AND TREATMENT OF SEWAGE BY THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO FOR ENTITIES OUTSIDE THE CITY LIMITS AT \$0.17413 PER THOUSAND GALLONS OF SEWAGE, BASED UPON 70% OF WATER CONSUMPTION, AND PROVIDING FOR EXCEPTIONS WHERE SEWAGE IS MEASURED BY SEWAGE METERS.

* * * *

76-61 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Reverend Black, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,512

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AGREEMENTS WITH SUBURBAN CITIES AND BEXAR COUNTY FOR CONTINUATION OF EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

TO BE PROVIDED BY THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO FOR THE YEAR 1977 AT A PER CAPITA RATE OF \$3.35 AND APPOINTING AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND SPECIFYING ITS COMPOSITION AND DUTIES.

* * * *

76-61 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,513

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER, ACTING IN BEHALF OF THE ALAMO MANPOWER CONSORTIUM, TO SUBMIT MODIFICATIONS TO THE 1976/1977 CETA MANPOWER PLAN TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR FOR APPROVAL AND AWARD OF AN ADDITIONAL \$763,841.00 UNDER THE CETA TITLE I FY-77 MANPOWER PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A MODIFICATION OF THE FY-77 NON-FINANCIAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ALAMO MANPOWER CONSORTIUM AND THE TEXAS STATE BOARD FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION TO PROVIDE FOR AN ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$52,841.00 FOR VOCATIONAL EDUCATION SERVICES; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE MODIFICATIONS TO THE CURRENT AGREEMENTS WITH THIRD PARTY AGENCIES IN THIS PROGRAM TO ALLOW FOR ADDITIONAL ALLOCATIONS AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ACCEPT THE ADDITIONAL AWARD FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

* * * *

Mr. Teniente moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Hartman seconded the motion.

Mr. Teniente stated that he has been in contact with Mr. Jerry Watson of the Department of Labor. Mr. Watson advised him that the City has been allocated another \$4 million for the extension of the CETA Title VI employment program. This means 1100 jobs, 500 of these to be City employees. This is another example of the Department of Labor's effort to work with the City of San Antonio.

On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen, Billa; ABSTAIN: Pyndus.

Mr. Pyndus stated that he was abstaining from the vote because he is not in agreement with the funding of one organization.

76-61 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,514

ACCEPTING A GRANT OF \$1,259,266.00 AWARDED BY THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR UNDER TITLE II OF THE COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT OF 1973 TO THE ALAMO MANPOWER CONSORTIUM FOR OPERATION OF THE THIRD YEAR OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 1976, ESTABLISHING A FUND AND ACCOUNTS; AUTHORIZING A PERSONNEL COMPLEMENT FOR CITY DEPARTMENTS; AND AUTHORIZING AGREEMENTS WITH MEMBERS OF THE CONSORTIUM FOR EXPENDITURE OF ALLOCATED FUNDS.

* * * *

In response to Mr. Pyndus' question on the criteria used in qualifying school districts to participate, Mr. Eddie Garcia, Veterans Coordinator, explained that this is a continuation of on-going programs. Another aspect of this program is that Title II deals with a target area, which is the central city, and it's difficult to get people to commute back and forth to those jobs.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Teniente, seconded by Dr. Cisneros, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen.

76-61

KUDOS TO COUNCILMAN RICHARD TENIENTE

Councilman Cisneros commended Mr. Teniente for the dedicated service he renders as Co-Chairman of the Manpower Planning Council and the success of the program.

Mayor Cockrell also complimented Mr. Teniente and appreciated his efforts on the Manpower Planning Council.

76-61

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,515

CLOSING AND ABANDONING CERTAIN STREET RIGHTS OF WAY, AS SHOWN ON THE VACATING PLAT OF WELLESLEY MANOR, UNIT 1, AND AUTHORIZING A QUITCLAIM DEED TO BAKER-CROW COMPANY, FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$1.00 AND DEDICATION OF REALIGNED STREETS, DRAINAGE AND UTILITY EASEMENTS IN THAT CERTAIN UNRECORDED PLAT OF WELLESLEY MANOR, UNIT 1, DATED AUGUST 16, 1976,

* * * *

Councilman Teniente asked that the names of the people receiving the land be named on the Ordinance to remove any question of conflict of interest.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Teniente, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen.

76-61

The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,516

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT WITH THE ALAMO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS FOR A LONG RANGE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION STUDY, FROM DECEMBER 31, 1976 TO JANUARY 31, 1977.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,517

APPROPRIATING THE REMAINING AMOUNT OF \$22,315.71 IN THE 1970 LIBRARY IMPROVEMENTS GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FUND FOR PURCHASE OF MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT FOR USE IN COMMUNITY LIBRARY BRANCHES.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,518

AMENDING ORDINANCE 46692 TO INCREASE CERTAIN FEES FOR COMMERCIAL AIR TRANSPORTATION ACTIVITIES NOT UNDER LEASE OR CONTRACT AT SAN ANTONIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,519

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A LEASE WITH C. E. BREHM LEASING AND AIRCRAFT SALES, INC. FOR SPACE AT STINSON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,520

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A LEASE WITH E. A. ASHLEY D/B/A ASHLEY-HAWK AVIATION FOR SPACE AT STINSON MUNICIPAL AIRPORT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,521

MANIFESTING THE AMENDMENT OF THAT CERTAIN LEASE BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AND MONIWAYS SERVICES, INC. AT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

* * * *

76-61

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,522

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AMENDED EXTENSION AGREEMENT WITH GEORGE LANE FOR THE OPERATION OF RIDES AND GAMES AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA.

* * * *

Mr. Pyndus moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Teniente seconded the motion.

Mr. Teniente stated that he was concerned about the 60 day termination clause because the Concessionaire will be spending a lot of money and it will take several years to recoup the investment. He would prefer a two year contract without the 60 day cancellation clause.

Dr. Cisneros stated that there is a study going on regarding the use of HemisFair Plaza, and possibly there could be some changes required; the changing of the lease could possibly lock the City in a difficult position.

City Manager Raffety stated that a number of HemisFair tenants have been meeting with the Director of Convention Facilities and there have been many suggestions for the ultimate utilization of HemisFair Plaza. There are three possible alternatives in regard to Mr. George Lane, one would be to leave Mr. Lane's facilities where he is; two, would be to relocate Mr. Lane's facilities; and a third would be to eliminate his facilities. There has been no decision on any of these alternatives. The present contract provides some protection to Mr. Lane in that the termination of the agreement can be made only in the period of October through March, thus avoiding the operation's most active season.

In response to Mr. Hartman, Mr. Raffety stated the contract can be cancelled on the sole option of either party at any time during this extended term upon a 60 day written notice.

Mr. George Lane, the Concessionaire, stated he has been at HemisFair Plaza since the close of HemisFair. He also stated that he has invested over \$250,000 at HemisFair Plaza. He has done a good job and felt that a contract with a 60 day cancellation clause was not a good one.

City Manager Raffety stated that a dilemma exists and it would be most helpful if a policy were established for the ultimate utilization of HemisFair Plaza.

Mr. Hartman suggested that the contract could be for four years with the understanding that there may be some modification as to location and with the exclusion of the 60 day cancellation clause.

In response to Mr. Hartman's comment about removing the 60 day option, City Manager Raffety stated that there are potential problems involved with changing the lease of this time. He said that any contract can be amended at any time with the consent of both parties. He suggested that the contract as presented be approved, then that staff review the possibility of reviewing Mr. Hartman's proposal and bring the recommendation to City Council and if an amendment seems in order, then the contract can be amended.

After consideration, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Teniente, Cockrell, Hartman; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

The Council concurred in directing staff to study and review Mr. Hartman's proposal and report to the Council within 30 days.

76-61 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,523

GRANTING ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY PERMISSION
TO HOLD A BONFIRE THE EVENING OF JANUARY
28, 1977.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,524

EXTENDING FOR AN ADDITIONAL TWO YEAR PERIOD
THE AGREEMENTS WITH JACK H. CARNEY, WILLIAM
BOUBLE, FRANK W. WARD AND GRANVILLE B.
HUMPHRIES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF CITY-OWNED
GOLF COURSES.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,525

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO SUBMIT A
GRANT PROPOSAL TO THE GOVERNOR'S COMMITTEE
ON AGING, FOR AWARD OF \$1,298,056.00 AVAILABLE
IN FEDERAL (HEW) FUNDS FOR THE CONTINUATION
OF THE METRO SAN ANTONIO COMPREHENSIVE
NUTRITION PROJECT.

* * * *

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 47,536

APPROPRIATING THE TOTAL OF \$85,056.00 FROM GENERAL FUNDS TO BE USED AS MATCHING FUNDS UNDER THE TITLE XX PROVISIONS OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT, TO EXPAND CHILD DAY CARE; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS WITH THE COORDINATED CHILD CARE COUNCIL OF BEXAR COUNTY TO PROVIDE CHILD DAY CARE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SAID ACT; AMENDING THE CURRENT CONTRACT WITH THE MILLER CHILD CARE DEVELOPMENT CENTER TO INCREASE THEIR PRESENT ALLOCATION.

* * * *

The following discussion took place:

MR. RICHARD TENIENTE: So move.

MR. PHIL PYNDUS: Second, and I have a question please.

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Mayor Cockrell, I understand that the provision affecting the Ella Austin operation will mean that the City will pick up the \$26,000 that they are currently receiving from United Way Agency, and I'm wondering if staff is available to explain. We will increase this \$26,000 out of City expenditures and the United Agency is now carrying that item and they wish to drop it and pick up our funding, and I would like to have it certified if I may.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, may we ask for staff comment? Also, there was a voice from the audience that questioned the figure. On the docket, it is printed \$82,000, but in the other sheet it is printed \$85,000, and may we ask which figure is correct?

CITY MANAGER TOM RAFFETY: \$85,000.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, \$85,000 is the correct figure, not the \$82,000 which was printed on the docket. Yes, sir, would you be kind enough to comment to Mr. Pyndus' question. He was interested in the fact that the funding, I think, of the local share for Ella Austin has previously come from United Way and we would now be picking up from the City budget that local share, and would you just explain a little bit about the background for the Council.

MR. PYNDUS: The justifications for it, Mayor.

MR. WILLIAM DONAHUE: Your answer to the question, Councilman Pyndus, is in the affirmative. The ordinance is laid out in three sections. Ella Austin is provided for in Option 1 in the first section of the ordinance, or in the latter section of the ordinance. Approving the ordinance as is does indeed allow Ella Austin the flexibility of rejecting a current funding source in preference to the \$26,100 approximate figure out of the City's funds.

MR. TENIENTE: I have a question, Madam Mayor.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, does that conclude your question, Mr. Pyndus?

MR. PYNDUS: No, now that explains what is happening, and I noted that staff had recommended the approval of the first two items and the third item there has no approval, and for us to assume an expenditure that's already being taken care of, why should it be shifted to the City?

MR. DONAHUE: All right, you're correct in your observation, sir. Staff did not indicate its recommendations for that principally, because we felt the first two sections of the ordinance were consistent with what we understood to be Council policy. We figured, it was my interpretation I say we, it was my feeling that the third request was in an area of prerogative that was perhaps left to policy as opposed to staff recommendation.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. I see that Mrs. Dolores Ratliff is here from the Ella Austin Community Center, and, Mrs. Ratliff, the question has been asked by one Council member about the fact that this was an item where the local share had previously been funded by United Way and as to what the justification was for the City picking it up and so forth, and would you like to give the background from your point of view?

MRS. RATLIFF: Yes, we have been trying for over a year to terminate the relationship with 4 C's. There are many problems that we've had, and I think I've shared them with most people. But one thing we were trying to do is to work out a plan whereby there would be no need for local match, and I think we've just about worked that out. So that this is an interim measure until that comes into being. Since we are occupying a CDA facility, we can use that as a match, rather than having any cash.....(inaudible).....

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, so in other words, what we're talking about today is an interim plan until you're able to get the full funding with the local match in terms of the in-kind services.

MRS. RATLIFF: We're hopeful that we will be able to work that out within this coming funding period, but in the interim we need this.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Dolores, you mentioned getting out from under the coordination of the 4 C's, and the problems that you have with them. I get, of course, conflicting signals on this, and would you be so kind as to enlighten me as to what this problem is?

MRS. RATLIFF: One of the major problems is their unresponsiveness to the needs of our particular area. For four years, we've been trying to get a 24 hour program, and each year, 4 C's has turned us down. It's never even gotten to United Way. In addition to that, there are other problems caused by the fact that they are just another layer of bureaucracy which makes it very difficult to get action, very difficult to get any kind of response. Much of what they are doing is a duplication of what the State Department does because they have no budgetary authority at all, and when we meet with them, we again have to meet with the State Department of Public Welfare.

MR. HARTMAN: All right, wasn't it the intent of the 4 C's to specifically reduce the bureaucracy of being able to coordinate several agencies that are in similar activities with the idea of rather than having individuals going to the Texas Department of Public Welfare.

MRS. RATLIFF: If it had lived up to its original goals, that was intended, intended in 1970 when it was first established. It has not done that, though, it was supposed to be a planning agency, it was not only supposed to focus on day care, it was supposed to focus on the care of all children, and it's never moved beyond that.

MR. HARTMAN: Okay, well, I guess the problem I'm having, Dolores, is the fact that if we take this step, to deviate to from what 4 C's was supposed to do, then I think, in effect, we're saying that the concept of 4 C's isn't working, and I think we have to come to grips with that part of the problem.

MRS. RATLIFF: Well, the problem is with Title XX monies. There is no provision made for an agency like 4 C's, and all of the programs are presently funded under Title XX now.

MR. HARTMAN: Well, but still though, within a local area, as I understand it and I'm not that much of an expert, in fact, I'm not an expert at all, I'm an observer as to what their purpose is, but it's basically to try to blend a cooperative approach, I guess is the word, with regard to those monies that are eventually requested from TDPW. And if it's not working, then we've got a further problem than just this particular one. And I will defer to someone else who perhaps is more familiar in maybe, Bill, I need to ask your very candid opinion on this one.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May we ask you to come to the mike, so we can have it on the tape.

MR. DONAHUE: Councilman Hartman, we do not presume to address at this point the issue of 4 C's effectiveness. In fact, we neither do we assume the position at this point that what Ella Austin is proposing is not programmatically solved. Our point simply is that it is not consistent with the other actions that we've taken. I cannot, at this point, address the issue of 4 C's over all.....

MR. HARTMAN: Madam Mayor, if I may. Bill, don't you agree though, that by taking this action we are defacto addressing the question of the effectiveness of 4 C's.

MR. DONAHUE: I would say that there has been other agencies who are currently four C's agencies who have contacted us and are very interested in the outcome of this ordinance.

MR. HARTMAN: Well, that's my point. Madam Mayor, it seems to me that with this - I fully sympathize with the, perhaps, the problems within the four C's coordination areas, but it seems to me like we're setting a precedent here that, in effect, you know, and that may be the best thing to do, you know. I'm not saying that, you know, that it's not, but if we take this action we are defacto, I think, making a change in policy with regard to what was intended with the 4 C's operation.

MRS. RATLIFF: However, this was only with United Way. This was not a City plan that, of course, would be the coordinating agency for all of the programs. And our problem has been, we called the problems that we were having with 4 C's to the attention of United Way nearly two years ago, and they said that they were going to do a study of 4 C's and this has never come into being, but this is not a City procedure that you would be overwriting at all.

MR. HARTMAN: But it's been sort of absorbed, you know, assumed.....

MRS. RATLIFF: Well, see, ultimately our plan is that not only will we not need United Way match money, but we will not even need the City match money. But the problem is an interim kind of thing until we can get all the details worked out. But Title XX doesn't say that you have to have any coordinating agency. I say again that if a reimbursement kind of plan and ultimately there is going to be no need for 4 C's any way.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Reverend Black.

REV. CLAUDE BLACK: Inasmuch as this is an interim matter what advantage would it serve since you're going to get out from under that anyway. What advantage would it serve for the City to take up this \$26,000.00 now? When you're going to get out of it anyhow.

MRS. RATLIFF: Our contract with 4 C's ends as of the end of this year, and we would not have to go into contract with them. We would then be able to contract directly. That's our major concern. Contract directly with the State Department of Public Welfare which we're doing already for another Title XX program, our family planning program. And then our parents, the parents of our children would have some control over the program because they have no control now at all. Any recommendation that they make in regards to program changes many times have been chopped down before it even gets to the State Department of Public Welfare.

REV. BLACK: Which - the current concern I have is that we're taking something that United Way is doing. And would it mean that if you contracted with United Way you would not have an opportunity to negotiate the other interests that you're talking about?

MRS. RATLIFF: The problem is we're not really contracting with United Way.

REV. BLACK: But I mean if you should have contracts with 4 C's and take this money from United Way, then would this in any way interfere your interim negotiations.....

MRS. RATLIFF: Yes, it will. The problem is in terms of the problem content, we would have much more control, the parents of our children over program content beginning as of January 1 than we have because we can't even do our budgets. Four C's does our budget. And see, again we do not have a contract with United Way, we have a contract with 4 C's. There's just another layer that you have to go through before you can even get to United Way, and before you can get to the State Department, so you have three layers there and many times the three layers don't even agree.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: I wonder is there anyone here from United Way that would wish to address this? I notice that Mrs. White is here. I don't know if they wanted to.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, fine. Won't you come up?

MRS. SHIRLEY WHITE: I can't address.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: Would you state your name for the record.

MRS. SHIRLEY WHITE: I'm Shirley White with United Way. We have an established policy with United Way that United Way member agencies must, who are using United Way monies must go through the established policy with the local 4 C's office. Now, there is no deviation away from this at this time for any of our member agencies. However, I can only address myself to the United Way monies that would be used.

MAYOR COCKRELL: In other words, that with the direct contracting, the United Way monies will not be available, but the - that's why the application for the City.

MRS. WHITE: . That is correct. If they are using United Way money they would have to go the local 4 C's office.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Mayor, I'm ready for a motion if discussion is completed.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Well, I must say there are, it looks to me as if there are two other requests that have come in that might be, might have a bearing and be similar. The - would you comment on any similarities with the St. Mary's University request and the urban funding, Urban Council request? Is it not true are they connected?

MR. DONAHUE: Mayor Cockrell, both of those are requesting monies that are not available to our knowledge for any other source. There are not in again, we did not feel that they were consistent with the ordinance in that that we're considering today, in that the enabling ordinance specifically indicated the money should be used for match for Title XX programs. The two that I think you have reference to.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: These are some that are just in the works now for request.

MR. DONAHUE: They appear to be.

MAYOR COCKRELL: They haven't been processed.

MR. DONAHUE: If I may, they appear to be very needed and regardless of how the ordinance comes out today, they will be a surplus of funds from this account, and it would be my recommendation that the consideration for reprogramming be in the direction of funding those two that you were speaking of.

MAYOR COCKRELL: So, at any rate, what you're saying is that the funds are available, there's no problem in the availability of the funds. It's simply a question of whether the Council as a policy matter wants to do it this particular way.

MR. DONAHUE: Exactly.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Teniente.

MR. TENIENTE: Yes, I have a question of Mr. Donahue. The area of the day care center monies for Inman Christian Center were discussed. Is there a possibility perhaps of any surplus funds that could be earmarked for this particular project if we approved the other two that are on the agenda today?

MR. DONAHUE: Councilman Teniente, I've met with Dan Saucedo who is the director of that both at a meeting with Mrs. Ratliff which hosted as well as independently my office. The question of how the, I'm really not copping out here, sir, but it appears that regardless of how we handle it there will be available, there will be excess funds there will be monies available that will be unspent. How the Council uses that is obviously up to the Council's prerogative.

MR. TENIENTE: You said that there would be.

MR. DONAHUE: Yes, sir.

MR. TENIENTE: I'd like the Council to at least consider the Inman Christian Center day care center area, it services the westside, and I think to try to disburse the funds a little more as equitably as possible work, if this motion passes to at least go on a commitment to consider the Inman Christian Center project.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Actually, there are a number of projects that are already I think we need to look at the balance of projects throughout the City and what might be pending in terms of request. Yes, Reverend Black.

REV. BLACK: Well, what we're really talking about is the reallocation of funds based upon another ordinance because the ordinance itself, I think, calls for match funds.

MR. DONAHUE: It appears to be mixing apples with oranges at this point.

REV. BLACK: So, we have to deal with it under another ordinance.

DR. CISNEROS: I think, Mayor, that Mr. Teniente's suggestion is a good one.

MAYOR COCKRELL: To take a look at other things.

DR. CISNEROS: And that that in taking a look at the broad issue, that Inman Christian Center certainly ought to be included.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, at this point what we have is the ordinance. We have not had a motion yet. We had a motion? And was there a second? All right, fine.

MR. PYNDUS: I'd like to offer a substitute motion, Mayor.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: I would like to delete the third section of that resolution - of that ordinance and approve the first two sections.

MR. HARTMAN: Would you identify.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: The Ella Austin is what he is saying.

MR. PYNDUS: The transfer of the funds from the United Way to Ella Austin and put the burden on the City, I think we're working and in opposition to community effort. And, I think that for an interim solution and a new policy this would change our previous policy, I don't think at this time should be done.

MR. HARTMAN: Well, I will second it, Madam Mayor, strictly from this standpoint, I am concerned, and I guess what I need is more enlightenment as to what this does to what I had been led to believe was a good coordinated procedure, namely, the 4 C's, and that is my concern, my concern only.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, We have a motion and a second in view of this, is there any representative of the 4 C's program here? Were they invited to be here? I just - I'm wondering if it would be wise to, rather than consider this motion at the present time to give them the opportunity to be heard.

MR. HARTMAN: I would suggest that, Madam Mayor.

MAYOR COCKRELL: As a part of the...yes?

MR. DONAHUE: Madam Mayor, may I add, I'm sorry, it is and I shouldn't be speaking for Ella Austin, but Ella Austin does, I would just ask you to remember that Ella Austin does have a contract that expires on the 31st of this month. And there is not another Council meeting prior to the expiration of the contract.

MAYOR COCKRELL: I know, what I was talking about was whether we could get a representative of the 4 C's here today to explore the problem before we took a vote because it might be that after hearing further, that the Council might, you know, prefer to go ahead.

CITY MANAGER RAFFETY: Would you like to hold this item?

MR. PYNDUS:(inaudible).....to Ella Austin by United Way, is it not? The funding of \$26,000 is committed to Ella Austin as it now stands. You will not have a financial problem.

MRS. RATLIFF: Only if we contract with 4 C's, and in talking with Dr. Wilder, he said that he would not block us finding another source of match money; but the only way he'll make United Way money available to us if we contract with 4 C's.

MR. PYNDUS: I'm sort of in a dilemma because you mention the added red tape that is accrued to the 4 C's program, and either we have to look at that as an overall look or continue at that and then the funding of the City funds on something that is already funded by United Way is another object of my concern. And my concern was the funding is there, you've been approved for it and let it be instead of taking the funding on this.....

MRS. RATLIFF: I would ask the Council to consider the fact that we are at a point, we've been trying for nearly a year now to get the utilization of rental money as a way of financing the program, and we are at the point of bringing this to a closure and all we really need is time to do it; and we can do it as a prime contract. We cannot do it under 4 C's, which will mean that we will not be utilizing any money.

MR. PYNDUS: Mayor, I would certainly, I wouldn't mind reviewing the approach that she is suggesting, I certainly think that we should look into it. If it has ramifications that are not good, and you do have some difficulty, I have no objections to that. My objection is to assume the funding.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Raffety, I am wondering if we could, if it's agreeable with the Council, if we could contact a representative of the 4 C's program. There needs to be some kind of a decision today, one way or another, because this is the last Council meeting before the contract runs out.

CITY MANAGER RAFFETY: Yes, madam, Mr. Donahue is attempting to contact Mr. Imig now of 4 C's.

MRS. RATLIFF: Mr. Raffety, in regard to the rental of utilization, we explored it with our City Manager, because what in essence happened is that we would pay the City rent and then that money would be utilized as our portion of the match. And, I talked with Mr. Finnis Jolly, and it was my understanding, after we left the meeting, that there would have to be a public hearing on the \$26,000 that we would need for our match; but, in talking with him, he said that for reprogramming that small amount of money that it is not his opinion that we would have to have a public hearing on it, so that would simplify the process again. And it could very well be that even if Council is not agreeable to using money out of the pool, we could work quickly to expedite the rental money and then we would be able to go into direct contract.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, may I ask if we could pull this item until we get the 4 C's people here while we are trying to review that, is that agreeable...Mrs. Ratliff, I think the Council is.....

CITY MANAGER RAFFETY: Mr. Donahue is attempting to contact Mr. Imig now.

MAYOR COCKRELL: We are going to just delay this item while we are attempting to see if someone from 4 C's can come over and discuss it with us too. Fine, we'll just temporarily proceed. May we ask for the motions to be withdrawn just temporarily til we.....

MR. PYNDUS: Yes, Madam.

MAYOR COCKRELL: The representative from the 4C Program is here. The matter as you probably are aware that is before the City Council is in regard to questions as to the Ordinance which is a portion of the Ordinance allocates a total of \$26,100.00 to Ella Austin Community Center for its Title XX day care match. And the question of the relationship to the 4C Program and the overall coordination from 4C's is being discussed a bit, as well as the request by Ella Austin, which points out that one of the problems as they see it in participation of 4C's is that they're not able to apply directly to utilize in-kind services and possible gains that we might get locally from utilizing the contribution in in-kind services as to count toward the local match and also the problems experienced in what appears to be an extra layer of procedures to go through relative to the entire process. May we ask for the representative of the 4C's Program to come forward and to comment from his point of view on the issues.

MR. PAUL IMIG: I'm Mr. Imig, Executive Director of the Coordinated Child Care Council. And I have been associated with it since we began funding under Title IV A, which was in 1971. Now, I realize that there are, there have been some problems for instance, but I think that everyone has to realize that when we talk about coordination and things of that sort we are dealing in a give and take proposition. And what they give and take means that you can't with limited ceilings and everything give everyone exactly what they want because we have ceilings imposed on us by the State Welfare Department. So, we tried during the last three and four years to even up the funding in all of our entire structure. And that has created some friction. Now, many of our agencies are poorly funded and you can name them. Our non United Way Agencies were poorly funded, Madonna was poorly funded, Kenwood was poorly funded, and we have two or three agencies that were pretty well funded. So, my Board has tried to share the wealth. And that has created some problems. I think that any time that you're put in the position of having to handle money you're gonna be subject to criticism.

And I think that our structure in San Antonio is by far the strongest day care structure in the State. And I am familiar with the structure in Houston, Dallas, Austin, which are the three major cities. And the reason that we are stronger is that our centers operate their own programs in their own community and we can tailor make our programs to fit the needs of that particular community without having to go back and subject people to something different. Now, United Way has always provided us with matching funds for our United Way Agencies. They do not provide matching funds for non-United Way, and this the City money has given us the opportunity where we can help our non-United Way Agencies so that they do not have to worry about matching funds and at the same time we can improve their programs by better funding up to the limit of the dollars that the State Welfare Department says that we have available.

Now, for instance we were unable to go to the figure that we had originally planned about a year ago because all federal funds were committed, and if there are no federal funds to commit that's as far as you can go. Now, I'll be happy to answer any kind of question that anyone might have.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, what is the cost of, what is the budget for your agency in its role of reviewing and supervising?

MR. IMIG: The current budget for our agencies is \$133,000.

MAYOR COCKRELL: I see and how is that funded?

MR. IMIG: It is funded on a combination of Title XX money which is from the State Welfare Department, United Way matching funds and there is a small amount in the current plan which would be City funded.

MAYOR COCKRELL: And then how many different programs and agencies do you supervise?

MR. IMIG: Twelve.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Twelve programs, I see and what is the, do you operate any programs directly or you just supervise programs?

MR. IMIG: All of our programs are on subcontracts with agencies. Now, I would like to speak to the dollar bit in some of my conversations with the State Welfare Department, they've indicated to me that if we were not in the position that we are today they would have to staff an office to deal with all of the agencies that was the cost would exceed the amount of dollars that we have invested in our contract now. And, if they had to do that that money would have to come from the structure as it currently exist. So, this has just come out recently. In addition to that there are a great number of agencies that we have that we would have to go back and augment their structure I would say it would cost at least half again as much in order to put them in a position where they can deal with the State Welfare Department. So, I think the consensus of opinion the way I see it in the region now is that those are the actions that would have to be taken if we were not in this structure.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: Mr. Imig, you're saying the substance to what you said so far is that actually \$133,00 budget is lower than it would require if each of the agencies went separately, in other words if there would have to be additional augmented staffing along the line, item number one.

Item number two, there's been some criticism that 4C's introduces an inordinate amount of delay and the processing of a request, how would you respond to that?

MR. IMIG: The delays in the processing of the request are due to the paperwork that is submitted from the agency to begin with because if it is submitted incorrectly it cannot be forwarded to Austin because they would not pay the bill. But, I have records in my office that will show that in practically all cases there is no delay if the request comes in and there is no administrative problems with it, it is mailed to Austin the same day. Now, one of the things that happened when we went to prepayment earlier in the year there was a delay but it was State Welfare Department delay due to the policy that we were being payed on a single check. So, if an agency put in a request and if it were sent in the first day of the month they could not be reimbursed until the last agency had submitted their request. Now, that did create a delay but in the beginning in November, with the November billing now, I have that changed again and went back to the system if the agency submits their billing early they get paid early. But, Madam Mayor, I assure you we have no delays of that type because we spend the time that it takes whether its day or night to get these billings submitted.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May we ask another question, in regard to the computation of the local match there seems to be some concern that the agency involved today was not able to initiate the request for the in-kind services to count as the local match, will you comment on this?

MR. IMIG: I think that the Director of Human Resources is more on top of that particular thing because being able to use local match has to do with whether or not it's legal under federal regulations. Now, from our

point of view since we're not involved with HUD money there is no way that you can use local match or use in-kind as local match on our contracts. Everything is a cash match, and there is no such thing as an in-kind. Now, I agree that federal programs do have in-kind, you can come up with in-kind but under Title XX no in-kind is allowable except when you deal with HUD money and in the past the ruling that has come down was that even then it's dubious whether or not you can use it as in-kind.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Out of all of the child day care programs, not day care, child care programs, in San Antonio what percentage of these are really supervised by your coordinating agency?

MR. IMIG: In San Antonio there are only two contracts in San Antonio that are not under this agency.

MAYOR COCKRELL: And which are they?

MR. IMIG: One is the contract that EODC has and the other is the contract that the Miller's have. All other Title XX day care money is under us. And, I have recently been asked whether or not it would be possible for me to come up with the same kind of a reporting system with the EODC contract and the Miller contract as ours because the State Welfare Department cannot predict or they cannot forecast how much money they can re-program as a result of dollars dropping out. Now, the system that we're using gives us that capability, and in my office yesterday, I could forecast.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Excuse me. I got lost in that last statement, would you go back and repeat that?

MR. IMIG: The State Welfare Department, the Region has asked me whether or not, I could get the same kind of information from the EODC contract and the Miller contract as we keep on our agencies because we can forecast lapse of Title XX money and the critical thing is that when Title XX, when you come up to the end of the Title XX year, if you have not committed all of your Title XX money, it is lost. And last year the Region lost \$500,000.00 in the City of San Antonio because they could not predict expenditure trends in their contracts.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Those were in - were those agencies that were affiliated with 4C's or agencies that were not affiliated or what?

MR. IMIG: Our contracts were spent to within one percent of the budget total. So, when you talk about one percent of our budget total which is about a \$1.6 million, you're talking about a very small amount of money in comparison to \$500,000.00.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Your's would be about a \$100,000.00?

MR. IMIG: No, it would be way less. That'll be like \$16,000. One percent of \$1.6 million, I think is 16.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Okay. Fine. And so then the other, the balance of that was from other agencies?

MR. IMIG: Yes, but it wouldn't necessarily be all the EODC contract and the Miller contract because other programs in San Antonio are funded by Title XX. You know, Title XX just isn't Day Care money. Now, where they suffered a substantial loss had to do with the way they handled the WIN and vocational rehab, you know, the work incentive program, which is Title XX and vocational rehabilitation funds which is, both come under, you know, Title XX. Now, part of their loss was associated with that.

Then, they also suffered losses with other programs that are funded under Title XX which is.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: You keep saying they

MR. IMIG: The State, the regional office of the State Welfare Department.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Well, all right. Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Would you say that you would recommend that the EODC and the Miller centers come under the umbrella of the 4C's?

MR. IMIG: At this point, you know, at this point based on everything that's been happening, what I would like to see is that we start this thing out, you know, the way we are now. And then we try to work together through the Human Resources Department until such time as we may be able to combine it all. Because I think many of the things that we provide, you know, in the way of our being able to forecast expenditure trends and all of those kind of things can be worked out at this time by working through the Human Resources Department because it'll be a matter of having forecast, you know, having a reporting system which isn't too complexed. But, I would like to see what we currently have remain intact and that we get together and talk about this thing and try to build the bridges because I feel in my own mind that we are in a much better position to work with the City, the State Welfare Department, the United Way, and everyone than any other place in the State of Texas. And I know the operations in Dallas, I know them in Houston, I know them in Austin, I know them all because I'm a member of that group, and we have I feel, right now the best structure. Obviously, we have some problems.

MR. PYNDUS: Ella Austin Center wishes to get out from under your umbrella by voiding the funds that they received from the United Way, and getting the match from the City. What is your reaction or response if others, if we - if we followed that trend and others would also make the same request to the Council, what would be the impact on the 4C's as well as the budget that we have to take over the United Way's contribution?

MR. IMIG: Well you know, Councilman, I've been associated with Social Service programs now for almost eight or nine years here in town and one of the things that I have seen, you know, in the Social Service programs is this business that people are reluctant to get together, you know, and work together as a team, and I'm talking about the higher level planners and things of that sort. And right now the United Way is working with the State Welfare Department. United Way is working with the Alamo Area Council of Governments. The United Way is working with the City, and everybody is beginning to get together and try to develop a service delivery system which we have been lacking, you know, over the period of time that I have been involved. See, and to me I only see Day Care as a very small portion of an entire complex of services for the City of San Antonio that I think that we have to address. And anything that we would do at the present time to break down the Day Care structure from its current position where we are in the business of taking care of these ends I think would be a mistake, because I believe the structure is good.

Now, United Way, I feel should remain in the funding business of Day Care Agencies. In fact, there was a time when I was told that United Way could possibly fund through us, they could fund non-United Way Agencies. And, I think that had not, had not one non-United Way agency left us, we would have been in a position today where United Way could have funded us to help agencies other than United Way Agencies because it is not an illegal funding mechanism under the United Way structure.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: Mr. Imig, would it be, first of all, legal for the function that you perform to be performed, say, by the City?

MR. IMIG: If the City, if the City chose to become that involved.

MR. HARTMAN: Okay, but it would actually involve, in other words, there's nothing legally wrong with contracting or direct, but would this then, what would be the disadvantage of that other than getting awfully involved in the Social Service programs?

MR. IMIG: One of the things I think that most of you realize is that if the City were in a, in a day care business to the same extent that we are, you would have a multitude of agencies that would be up here before City Council. See, so it becomes a matter of whether or not the City Council chooses to listen to, you know, a multitude of agencies because in our case we have been taking the brunt of the criticism, and we have been trying to work, work this thing out prior to the time that it goes to United Way or to the City, either one. See, and like I say, we have to take lumps and the, and in the past since 1971, you haven't had to face that particular problem. And it's a matter of, of whether or not, you know, if you would want to do it.

Personally, and again, I have to go back to, I have to go back to the structure in Dallas, Houston and Austin. Their city structure is very similar to what you have here in San Antonio and their operators are pretty well - they have large operators that operate direct programs, you know, which is different from us like one operator in Houston has over six million dollars of that Title XX money but his, the neighborhood centers in Houston, for instance, only act as the housekeeper agency for the day care program which means it isn't delegated to the community center which I feel makes our structure stronger, you know, where those people actually operate their programs. They're just paying rent.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Are there other questions? Let me ask, do any of your programs have 24 hour care at the present time?

MR. IMIG: Yes.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Where are those located?

MR. IMIG: Kiddie Kastle has, is the only one that has the 24 hour day care program at the present time.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Where is it located?

MR. IMIG: It's on the east side.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Kiddie Kastle?

MR. IMIG: Yes, it's the last agency that we have put into the system. They've been into this system since October 1975.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Were they operating a, was that an existing service that came into the system or in other words, did they come in operating the 24 hour facility or what?

MR. IMIG: It was a private operation to begin with and it was what you would call an agreement center with the State Welfare Department where they were taking care of, being paid just to take care of when, you know, the work incentive program and the vocation rehab kids and they were unable, they were unable to make the thing effective and we were asked by the Welfare Department to become involved with them and try to, try to get them worked up to the point where they could meet State and federal standards and then take the contract with us, and we have done that. Now, they had a small night program even when they were a sort of private day care operator.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Dr. Cisneros.

DR. CISNEROS: Madam Mayor, we are spending a good deal of time beyond the time that we allocated to this issue, I don't see how we're going to resolve the whole issue of coordination of day care.....(inaudible) it's neither our role nor is it appropriate, I think, for us to do this in this manner. My suggestion would be since we do have staff recommendation to proceed with contract as it.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: I don't think we have a staff recommendation.

MR. PYNDUS: I don't either, and I think we can make a decision.

MAYOR COCKRELL: The point is that there was not a staff recommendation. One member of the Council did meet with - and had a conference, but there's no staff recommendation. That was really why the Council began asking the question, that was the problem. The other problem is that we're under some pressure of time on the decision-making because the contract runs out, and they're going to have to know which way they're going to go...And.....

DR. CISNEROS: Well, that's why I would like to take the opportunity to make a motion that we pass the ordinance as presented.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, there's a motion, is there a second?

MR. TENIENTE: Second.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, it's been moved and seconded that the ordinance be approved as presented. Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: I would like to make a substitute motion. I think the information that was requested, I have received, I think it has been pointed out that we should stick with United Way, that we are ironing out the red tape, and we've got an on-going program, and if we pass this resolution as presented, there will be others to follow, and then if we follow the others, we'll go contrary to recommendations made here. That is, to keep the United Way in. So, I would move that we approve the ordinance with the exception of the change in that Section 3 that permitted the City to finance Ella Austin and also consequently they would drop out of United Way funding.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. So really properly your amendment would be to delete Section 3 from the ordinance. Is there a second to the motion?

MR. PYNDUS: Yes, madam.

MR. HARTMAN: Madam Mayor, I will second that. Yes, on the basis that I think to do otherwise, I think we are in effect wrecking a process that I have yet to be shown that isn't working well. That's the bottom line as I see it and in view of that, I would take the position that the 4 C's continue to be the umbrella for this agency.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, we have a motion and a second on an amendment which would delete the Ella Austin Center. Since we had a representative from 4 C's, I wanted to ask if Mrs. Ratliff has any final comment before the vote on the amendment.

MRS. RATLIFF: This is something that we've been concerned about for some time, we have reduced to writing the most important reasons why we are dissatisfied in our contractual relationship with 4 C's, and we have them here. But the major one, beginning with number one is their unresponsiveness, and we've been with 4 C's since the inception of their program. In fact, we were the first agency funded under 4 C's. And the primary reason there is in terms of their unresponsiveness is the fact that we have been trying to get a 24 hour program. We think it's a very basic need and each time it's been turned down by them. Our Parents Council has put forth this lead to them, and they have ignored us. Incidentally, the program that Paul mentioned before us, being 24 hours isn't 24 hours a day, it's more like 16 or 18 hours, it's a very small program.

Okay, the second thing is, 4 C's has not since it's existence developed a mechanism for allocating funds, they have not developed a cost standard, they have not developed a way that they can even decide how much money is to go where. In dealing with this problem, this has been brought to their attention over and over again and nothing has come of it, we've also brought this to the attention of the United Way, and we still have not gotten a response.

Number three, they have no budgetary decision-making authority whatever is in the budget still has to be cleared by the State Department so that's just another layer that we have to go through and sometimes there is misinformation.

Number four, the evidence for lack of their understanding of the State Department guidelines. The State Department was requiring that we get some heavy duty commercial equipment, we had it in our budget and everytime they cut it and so these are the kinds of problems that we have to go through. Which means that our....(inaudible)..... is not in the state that it should be. We are hopeful now because we are getting CDA monies that we will have an up to date and a standard playground with the CDA money. So that's we're hopeful of resolving that. Although, Paul is indicating that only one per cent of his money has gone back, I was on the 4 C Board. I only went off this year and every year large sums of money were returned from 4 C. They could have better been allocated within the 4 C program and the day care program.

Number six, the fact that in a reimbursement program like Title XX, there are no provisions for 4 C. This is a reimbursement program whereby the federal government pays you for the services offered, and you don't need a middle man for that.

Number seven, most importantly in reading the federal regulations, it is absolutely illegal for United Way to even designate 4 C as the agency to receive the Title XX money. This we pointed out but everyone sort of ignored us I guess because Ella Austin is so small and 4 C and United Way are so large that even they even though we called this to everyone's attention that they are violating federal regulations it's been ignored. So essentially these are the reasons why we would like to terminate contract with 4 C.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you.

MR. HARTMAN: We've now had additional charges that must be answered satisfactorily.

DR. CISNEROS: We're not going to answer them by the time.....

MR. HARTMAN: That's my concern, we have in effect fresh evidence introduced here.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, that's right.

REV. BLACK: Madam Mayor, I wonder if we act upon this could we just simply act upon those that have staff recommendations and leave the other item to be discussed later because I have problems with the interim nature of their allocation even with the charges here that you're still going to have an opportunity and correct all these things inasmuch as the Director has indicated that these are intra-measures these allocations are an intra-measure and that's the only thing that concerns me. I would think we need to simply adopt that which has come with staff recommendation and then take another whack at the other items at another time.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, in other words, that would support Mr. Pyndus' motion in deleting it at this time and then we would have to reconsider it.

MR. PYNDUS: To review the whole 4 C's operation, to answer the evidence that has been submitted this morning.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, then the motion will be, no, it's just an amendment because the first motion was to approve the entire package. The substitute, not the substitute, the amendment was simply to delete item number three, going forward with the approval of the remainder. Clerk will call the roll on the amendment.

AYES: Pyndus, Black, Hartman, Cockrell; NAYS: Cisneros, Teniente;
ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

CITY CLERK: The motion failed.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, the motion failed, and we now have the main motion and those in favor of the main motion as it now stands, we'll have the roll call.

AYES: Cisneros, Teniente; NAYS: Cockrell; Pyndus, Black, Hartman;
ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

CITY CLERK: The motion failed.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, the motion failed. Now then, we can, we're holding up the other two, in effect, because of not being quite ready to make the decision. Actually, I will state that after a thorough review, I may be perfectly ready to proceed with item 3. But I think it's just that we've opened up a huge problem here, and I just am not as quite sure at the decision-making point.

MR. HARTMAN: That's it exactly.

MR. TENIENTE: Even though we are not in full agreement on the thing I think we do agree that we could accept the first two recommendations at this point and in order for these two not to be not to suffer even though I have lost my original motion, I will move then that we accept the first two.

MR. PYNDUS: I second the motion.

MR. TENIENTE: Then I'd like to propose another motion later.

MAYOR COCKRELL: There's a motion and a second for approval.

DR. CISNEROS: Is it the amended motion? I'd like to make an amendment, propose an amendment which would set a date, a fixed date by which we would review the third section within 30 days.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Actually, I think we can get it sooner than...within two weeks.

DR. CISNEROS: I'd like to get this whole matter resolved as quickly as possible. I believe we are opening up a Pandora's box that makes the City Water Board's extension policies look meager by comparison, this is a very complicated issue.

MAYOR COCKRELL: It is a complicated issue, but in effect, if we pass the motion as it is, we are changing procedures without fully understanding what we're going, and it may be that we want to change the procedures but I just want to see the full implication.

DR. CISNEROS: Since I'm the one that's making the amendment, I'd stipulate that we do it within 30 days.

REV. BLACK: I second the motion.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, the motion is to approve the first two, and to set the third for review within 30 days as a deadline. All right, that has been moved and seconded and accepted all around.

ROLL CALL VOTE: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

76-61 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,526

POSTPONING THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL WHICH HAD BEEN REGULARLY SCHEDULED FOR DECEMBER 30, 1976.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,527

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF BILL MILLER'S ANTIQUES TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT WITH "CHARLESTON" PARK BENCHES FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$3,780.00.

* * * *

76-61 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,528

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF INDUSTRIAL RADIO COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH UHF RADIO ANTENNA AND COAXIAL CABLE FOR A TOTAL OF \$5,791.80.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,529

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF THE PETERSON CHEMICAL CORPORATION TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH SWIMMING POOL PAINT FOR A TOTAL OF \$6,880.50, LESS 2% - 10 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,530

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF SOUTHERN MOVING & STORAGE COMPANY FOR TRANSPORTING OF VOTE MACHINES FOR THE JANUARY 15, 1977 ELECTION AT A PRICE OF \$17.97 PER MACHINE.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,531

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF REFINERY ASSOCIATES, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH AN ANNUAL CONTRACT FOR DIESEL FUEL OIL AT A PRICE OF \$0.3112 PER GALLON.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,532

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BIDS OF THE
CROUSE-HINDS COMPANY, EAGLE SIGNAL COR-
PORATION, SIGNAL ENGINEERING COMPANY, AND
TRANS-TRONICS, INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY
OF SAN ANTONIO WITH TRAFFIC SIGNAL EQUIPMENT
FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$173,861.90.

* * * *

76-61 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after con-
sideration, on motion of Mr. Hartman, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was
passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros,
Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa,
Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,533

APPOINTING AND REAPPOINTING MEMBERS TO THE
HOME IMPROVEMENT ADVISORY BOARD.

* * * *

Representing Home Improvement Contractors

Mr. M. C. Beldon to replace Mr. E. W. Dean
Mr. Lon Platt to replace Mr. Robert L. Zepeda
Mr. Bob Markowsky to replace Mr. Forrest A. Dinn, Jr.

Representing the General Public

Mrs. R. T. Pickard to replace Ms. Jill Wilson
Mrs. Thad Dorsey to replace Mr. Harry Nelson

Mr. Edward Quintanilla is hereby reappointed as a representa-
tive for the home improvement contractors.

All of the above appointments are for terms expiring November
12, 1978.

76-61 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after
consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and
approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman,
Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 47,534

APPOINTING MR. RICHARD CALVERT AS CHAIRMAN
OF THE CENTRO 21 TASK FORCE FOR A TERM
COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 1977 AND TERMINATING
DECEMBER 31, 1977.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 47,535

APPOINTING MR. FRANK VAUGHAN, AS A MEMBER
OF THE HISTORIC REVIEW BOARD. (FOR A TERM
EXPIRING NOVEMBER 24, 1978)

* * * *

76-61 The Clerk read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION
NO. 76-61-93

URGING PRESIDENT-ELECT JIMMY CARTER AND HIS
STAFF TO CONSIDER RELOCATING FEDERAL REGIONAL
OFFICES TO SAN ANTONIO.

* * * *

Mr. Teniente stated that he had spoken to Commissioner Bustamante, and he is talking about sub-regional offices which possibly could be placed here in San Antonio. Mr. Teniente further stated that the Resolution also addresses the efforts of Congressman Henry B. Gonzalez and other interested citizens in this matter. He urged the Council to approve the Resolution.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Teniente, seconded by Mr. Hartman, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

Mayor Cockrell stated that she was very grateful to Councilman Teniente for his efforts in this endeavor.

76-61

WORK SESSION ON C.D.A.

Councilman Cisneros suggested that the City Council set a specific date for a work session on CDA funds.

Mayor Cockrell stated that action will be taken today to set a specific time and date.

76-61 The meeting was recessed at 11:40 A. M. and reconvened at 11:50 A. M.

76-61 At this point, the discussion on the Ordinance pertaining to Day Care Centers continued. See page 11 of these minutes.

76-61 The meeting recessed for lunch at 12:30 P. M. and reconvened at 1:40 P. M.

76-61

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

REV. R. A. CALLIES, SR.

Reverend R. A. Callies, Sr., representing the Youth Leadership Conference, introduced a group of citizens who are members of the Youth Leadership Conference who were in the audience. Rev. Callies then read a prepared statement, a copy of which is filed with the papers of this meeting, requesting the installation of a traffic light at the intersection of "F" Street and Pecan Valley Drive. He distributed pictures to the Council of a wreck that had occurred at this intersection. He asked the staff to re-investigate the need for a traffic light at this site.

Mayor Cockrell asked that the City Manager instruct staff to conduct another survey on this site and report to the Council.

Rev. Callies then read a prepared statement, a copy of which is filed with the papers of this meeting, proposing a plan for care of city cemeteries. Rev. Callies stated that these cemeteries have been neglected for over 35 years.

Mayor Cockrell turned the proposal over to the City Manager for his investigation and study. She then commended Rev. Callies for his outstanding work and efforts in the community.

NORDHAUS FROZEN FOODS COMPANY

Councilman Cisneros said that he has been working with representatives of the Nordhaus Company and representatives of the neighborhood where Nordhaus Company is located trying to resolve some of the problems in that area. He distributed a paper showing the status of the problems and then introduced Mr. Robert Mauricio.

Mr. Mauricio spoke of trucks speeding down the I. H. 35 ramp into the residential area and asked that a special sign be installed at this ramp to caution the trucks against speeding. He also spoke of the damage done to the streets by heavy trucks and asked that curbs be installed on Oriental Street at no cost to the residents.

Mr. Jack Kaufman, attorney for Nordhaus Company, expressed appreciation to Mr. Cisneros for his efforts in helping to resolve some of the problems. He said that his company is striving to moderate the effect of having a business in a residential area. He reviewed some of the steps being taken to alleviate conditions that the neighbors complain of.

Mayor Cockrell asked that the staff review the request for a sign at the off-ramp and the maintenance procedures in that area. She also asked that traffic enforcement be stepped up in this area.

City Manager Raffety said that he would have a report prepared for the Council at its meeting on January 13, 1977.

- - -

MR. JOHN WELTON

Mr. John Welton, Executive Director of the South Texas Health Education Center, spoke to the Council requesting emergency funding for the South Texas Health Education Center for a three month period, January 1, 1977 through March 31, 1977, in the amount of \$14,250.00 to match a Sid Richardson challenge grant which was granted to his organization on December 1, 1976. He then detailed the functions and duties at the Center.

Mayor Cockrell stated the staff will have to review the request to see whether there are any revenue sharing funds available for reprogramming. She appointed Councilmen Nielsen and Hartman to work with staff on this matter.

- - -

ST. LEONARD'S C.O.P.S.

Dr. Cisneros stated that a group of citizens representing St. Leonard's C.O.P.S. wished to speak to the Council regarding the installation of an already justified traffic signal light at West Harding Boulevard and Commercial Avenue.

Mrs. Gloria Reyes stated that there have been several accidents at this intersection and urged the Council to instruct the staff to install the traffic signal light.

Mrs. Genoveva Salinas also spoke of the many accidents that occur at this intersection. They are willing to have a "used" traffic light installed until a new one is available.

Mayor Cockrell asked the City Manager to see about the installation of a used traffic light.

Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, stated this request came in after the fiscal year budget was prepared and this was the reason they were not able to install the light. He also stated that he will report to the Council on this matter in two weeks.

- - -

MR. RICHARD MOORE

Mr. Richard Moore, architect for the Edgewood Independent School District, introduced members of the Edgewood Independent School District Board of Trustees who were present in the audience. He stated that a new elementary school at the corner of Cupples Road and Ceralvo is being built and they plan to have a dedication ceremony. He stated that they were denied permission to construct concrete curbs along Ceralvo Street. These curbs are to be paid for by the School District. He wanted the City Council to give him permission to construct these curbs and also asked for sidewalks to be put in.

Mayor Cockrell stated that with respect to the sidewalks, the City does not set the priorities for sidewalks but rather the School Districts themselves do, and she advised him to review this request with his school district.

City Manager Raffety stated that the staff will look into the matter of the curbs. He mentioned that there is a drainage problem connected to the establishment of grades and he will need to have this investigated.

SUNSET SWIMMING CLUB

Pastor Ed Hogue, 2346 Bandera, asked about the status of the Sunset Swimming Club which had been discussed for possible acquisition by the City at a zoning meeting by the City Council. He detailed the history of this club and the need for such a facility in their section of the City.

Councilman Teniente stated that he has been working on this matter and the only problem is the source of funding for this project. He plans to submit this as an item for possible revenue sharing funding.

Mayor Cockrell mentioned that there is a Bond Committee which will be meeting and reviewing projects including parks project and mentioned this as a future funding source. She invited Reverend Hogue to attend these meetings.

City Manager Raffety stated that a report was prepared on this request and also was discussed with the Northside Independent School District about possible joint funding. They were not agreeable to this because the club would only be open during the summer months. He also mentioned the main problem is the source of funding.

76-61 The meeting was recessed at 3:00 P. M. and reconvened at 3:15
P. M.

76-61 CITIZENS TO BE HEARD (continued)

MRS. DOLORES RATLIFF

Mrs. Dolores Ratliff, Executive Director of the Ella Austin Community Center, appeared to speak before the Council on what had transpired earlier in the meeting. She presented the Council with copies of Title XX - Program Regulation Guide. She stated that federal regulations are being violated.

Mayor Cockrell suggested that a Council Committee review this matter and set up a meeting early next week to see if any action can be taken on this matter. She stated that she will advise Mrs. Ratliff of any action to be taken.

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 3:15 P. M.

A P P R O V E D

Lela Cockrell
M A Y O R

ATTEST:

G. V. Johnson
C i t y C l e r k