

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 1973.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 A. M., by the presiding Officer Mayor Charles L. Becker, with the following members present: COCKRELL, SAN MARTIN, BECKER, BLACK, LACY, MORTON, PADILLA, MENDOZA; Absent: BECKMANN.

73-57 The invocation was given by The Reverend Joseph F. Sweeney, St. Agnes Catholic Church.

73-57 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

73-57 ST. AGNES CHURCH GOLDEN JUBILEE

Following the Pledge of Allegiance, Reverend Joseph F. Sweeney presented members of the City Council with souvenir key chains which were distributed in honor of the 50th Anniversary of St. Agnes Catholic Church. He thanked the City Council for the Resolution which was passed last week honoring the occasion.

73-57 MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 1, 1973

Councilman Padilla requested that his comments made in "B" Session on November 1, 1973, with regard to the Alamo Plaza development be made a matter of record as an addendum to the minutes of the regular meeting of November 1, 1973.

With this addition, the minutes of November 1, 1973, were approved.

73-57 REPORT ON TRADE PROMOTION TRIP TO GUADALAJARA

Dr. San Martin stated that the trip made to Guadalajara, Mexico by representatives of the City, members of the Chamber of Commerce and local business organization during the week of October 22, was very successful. He said that it pointed the need for more interchange between the people of Mexico and the American people. He presented gifts to Mayor Becker which were sent by the Mayor of Guadalajara and by the wife of the Governor of Jalisco to Mrs. Charles Becker.

Dr. San Martin then introduced members of the group who made the tour and called on several of them to say a few words about the trip.

In conclusion, Dr. San Martin read a Citation which was presented to Mr. Jim Cullum, Jr., of the Happy Jazz Band which was also on the tour. The Citation expresses appreciation for helping to make the trip so very successful.

November 8, 1973
nsr

73-57

MRS. GILBERT GARZA

Mayor Becker presented a plaque to Mrs. Gilbert Garza expressing appreciation for the late Mayor Pro Tem's service to the City. The plaque read as follows:

GILBERT GARZA

IN APPRECIATION OF HIS VALUABLE SERVICE

TO THE

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO

AS A MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL PLACE NO. 6

AND AS

MAYOR PRO-TEM

MAY 1, 1971.....NOVEMBER 11, 1972.

* * * *

Mrs. Garza thanked members of the Council for their kindness.

73-57 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 42,986

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH ROY A. SWANSON, AN INDIVIDUAL D/B/A "THE SWANSON CO.," TO AMEND THE CURRENT LEASE AGREEMENT AT SAN ANTONIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, SO AS TO ADD 3,900 SQUARE FEET TO THE LEASED PREMISES; AND TO EXTEND SAID AGREEMENT SO AMENDED FOR AN ADDITIONAL TERM OF ONE YEAR, TERMINATING JULY 31, 1974.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,987

GRANTING A ONE YEAR EXTENSION OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND MRS. DUNCAN MCKINLEY PERTAINING TO A CITY-OWNED BUILDING NORTH OF EAST MULBERRY STREET.

* * * *

November 8, 1973
nsr

AN ORDINANCE 42,988

ACCEPTING LOW BIDS FROM BUSINESS FURNITURE DIVISION OF BARDO INDUSTRIES, INC., AND PAUL ANDERSON CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY CERTAIN FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT FOR ITS McFARLIN TENNIS CENTER, APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFOR, AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO SAID VENDORS.

* * * *

73-57 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 42,989

ACCEPTING A CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN LAND BY W. L. MATTHEWS AND GLEN A. MARTIN AND ASSURING COMPLIANCE BY THE CITY WITH THE TERMS OF SAID CONVEYANCE.

* * * *

The Ordinance was explained by Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, who showed the Council a map of the area and its relationship to the recently acquired Emilie and Albert Friedrich Park. Mr. Darner pointed out that the deed stipulates that the park will be enclosed by a protective fence and will be maintained as a nature and wildlife park.

After consideration, on motion of Dr. San Martin, seconded by Mr. Mendoza, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann.

The following Resolution was read by the Clerk and upon motion made and duly seconded was unanimously passed and approved:

RESOLUTION

TO

GLEN A. MARTIN
AND
WILBUR L. MATTHEWS

On behalf of the citizens of San Antonio and a grateful City government, the City Council does hereby express its deepest appreciation for the generous and public spirited gift of land dedicated for use as a nature and wild-life park to be enjoyed by all.

* * * *

Item 5 of the agenda:

The Clerk read a proposed ordinance amending Ordinance No. 36,158 by changing the Model Cities Department to the Monitoring and Evaluation Division and deleting certain personnel positions and creating other personnel positions.

The following conversation took place:

MR. CIPRIANO GUERRA: This ordinance reflects the reduced scale of Model Cities' programs. Federal budgets, as you know, are being cut back so we are getting less money. The ordinance deals primarily with the cutback in the department from 35 to 25 people, and it gives us ample time to try to find jobs for the ten people that are scheduled to leave the City by the middle of December. It also appropriates \$45,542 in revenue sharing to cover that work that this staff will be doing that is not eligible for Model Cities funds. We recommend approval of the ordinance.

DR. JOSE SAN MARTIN: I think it would be proper that when we get this material beforehand we know exactly what the appropriation is going to be. I think it should be specified. This says, "some funds from revenue sharing". It doesn't say how much. I think it should be part of this report.

MR. GUERRA: I'm looking at the ordinance itself. I thought you had this in the packet.

DR. SAN MARTIN: It was in the packet, but it doesn't have it here.

MR. GUERRA: That was an oversight. It should have included the ordinance.

DR. SAN MARTIN: It is \$45,542?

MR. GUERRA: Yes, \$45,542.

DR. SAN MARTIN: You are talking about 25 employees. Does that include the 25 employees?

MR. GUERRA: Yes, sir. This is to cover the 25 employees.

CITY MANAGER SAM GRANATA: This covers a time. Twenty percent of the time they will be doing this, and the remainder of the time they will be doing their regular work if you will recall.

MR. GUERRA: That's what I just said. Maybe you didn't hear me. I said this covers that portion of the work that this staff will be doing that is not eligible for the Model Cities funding. The Model Cities Department has been funded by HUD under a program strictly for Model Cities. This staff will now be operating Citywide because it is going to monitor some of the programs you funded under general revenue sharing and a couple under the City budget. So, for those tasks we cannot use Model Cities money. We are using either City money or general revenue sharing money. That's what this amount represents.

November 8, 1973

-4-

nsr

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: And this \$45,542 came out of the \$100,000, you recall, that you had to go back to the general fund for the alcoholism program. We still have a balance of approximately \$55,000 which, tentatively, you said would go to the Transit System but that has not been allocated as yet.

MR. CLIFFORD MORTON: What is the size of your staff? Is that right - a 25 member staff?

MR. GUERRA: Yes, sir, that's what will remain out of 35.

MR. MORTON: How does that compare with the number of people... (inaudible).....

(Someone went to find Mr. Carl White, Director of Finance)

MR. MORTON: What I see happening here is this staff was created to maintain or to look over the Model Cities and supervise that program. (Inaudible).....I just wanted to make sure that there is a real need for.....(inaudible).....

MR. GUERRA: I can give you a partial answer but not the numbers. When this idea first came about where we recognized we had to reduce the department we did discuss it with the City Manager and with Carl White as to whether he should assume this role. In fact, we offered it to him. He said that within his department he has got the primary responsibility for financial audit. What we will be doing here is a program audit and some financial audit - you can't really separate them completely. Basically, this division will take care of the program audit required by most of the federal agencies and also by our own constraints on these agencies for which we provide money.

MR. ALVIN PADILLA, JR.: Mr. Guerra, does this also provide something the Council was searching for the last time we considered programming revenue sharing money? This was some sort of an on-going continuing evaluation of various programs so that we can answer the need and have some idea as to priority and have some idea as to the value and the worth of certain programs. I know that was one of the big questions in the Council's mind and has been every time we consider revenue sharing money in terms of funding various agencies with it. I remember that we specifically asked the last time we considered revenue sharing money for some sort of evaluation to be done of the various programs for the future so that we would not be faced with the same type of problems that we had to face up to last time.

MR. GUERRA: Yes, that's part of what this group will do. It will, in effect, measure and monitor the performance against criteria developed by the Community Analysis Division and the department responsible for the operation. For example, if it should be a social service program under Bill Donahue he will have participated in the developing of the criteria which we will use during the evaluation. So, yes, to answer your question we will be doing it. Part of the job this organization will do is that one of a continuous program monitoring.

MR. PADILLA: What will the budget for these 25 people be?

MR. GUERRA: I'll have to ask Mr. Montez.

MR. PADILLA: You might answer these questions - the annual budget of these 25 people and in terms of money how much are the programs worth that these 25 people will be working on? I'm trying to determine that we are not spending \$100 to evaluate \$25.

MR. ROY MONTEZ: Quite the contrary. We still have a contractual responsibility, the City of San Antonio has, to complete approximately \$8.5 million of construction that is under construction right now in the west side of San Antonio. Those items were continued.....

MR. PADILLA: They were continued under Model Cities' funding?

MR. MONTEZ: Correct. This is why we transferred the staff from the Department of Model Cities into this Division. This is why that staff will be paid out of Model Cities funds. It's kind of an answer to your question, also, Mr. Morton. The \$45,000 here is added to that amount which we will use of Model Cities money, and I don't have that figure handy. I would have to get it to you in another five or ten minutes. I don't have the total budget figure. This \$45,000 is to cover that portion of the work on revenue sharing which we cannot do under the rules of.....(inaudible).....

MR. PADILLA: Is the cost of \$45,000 an annual cost?

(Inaudible - all talking at once)

MR. PADILLA: How much revenue sharing did we budget for the type of activity we are going to evaluate?

MR. MONTEZ: Almost \$1 million.

MR. MORTON: What Councilman Padilla and I and Dr. San Martin were saying is that what we are doing with this very simple caption is - we, in effect, are making this staff permanent. The staff that was created to monitor a program.....(inaudible).....There should be a relationship between the total cost of the staff and the 20 percent that we are talking about here which is in this \$45,000. What that represents as a total amount of budgets that they are going to be monitoring, evaluating, supervising and all those good words. One of the relationships between the (inaudible). Something else I would like to ask - as you phase out these Model Cities programs what is the projection on the size of your staff at the end of that time?

I just don't have enough information here to make an intelligent decision. I think what we're doing here is we are going to cast in stone this staff even though it was created for another purpose entirely, and it won't be phased out and is now being put to work doing something else.

MR. MONTEZ: No, I grant you while there is probably not sufficient information.....(inaudible).....

MR. MORTON: You tell me ~~whether~~ you can make this kind of a decision because you are talking about 25 people that this staff is going to contain. Is that right?

MR. MONTEZ: Yes, sir.

MR. GUERRA: They are still Model Cities people. The main thing this does is to change the name. These do not become permanent City positions at this time.

MR. MORTON: Cip, would you give us something in blood on that that two years from now when Model Cities is completely phased out that we won't have 25 people.....(inaudible).....

MR. GUERRA: Sir, that fact that we are reducing by ten is the first phase in this reduction.

MR. MORTON: What is the projected reduction at the end of all Model Cities projects?

MR. GUERRA: Well, it should be zero. Unless we identify tasks like you have. This is partly in response to the expression of the Council earlier this year - late summer - that we have a way of monitoring and evaluating the revenue sharing contracted services. That task is given this group because they have been doing that for Model Cities.

MR. MORTON: I understand. I have no objection to that whatsoever. My basic premise is this - I have felt, with all due respect to the individuals, that just generally when we talk about many of the Model Cities programs and other poverty type programs a few got down to the people for which the program was intended and the rest of it went to all those folks up above that were monitoring the program. I just want to make sure that we are not trying to do the same thing here as we shift from one program to the other. Before I can vote on this thing I would like to (inaudible) once we are through with Model Cities what is the size of the staff going to be to evaluate revenue sharing projects and other projects that.....(inaudible).....

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: Let me try to answer that question and Guerra can correct me if I'm wrong. As I understand it, there are presently 35 people on Roy's staff. Eleven are being phased out by January 1st this year leaving 24 people. Of the 24 some six to eight people it will take their time and it will be necessary to oversee the revenue sharing social programs which you have funded. As I understand it, the remainder of the difference between six and 24 will be phased out by June or July of this coming year unless in the next entitlement period you get additional funds for the next revenue sharing budget over and above what you now have we will have to increase the six or eight or if you continue at the same level we will keep the six or eight. If you do away with those programs those six or eight will have to go also. This is merely the beginning of the phase out to discontinue the name Model Cities and begin to phase it out and get away from the terminology of Model Cities.

MR. MONTEZ: One of the things this ordinance also indicates here, and you don't have a copy of the ordinance, it actually abolishes 16 positions within the department. This is a phase down of what it has been.

MR. MORTON: We don't have enough information to make an intelligent business decision.

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: Okay, let's pull it for a week and we can have a "B" Session item on it either this afternoon or next week, and we can put it back on the agenda. We'll be able to sell it. I'm sorry we didn't give you enough information, but it's a worthwhile project.

73-57 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann, Mendoza.

AN ORDINANCE 42,990

CANCELLING A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AND BARBARA WOODLAND, AN INDIVIDUAL D/B/A WOODLAND MAROGER STUDIO, FOR SPACE AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,991

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AND EDWARD W. ORUM TO LEASE SPACE FOR THE CASA DE COBRE AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA FOR AN ADDITIONAL ONE YEAR TERM.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,992

APPROVING A SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL OPERATION IN THE 3000 BLOCK OF RIGSBY AVENUE, N.C.B. 10756.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,993

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION TO THE TEXAS CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL FOR A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$80,000.00 TO SUPPORT CONTINUATION OF THE SECOND YEAR PERTAINING TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT REGIONAL CRIME LABORATORY PROGRAM.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,994

AUTHORIZING A CONTRACT WITH SEIDEL, LIVESAY & DAVIS CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC., FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES PERTAINING TO REPLACING A PORTION OF THE RETAINING WALL ON THE SAN ANTONIO RIVER WALK; APPROPRIATING \$3,784.50 OUT OF REVENUE SHARING FUNDS PAYABLE TO SAID ENGINEERS AND \$200.00 TO BE USED AS A MISCELLANEOUS CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,995

APPROVING THE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT'S
FIRST YEAR COMMUNITY RECREATION PROJECT;
APPROVING A BUDGET AND APPROPRIATING
FUNDS THEREFOR, ALSO ACCEPTING AN
\$18,148.00 GRANT FROM THE TEXAS CRIMINAL
JUSTICE COUNCIL.

* * * *

73-57 The Clerk read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION
NO. 73-57-69

RELATING TO THE ESTABLISHMENT OF
AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICE SYSTEM.

* * * *

The Resolution was explained by Mr. Padilla who said that he recommended its passage. He said that the text has been worked out between himself, the staff and Dr. J. A. Williamson. It appears to satisfy all parties concerned. He said also that all of the hospitals had submitted letters committing themselves to the principles outlined in the Resolution.

At the request of Mayor Becker, Mr. Padilla read Section 7 of the Resolution in full. Section 7 reads as follows:

SECTION 7. The City Council recognizes that this undertaking involves expenditure of public funds and that a complete system of emergency medical care for the public is sought. Such a plan will not be complete without full community support for the system, therefore ratifications and endorsements given herein are contingent upon the continuous maintenance by hospitals in the area served of a policy to accept all patients delivered by the San Antonio-Bexar County ambulances and treat them within the limits of physical and technical capabilities, at least until the patient's condition is stabilized, irrespective of the patient's ability to pay, on the basis of need.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Morton, seconded by Mr. Lacy, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann, Mendoza.

73-57 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. George Vann, Director of Building and Planning Administration, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Padilla, seconded by Mrs. Cockrell, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann, Mendoza.

AN ORDINANCE 42,996

GRANTING THE PETITION OF MRS. MARY LEE
TOEPPERWEIN TO CHANGE A SIX FOOT PRIVACY
FENCE TO AN EIGHT FOOT PRIVACY FENCE AS
RECOMMENDED BY THE DIRECTOR OF BUILDING
AND PLANNING ADMINISTRATION.

* * * *

240

73-57 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 42,997

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF SAN ANTONIO AMERICAN PRINTERS, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH PRINTING OF VISITORS GUIDES FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$11,422.80.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,998

ACCEPTING THE LOW BIDS OF ACE FIRE EQUIPMENT AND FIRE-SAFE EQUIPMENT CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH CERTAIN FIRE EXTINGUISHERS FOR A TOTAL SUM OF \$2,225.30.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 42,999

ACCEPTING THE LOW BIDS OF L. G. BALFOUR CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH EMPLOYEE AWARD PINS FOR A TOTAL SUM OF \$3,734.25 AND A. G. MANUFACTURING CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH SAFE DRIVER AWARDS ON A CONTRACT BASIS AT A UNIT PRICE OF \$0.98 AND \$1.03.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,000

AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF FORTY-SEVEN 1974 CITY DIRECTORIES FROM R. L. POLK & CO. FOR A TOTAL SUM OF \$4,523.75.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,001

ACCEPTING THE HIGH BID OF TELE-TRIP CO., INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT WITH TRAVEL INSURANCE FOR A TWO YEAR PERIOD TERMINATING NOVEMBER 30, 1975.

* * * *

73-57 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Padilla, seconded by Mr. Lacy, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,002

CHANGING THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL
MEETING FROM THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 22,
1973, TO WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1973.

* * * *

Dr. San Martin suggested to the staff that the agenda for the meeting of November 21, 1973 be held to the very minimum.

73-57

CITY WATER BOARD

Mr. Padilla stated that this week a matter was brought to his attention about a problem involving three families and the City Water Board. The landlord had failed to pay the water bill and was out of town. Without notice or warning water was cut off causing great inconvenience. No one could be found to accept a payment and turn the water back on.

Mr. Padilla asked that the City Manager contact the City Water Board to see if there is a need for a location to be open in the evenings so that payments could be made and water reconnected.

73-57

FUEL CRISIS

Mrs. Cockrell stated that President Nixon had addressed the nation in regard to the fuel crisis. She stated that she felt that the City of San Antonio should respond very strongly and affirmatively in answer to the President's suggestions. She asked that the City Manager read and evaluate the points made in the President's speech as they might apply to San Antonio and develop a recommended program including such things as speed limits for City vehicles. This should also be coordinated with the City Public Service Board.

73-57

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTIONS

Mrs. Cockrell stated that in view of the passage of a constitutional amendment affecting the homestead exemption provisions and extending them to single adults, the City's exemption policy should be reviewed to determine what the situation is from both a legal point of view and the need to know the financial impact of it.

November 8, 1973
nsr

-11-

73-57HISTORICAL SURVEY PROGRAM

Mrs. Cockrell asked to be advised if anyone has been employed to implement the Historical Survey Program and how the Planning Department is proceeding with the development of the program.

73-57PASEO DEL RIO

Mrs. Cockrell stated that several visitors have commented to her that they would like to have some commentary while riding on the river boats or barges on what they are seeing. At one time a suggestion was made to have a recording on board each boat to be played. She stated that the matter be considered to see what can be done.

Dr. San Martin stated that he was in full agreement with Mrs. Cockrell and, in addition, he said that an area of the river should be set aside where the flora could be identified.

73-57BEXAR COUNTY CRIMINAL JUSTICE COUNCIL

Dr. San Martin stated that he has been advised by the office of the County Judge that the Bexar County Criminal Justice Council is a joint City-County Advisory Board. The City Council has not appointed its representatives and this is preventing some decisions from being made by the Council. He suggested that these appointments be made soon.

73-57HISTORIC BUILDINGS

Mr. Padilla stated that he had read in the paper that the old Masonic Temple on South Broadway is to be demolished. He asked that the staff provide a brief report on it. He also stated that he would like to know how the preservation of this old building would fit into the Alamo Plaza Project.

Mr. George Vann, Director of Building and Planning Administration, stated that the Riverwalk Commission had twice approved the demolition of this building and, in fact, a demolition permit has been issued. There is no way that the permit could legally be denied.

There was a discussion of various aspects of the situation and what could be done, but it was generally agreed that unless a building is designated as a historic site very little can be done unless the City should have funds with which to buy the property.

73-57LOW WATER CROSSINGS

Dr. San Martin stated that he has asked the City Manager for a report on what can be done immediately to produce warnings at low water crossings where people are needlessly being drowned. There could also be protective devices. A little psychology might also be used in composing the warning signs.

City Manager Granata stated that the project is being worked on and studies being made. A final report will be made soon.

Rev. Black commented on Mrs. Cockrell's recent trip to Washington to testify before a congressional committee concerning the allocation of federal funds to cities. He said he had been wondering what the Council could do to encourage federal appropriations as there are some areas of the City that will be impossible to develop without some federal funds.

Mrs. Cockrell stated that she felt that her trip was very successful. The response of the committee was very good, but it will probably be the next session of Congress before a good appropriations bill is passed.

In support of the City's stand on federal allocations, the Texas Municipal League adopted a resolution sponsored by the City of San Antonio concerning revenue sharing and the cutback in federal funds.

Mayor Becker read the following telegram which was received today:

MAYOR CHARLES L. BECKER
SAN ANTONIO, TX. 78204

IN MY MESSAGE TO THE NATION ON OUR ENERGY OUTLOOK, I INDICATED THE KINDS OF ACTION THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL BE TAKING TO ACHIEVE OUR NATIONAL GOALS.

ONE MEASURE WHICH I HAVE TAKEN TODAY BY PRESIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE IS TO ORDER THAT NO FEDERAL VEHICLE SHALL BE OPERATED AT SPEEDS OVER 50 MILES PER HOUR EXCEPT IN CASE OF EMERGENCY. I AM ASKING THAT YOU AND YOUR FELLOW LEADERS OF STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT DO LIKEWISE TO THE EXTENT OF YOUR AUTHORITY. BY REDUCING THE SPEED WHICH OUR AUTOMOBILES TRAVEL TO 50 MILES PER HOUR NATIONALLY, WE CAN ACHIEVE ENERGY SAVINGS APPROACHING ONE-QUARTER MILLION BARRELS OF FUEL PER DAY.

FURTHER, I AM ASKING CONGRESS FOR EMERGENCY POWERS WHICH WILL INCLUDE AUTHORITY TO REDUCE THE AUTOMOBILE SPEED LIMIT TO 50 MILES PER HOUR ON ALL INTERSTATE HIGHWAYS. YOUR COOPERATION AND LEADERSHIP ARE ESSENTIAL IF WE ARE TO ACHIEVE OUR FUEL CONSERVATION GOALS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN THIS MATTER OF VITAL CONCERN TO ALL AMERICANS.

SINCERELY,

RICHARD NIXON

* * * *

City Manager Granata stated that if the Council wished to impose a 50 miles per hour speed limit it could be done without much difficulty. The change of speed limit signs would require three to four weeks. There is a possibility of changing to twice a week garbage pick-up, a five day brush pick-up and a five day street cleaning opera-

tion. The City Public Service Board is also ready to ask for ordinances to further conserve fuel. A full report will be ready in about a week.

73-57*

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

HASKINS AND SELLS, INC.

MR. RONALD VOIGT: Mayor Becker, Members of the Council, Mr. Granata.

My name is Roland Voigt. I am a manager with Haskins and Sells, who, as you well know, are the auditors for the City of San Antonio. I am the person responsible for the supervision of our work on the City for the year ending July 31, 1973.

My purpose for being here today is to explain why we render a comment letter such as the one dated September 28, 1973, that you have reviewed and to also express a few additional remarks about the acceptance and publicity related to this letter. I want to make it quite clear that I am not here to mitigate any comment in the letter.

We understand that it has not been common practice for the City to receive similar letters at the conclusion of previous audits. It is our normal practice to prepare a letter such as the one rendered to you at the conclusion of each of our audits. We follow this practice regardless of the size of the client. Therefore, our letter is not a special item for the City examination. It is part of what we consider to be our overall service to any client. We render these letters with the intent that they are to be used by our client's management as a tool for possible strengthening of areas such as management controls, accounting procedures and internal control.

Recent publicity has referred to the letter as critical. The same publicity includes such statements as bringing fiscal management of City Hall up to date and asks that City Council insist that inadequate or careless practices be corrected.

We disagree with the word, "critical", because our letter is a collection of comments and recommendations that are not necessarily critical. We see the letter as a group of suggestions that can help strengthen an overall accounting and management system. Some of the comments included in the letter are already being implemented. Others are part of the long range plan of accounting changes and improvements of the Finance Department. Likewise, the purpose of the letter is not to be critical of any individual or group of individuals in the employ of the City.

Our comment letter cannot be used to judge management and staff overall performance because comment letters from our firm do not include any recognition of improvements that were made from period to period. If we thought fiscal management were not up to date and careless practices existed we would use such words in our letter. We consider the fiscal management and accounting system to be very up to date, and we think the staff and management are doing a creditable job. We can make such a statement because we know the conditions and systems used at other municipal and private enterprises.

November 8, 1973

-14-

nsr

Any system can use improvement and, in general, management and staff of most enterprises can improve their performance. Our letter includes the items we consider pertinent for some of this improvement. If items from the comment letter are going to be significantly quoted out of context and paraphrased as they have been recently then we suggest that the Council consider having the entire letter published by the news media. This procedure is followed by the other municipalities we work with. It allows the public to judge the overall propriety of the comments and recommendations and their related effect.

We want to thank all of the people of the City who worked with us and helped us during the audit. The cooperation of City employees could not have been better. I want to specifically thank the Council at this time for allowing me the time in your busy session to make these comments.

Thank you.

MAYOR BECKER: Thank you, Roland. I wasn't here when your letter was published or printed, but I don't think really that anybody on the City staff took exception to the fact that your report merely tried to point out certain areas and certain things that you thought were in need of some remedial action. If it is quoted out of context, and so forth, so be it. In other words, what I am encouraging you to do or any other accounting firm is tell it as it is - as you see it. It is up to others to get the things straight once you have issued it.

SWIMMING POOL FENCES

Rev. J. Randall Nichols, Pastor of Oak Hills Presbyterian Church, submitted a petition signed by members of his church asking that the Council consider passing an ordinance requiring erection of a six foot fence around all swimming pools. This thought was instigated by the tragic death of a small child who wandered into the pool at an apartment complex.

Mrs. Cockrell stated that this subject was discussed by the Council in 1969 at which time there were some objections. She suggested that that file be reviewed.

City Manager Granata stated that he would investigate the matter and have a report for the Council.

SOUTHWEST GEM AND MINERAL SOCIETY

Mr. Jessie Kring, Jr., 5836 Sloan Drive, stated that his organization, the Southwest Gem and Mineral Society, has displayed at the Witte Museum for many years. Now, they are leaving the Witte Museum, and they desire to start an earth science museum somewhere in the City. There is a house on City property located at Durango and Alamo Streets which the Society would like to lease and restore to its original condition for use as a museum.

City Manager Granata asked Mr. Kring to contact Mr. W. S. Clark, Land Division Chief, to discuss the matter.

DRUG ABUSE CENTRAL

Miss Marie Porter, Director of Drug Abuse Central, 315 North Pecos, spoke to the Council about the activities of Drug Abuse Central. The purpose of her talk was simply to inform the Council and said that she would come back from time to time with suggestions as to how the Council and City staff can assist in the program.

MRS. HELEN DUTMER

Mrs. Helen Dutmer, 739 McKinley Avenue, stated that she spoke as a member of the Mission Parkway Task Force Committee. She said that she, too, is concerned about demolition of old buildings. There is a structure known as the "Roy Bean House" which should be preserved and included in the plans for Mission Parkway. The property is for sale at the present time. She offered to purchase the property and hold it until the City is ready to purchase it.

MR. RAUL RODRIGUEZ

Mr. Raul Rodriguez, 719 Delgado, spoke to the Council concerning the findings of the Firemen's and Policemen's Civil Service Commission in regard to the disturbance at a skating rink last June. He was critical of the way the investigation was handled. He said that hearings were held in the middle of the day when it was difficult for people to attend. He also claimed that members of the Commission did not attend all of the meetings.

REPORT OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE FIREMEN'S
AND POLICEMEN'S CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. FRANK MANUPELLI: Mr. Mayor, welcome home, Members of the Council. I am your Chairman of the Fire and Police Civil Service Commission. I would like to acknowledge the presence of Mr. Belvin B. Steward, one of our members, and Mr. Ruben Salazar, another of our members. As you know, this Commission is made up of three members. I have no formal written report to submit to this Council. As you know, your City Attorney has submitted a report, I think in your absence Mr. Mayor, setting out I think very accurately the procedure that the Commission follows in describing the law, the state law, under which we respond in matters of this sort. So only to say that it very accurately sets out the manner or procedure that we follow. If there are any questions on it, I'll be glad to respond or possibly some of the other members of the Commission. I think the letter from the manager also asked for a report on the Skateland incident. Of course, I'm sure all of you are aware the Commission did conclude the inquiries into this matter on October 30th and failed to find that the officers acted beyond the scope of their authority in carrying out these arrests on the activities following thereafter. However, if you have any questions, I'll certainly be glad to try to answer them.

REV. CLAUDE BLACK: I'd like to ask some questions in an effort to get more information on what your Commission does. What's the nature of your duties. I just want to...when you don't have this kind of problem, when you are not dealing with this kind of issue where police brutality is involved?

MR. MANUPELLI: We generally meet every Tuesday at two o'clock, however, we do get calls quite frequently from the Director of Personnel that he doesn't feel that there are sufficient items on the agenda to warrant a meeting and, of course, then we do not have one. When there are sufficient items, then we have our meeting on Tuesday at two o'clock. The Director of Personnel is our director and secretary to the Commission which is provided both by your charter and by state law. The type of items that appear on the agenda are announcements of examinations for entrance by firemen and policemen entrance examinations, promotional examinations, approval of the examinations, hearing of protest or complaints of the examinations after they have been taken. There are frequent times when members of the departments would like to question the answers that were provided in an attempt to show that possibly there were two ways to answer a question. Most of them are multiple choice type of questions. We consider the entrance requirements for policemen and firemen. We pass on promotional examinations. I think I mentioned that. We consider disciplinary actions taken by the Chief. As you know, under the law, the Chief has the right to suspend up to and including 15 days disciplinary reasons most of which, well all of which firemen and policemen has no rights for a hearing although he can request one. The Commission can review it. If they feel that there is an instance where the Chief was totally without warrant in administering this suspension, we could hear it. However, I might say that the Commission to my knowledge has never found such to be the case. Anything over 15 days, the Chief can only suspend indefinitely. He has no right to dismiss a fireman or a policeman. The policeman or fireman has 10 days from that date in which, the suspension has to be in writing, he has ten days in which to ask for a hearing before the Commission and, of course, be represented by Council and give his side of the case. The Commission

November 8, 1973

-17-

el

then after hearing the testimony presented, after the hearing, determines what punishment to give to that fireman or policeman. He has the right to order that no punishment be given and to be restored to duty with full back pay or it can suspend for any number of days that it chooses or can order the dismissal of a fireman or policeman, in which case he is then dismissed and under the law, he has a right to appeal his case to the District Courts, which, I'm sure you're aware has happened in the past. Well, just really, any cardinal rules and regulations for instance for the department. Frequently, we have the Chief of either department or both before us to discuss recruitment problems or to discuss examination type problems or matters that are taken up in their training courses. We're responsible under the law to make investigations of each department at least once a year, and just generally an overseer or administrative body for those two departments as prescribed in Article 1269.

REVEREND BLACK: Another question I'd like to ask. Over the years that you have been with the Commission, how many occasions have you had to examine cases involving brutality or alleged brutality of policemen dealing with black citizens?

MR. MANUPELLI: I can't answer that, Reverend Black.

REVEREND BLACK: You don't remember how many times?

MR. MANUPELLI: No sir, I don't know.

REVEREND BLACK: I felt that had been on there considerable time and I know of some cases. I thought maybe you might have had additional ones. The reason I'm concerned about this might be interesting to take a count on this because we are faced with the problem that the Commission has never come forth with any kind of decision that seemed to be favorable to citizens, Black citizens, where these alleged brutality cases have existed, and I wondered whether or not this was a problem of the Commission not having the capacity to deal with this. This is why I asked you the question about what you did otherwise. Whether or not it was--was it a problem of procedure, or do you feel that your Commission has the capacity to deal with police brutality cases and if it has, why do you feel that of all the cases that have ever come up related to Blacks, that in most instances, the policemen have not been regarded as being responsible for any of the...and have been sort of eliminated from any charges on this? Why do you feel that this has happened?

MR. MANUPELLI: The best way to answer that is that we never considered such a question of whether a person's race when it came up. It was a matter of was there police brutality? I don't think frankly, and I'm sure the other two Commission members will agree with me very strongly that it's not a matter of whether a man is black or white, it's a question of whether the police reacted responsibly. I think, Councilman Black, there are many, many cases that do not get to this Commission or to this Council or come possibly even to your attention, where the Chief has already made his own investigation, internal investigation, and has taken disciplinary action against the police officers. We just finished suspending a white police officer for 60 days the other day for his reaction to a given situation.

REVEREND BLACK: Was it a white or black person that they responded to? You do know that we do have a black and white problem in this City and in this nation? I mean you are aware of that? I mean, there's a history tied up with this and this is why we are raising this kind of

question. You know, if we had not had this kind of problem in this nation, there would be no need to raise this question, you see. But, the fact that we have had this kind of problem in this nation, it seems to me that this makes it extremely important. I know that the men don't raise that question when they're there, it's simply a question of prevailing atmosphere and climate within our nation. And I think any Commission that does not recognize that there is a black and white problem in this nation, takes a very limited outlook on what they are examining.

MR. MANUPELLI: Of course, the Councilman is not suggesting that because Blacks were involved that the officers were guilty, I'm sure of that.

REVEREND BLACK: No, but we're not suggesting that with Blacks and Whites being involved that that could not be a part of the problem.

MR. MANUPELLI: No, the Commission is aware of that, to answer your question.

REVEREND BLACK: Well, all right then, then you are considering the fact that they are Black and White involved there.

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, we're considering that there are situations where there might be prejudices involved, yes sir.

REVEREND BLACK: That's what--now, we're getting right in line with what I wanted to ask. Now, do you feel that you have the capacity for dealing with questions where prejudice might be involved? What do you have in your procedure that reflects the fact that you have the ability to deal with the issue of prejudice where it's involved?

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, by the power given us to subpoena all persons involved, questioning them under oath, attempting to determine or evaluate their answers as opposed to other answers, their response to given situations in general, the nature in which they answer questions or the way they conduct themselves before the Commission.

REVEREND BLACK: Did you know any of these persons? Was there any contact in the community with any of the persons that were under examination in their own environment? You know, the reason I'm raising this question is--you do know the policemen a great deal better than you know the persons that you're examining. They sort of come as strangers. Is there any effort to know the person?

MR. MANUPELLI: It's not always the case, of course, but in some cases that's true.

REVEREND BLACK: I mean you're working with them in so many other ways.

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, there are 1,000 and some odd police officers. We do know, of course, the Chief and some of the ranking officers because they come before the Commission for various reasons. This is true, yes sir. The rank and file patrolman or police officer, we know very few of those.

REVEREND BLACK: How many persons do you find who come up with this are repeaters where citizens are charging that they have been abused by these persons?

MR. MANUPELLI: We've tried to establish patterns in that but we've been unable to state that there is any one officer still on the force that has a tendency toward that that would indicate that he is prejudiced. We've discussed it with the Chief. We've discussed types of assignments whether you assign a black officer in a black area which would be best to assign a white officer in a black area and vice versa. The Chief himself, I think, is experimenting with that type of situation as has the Chief before him. I'm well aware that there are prejudicial type of situations. There are citizens who I suppose will never accept integration, as such, but I am not aware that the philosophy of the department or of the Commission is anything other than citizens or citizens.

REVEREND BLACK: May I ask one final question? In the old examination of these abuses, are there any recommendations that your Commission is prepared to make for this Council that would tend to reduce these incidents?

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, I would rather respond to that, Councilman, by saying that there are over 300,000 arrests. We have studied statistics on this. Over 300,000 arrests are made in a year's time by the Police Department. Of that 300,000, roughly 2/10 of one percent result in some type of a complaint. Now, I'd say 98% of those complaints are resolved either by the Police Department or through the Commission and about 2/10 of that, one percent of that really, reaches this Council. So I think that when you look at it in that light, I'm sure that the only thing this Council gets are the complaints. You do not get the praise that some of these policemen are deserving of or firemen. They just don't come down before the Council to give you the praise. They come down to give you the complaints. And, I say that of the 300,000 contacts that a police officer has with citizens in a year's time, that 2/10 of one percent is a pretty good record by your department. This doesn't mean of course, that there isn't a bad apple in the barrel. I think that we'll all agree that every organization may have one or two and I think your Chief of Police probably more so than the Commission is striving to weed those types out. If you have a bad apple, you don't go and chop the apple tree down, you try to get rid of the apple. By that, I mean we don't chastise or criticize the entire police force or department. I think those people are human beings. They have a morale problem over there too and the Commission is trying to be just as down the middle as it can be and when we have these complaints, we listen to the complaint then try to follow up procedures following that that would indicate where we might get in to interrogate, what types of reports they may require, what other types of investigation we may make to determine if there is any credibility to the complaint or whether or not we could recommend to the Chief that some action be taken.

REVEREND BLACK: I do want to say that my questions are not designed to give the impression that I am critical of the total Police Department although I am getting the impression from you that you are saying that the level at which we are having this problem is an acceptable level. Is that what you are saying? Because I raised the question--the question that I raised with you was whether or not you had any recommendation to improve the situation and you come back and say, well we only have a small percent, so I get the impression that you are saying that that is an acceptable level in which to operate. Maybe we had a communications problem. If we are, that's not the situation. I'd like to have your recommendations then. Does the Commission have any recommendations--I come back to the question--to relieve this situation from where it is now. In terms of the times of encounters that we have now, do you have as a result of all that you have to do with the Police Department, exam-

inations, promotions, hearing or protests, entrance examinations, disciplinary activity--as a result of all this and your examination of those citizens that complained about abuse of power, do you have any recommendations that you'd like to make to this Council in which the present type of conflict could be reduced.

MR. MANUPELLI: I have no recommendations that the Commission has not already made in the past and continues to make. We try to bring this out possibly in the training. They go through several weeks of training, and this has already been suggested before. In other words I have no new suggestions to make that we aren't already striving to do.

REVEREND BLACK: Can I get a copy then of those recommendations that you have already made?

MR. MANUPELLI: I'm sure that.....

REVEREND BLACK: I mean, do you have...does the Commission have those recommendations?

MR. MANUPELLI: I'm sure the records are there.

REVEREND BLACK: Could I get a copy of that because I don't have... Not now, I mean could you prepare me a copy of the recommendations that you've already made that would improve this condition?

MR. MANUPELLI: I'm sure we can do it. Sure.

REVEREND BLACK: Thank you.

MR. ALVIN G. PADILLA: Mr. Manupelli.

MR. MANUPELLI: Yes sir.

MR. PADILLA: You made reference to a report as to your procedures and so forth that I believe you said that Mr. Reeder has made it available to the Council. I did not receive that and if that is the case, Crawford, then it was lost in transmission somewhere and I'd appreciate getting one. Now Frank, I have just one question, perhaps two that really concern me. The Reverend Houston came before us with a group of citizens and he said that in your examination you required the citizens that testified to be under oath and his complaint was that the police officers were not required to testify under oath. Could you comment on that please?

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, I think that there is no comment to make. It's just not true.

MR. PADILLA: All right, that's the comment.

MR. MANUPELLI: I heard some comments that he made for this Council that I understand the Council took to mean that I, as Chairman of that Commission may have been rather impertinent to Mr. Houston and for that reason, I have here on tape the entire conversation that took place at the hearing between Reverend Houston and myself as Chairman of the Commission. This here won't take but just a few minutes. I think it would give this Council some indication of the credibility of people that come before this Council with stories about what goes on. I think that if

you'd hear this, in fact, I think you owe it to me, frankly, to hear it because this Commission was left some two or three weeks ago with the impression that the Chairman of your Commission was rude to the citizens and I think you should hear this and decide for yourself.

MR. PADILLA: Frank, I'd like to say this. I don't speak for the Council but I was left with that impression and I also wanted to hear from you directly on the point of testimony under oath because the statement was made to this Council--if I remember correctly--that the citizens were required to testify under oath and the police officers were not and I wanted to clarify that point and I thank you for that particular comment. I'd like to go further....

MR. MANUPELLI: Al, just a moment if I may.

MR. PADILLA: I want you to know that much has been said in the past few months about the Police Department, particularly the Police Department, very little about your Commission. There is no attempt, and I have said much of it, there is no attempt on the part of this Council as far as I'm concerned to chop down the apple tree to get to a rotten apple. I think much of what has been said, that that has been said has been true but it's been out of context to the extent that much of the other comments were not included. For instance, I have made the comment repeatedly that ways have got to be found to direct ourselves to get rid of those who are not fit to be police officers but I did say that, I have said that and I don't deny it. But what has not been said in the press was that I have worked constantly to try to make the San Antonio Police Department the very best kind of department that a large city can have. I took the lead last year in seeing to it that out of the first revenue sharing money, we allocated half a million dollars for the hiring of 50 new people because we recognized that we had a personnel shortage. Later on, I took the lead in seeing to it that a quarter of a million dollars more was allocated for necessary equipment and facilities that the police need. I think that this Council and I'm convinced in my own mind that I myself have provided every reasonable support that the Police Department deserves. I have been critical at times but I assure you that as far as I'm concerned and as I read this Council, we're not trying to chop down the apple tree to get us a rotten apple. We are, however, constantly and consistently trying to find ways to rid ourselves of the unworthy officer when we can identify him.

MR. MANUPELLI: I think you'll find that true, Councilman Padilla, through the Chief and on down. I don't think as one of the police officers testified at this inquiry. He said, "We are professionals. We do not feel we have to resort to this sort of thing", and one of the Commission members asked him, "well, if you knew of such a thing what would you do? and, of course, he said he would file a report on it and a complaint against the officer.

MR. PADILLA: I will tell you this. One of the reasons I expressed a great deal of concern about this is because officers have come to me, San Antonio police officers have come to me, and they've made me aware of certain cases. Of course, when someone gives you something in a conversation, it makes you aware of it, it makes you very concerned about it and it also makes you realize that police officers themselves for the most part do not approve of the kind of action that we make reference to. For that reason, I have expressed my concern. I hope that we can continue to work and find ways of ridding ourselves of the bad police officers. We don't have many, I've said that, in fact I'll put

a number on it. It was given to me by other officers. I've said ten to 20 out of a thousand people and this is a figure that police officers have expressed to me. Now, we have to try to find a way to get rid of these people, but we do have a fine department and I think this Council has supported the department with the qualification that we are anxious to pare these people out, to trim them out, to get rid of them, as we identify them and for this reason we want to be sure that everyone, including your Commission, responds adequately to the citizens and this has been the extent of my concern. I wanted you to have it, I know we're good friends and I wanted to tell you eyeball to eyeball.

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, I appreciate that and I understood that you were one of the ones concerned and you have a right to be concerned but I think, like I say, you have a right to hear and decide for yourselves if there was any such.....

MAYOR BECKER: Frank?

MR. MANUPELLI: Yes sir.

MAYOR BECKER: Would the Council like to hear the tape now?

COUNCIL: Yes sir.

MAYOR BECKER: Fine. Would you care to turn it on.

(At this point, Mr. Manupelli played a recording of a conversation had between himself and Reverend C. C. Houston at a hearing held by the Commission.)

MR. MANUPELLI: I'm sorry it took a little longer than I thought it would. May I ask if any of the other two members of the Commission have anything to say.

REVEREND BLACK: I'd like to just comment on your statement with reference to me-----my involvement in it. I do want to sustain the fact that my suggestion was based on the family's desire....

MR. MANUPELLI: Yes sir, I understand....

REVEREND BLACK: But that wasn't exactly what you said on there.

MR. MANUPELLI: Well, I guess we have a communication problem, Reverend. That's certainly not what I meant.....

REVEREND BLACK: I wanted to be sure that the recommendation for this hearing on my part was the result of conversation with the families who wanted it--desired a hearing.

MAYOR BECKER: Does anyone have any further questions of Mr. Manupelli?

MR. BELVIN STEWARD: Mayor and members of the Council, I'm Belvin Steward, one of the newest members on the Commission and I'd like for the record to reflect that of all the meetings that we had, I did attend and I participated in all of them to the point of trying to ascertain a all of the facts that were involved in the case to the point of providing a list to the Commission and inviting people to come back on a second or third time to try to shed enough light upon the situation in order for

us to make a decision. In some cases, it felt those lists that we did make up or arrive at, some of those people who were listed on the list failed to report back to the Committee in order to give us more information that we needed in order to accomplish this decision and I can say at this point that I'm familiar with the black and white problem, Reverend. I've been a part of it for 41 years and I've let the Commission members know I'm not going to sit on the Commission for the sake of being there, because I have other things I could do. Where I see that there is a reasonable doubt that police officers did over-react in situations dealing with citizens, regardless of whether they are black, white or brown, that I'm going to bring it to the Commission's meetings. If I don't feel that they are working in concert to solve these kind of problems, then I'm going to bring it to you. When I find out that you're not interested, then I'm going to leave it. But I have nothing to hide and I'm going to work for the time that I'm there to resolve as many of these kind of issues as I possibly can. Thank you. Do you have any questions?

REVEREND BLACK: Yes, may I ask you the question, do you have any recommendations based upon your most recent experience as to what might be done by this Commission or might be recommended to the Council by this Commission that would tend to reduce the number of events that occur of this nature?

MR. STEWARD: At this point, I don't Reverend Black, but I will say this---that I'm going to give it serious consideration and try to establish patterns that these kind of things happen because all too many times I've read about it, I've heard about it, I haven't, unfortunately or fortunately, been able to participate in them but I'm going to pay very close attention to them and find out the frequency of their occurrence, where they occur and how they occur and at this point, I feel that I can make a better recommendation to you than now. Any more questions? Thank you.

MR. MANUPELLI: Anything else, Mr. Mayor, members of the Council?

MAYOR BECKER: Any other Councilmen have any questions?

MR. CLIFFORD MORTON: I would like to ask Mr. Manupelli this. We talked about the citizens that were beaten up. Is there any way to quantify the injuries that the Police Department might have sustained?

MR. MANUPELLI: There were a total of five assaults on citizens that were at the skating rink. There were a total of 15 assaults on police officers and four on employees of the skating rink. Now, the assaults on the employees were by the participants in the skating rink not by the officers. There were two officers that went to the hospital, one passed out at the scene but he was only at the hospital a couple of hours and then he was down at the station. I think I commented at the conclusion of the hearing, I frankly feel that it was a very serious incident out there. There were several people involved in throwing skates and fighting and we had really, I guess as close to a riot as this town has had or could have had in some time and with the exception of one officer pulling a shotgun which, as I told the Chief, I didn't condone in that type of a situation because it just leads to somebody getting killed and hopefully that thing could have been resolved and was resolved without shots fired or any more injuries than occurred. So that I frankly feel that the Police Department, the police officers did a good

job of quelling what could have been a very, very serious situation. Anyone have any further questions?

REVEREND BLACK: I would like to ask the manager if this Commission or the Attorney, if it's legally limited to three persons or can it be expanded? Under what conditions can it be expanded?

CITY ATTORNEY CRAWFORD REEDER: Yes sir, Article 1269M says three.

REVEREND BLACK: Three, all right.

MAYOR BECKER: I would like to say that at the time this occurred, we had an assemblage of perhaps 15 or 20, I don't know how many people came down here, I think the next meeting that following this incident and at that time I apologized for the fact that this incident had occurred in the City of San Antonio. My remarks were construed to mean that I'd apologized for the acts of the Police Department and, in effect, had some means of possibly denigrating their role in the community and what not. That wasn't the case at all. I apologized generally to those citizens and to the citizenry of this City as a whole for the fact that this incident had occurred in this City. I still apologize for that fact, that it occurred and if we're ever going to have harmony where people can live and work and enjoy life side by side, this types of incidents are going to have to be held to the irreducible minimum. I think that's what Reverend Black keeps eluding to when he keeps saying what recommendations does the Commission have to assist that this is not be repeated any more often than possible. By the same token, I think you also have to say to ourselves, what can we do to see that this doesn't occur any more in the future. Because, after all, we're all part of this community and we can't say, "Well, this group here is solely responsible for this group there". I think we're all responsible. Frank, I'd like to say if I may that from what I heard this morning on that tape, I think you conducted your meeting in a very gentlemanly, courteous fashion and I think by and large generally speaking with respect to these kind of problems they're top of the best and I wish we could find ways and means of never having to go through these type of hearings.

MR. MANUPELLI: There is nothing would please me more.

MAYOR BECKER: I'm sure of that.

MR. MORTON: I'd just by way of comment, I'd like to say this. I know the City is very fortunate to have someone who is a trained attorney, by background an FBI agent, by training a personnel man to head the Fire and Police Commission. I don't know of any Chairman in any City in this country that has any more background to qualify him to chair it in a responsible way, Frank, than you do.

MR. MANUPELLI: It's very kind of you to think so.

MR. MORTON: I'm sincere in saying that. I think you conducted yourself as a gentleman in the manner in which you chaired that meeting.

MR. MANUPELLI: Thank you very much.

MR. PADILLA: I'd like to make a brief comment because Mr. Manupelli seemed to look at me when....I wanted to reiterate, Mr. Mayor, that again I have tried to be very consistent in trying to arrive at the

facts when people come here, citizens come here, with complaints as citizens did come with a complaint about the way the Chairman and the Commission conducted these hearings. I'd like to get both sides, I think I'm fairly consistent, entirely consistent, in this as well as in other matters. I will say this, Frank, since you did look at me while the Mayor was commenting that from what I heard on those tapes I agree wholeheartedly with the Mayor that you did conduct yourself in a gentlemanly and in a proper manner.

MR. MANUPELLI: Thank you sir. Thank you very much.

MAYOR BECKER: Thank you Frank. I appreciate you being here this morning. Any further comments? Shall we adjourn or rather recess for lunch?

(The meeting recessed for lunch and reconvened at 1:40 P.M.)

A. CASE NO. 5073 - to rezone Lots 15 and 16, NCB 11623, 7900 Block of Chambers Road, from "A" Single Family Residential District to "P-1(R-1)" Planned Unit Development Single Family Residential District and for the consideration of a Preliminary Planned Unit Development, located between Donore Place and Chambers Road, being 1035' northeast of the intersection of Fredericksburg Road and Chambers Road; having 540' on Chambers Road and 540' on Donore Place.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

Mr. O. C. Speight, Jr., the applicant, stated that he was in the process of working with property owners affected by this proposed rezoning. A little more time is needed in order to work out an acceptable solution, and he asked that the Council postpone consideration of the case.

After consideration, Council members agreed to the postponement and Mr. Camargo was instructed to have the case reset.

B. CASE NO. 5195 - to rezone 23.372 acres out of NCB 15724, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; 28.389 acres out of NCB 15724, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District; 23.272 acres out of NCB 15724, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District; a 1.611 acre out of NCB 15724, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District; and 9.905 acres out of NCB 15724, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "I-1" Light Industry District.

Subject properties are located northwest of Interstate Highway 35 Expressway and southeast of the Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Tracks, being between Judson Road and O'Connor Road.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mrs. Cockrell made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected between multiple family and business zoning where they are adjacent to single family residential development. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,003

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS 23.372 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15724, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; 28.389 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15724, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT; 23.272 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15724, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT; 1.611 ACRE OUT OF NCB 15724, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT; AND 9.905 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15724, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT, (BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK), PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED AND THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED BETWEEN MULTIPLE FAMILY AND BUSINESS ZONING WHERE THEY ARE ADJACENT TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT.

* * * * *

C. CASE 5196 - to rezone 0.278 acres out of NCB 15865, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-2" Two Family Residential District; 93.483 acres out of NCB 15722, and 15869, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; 30.817 acres out of NCB 15722, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District; 18.905 acres out of NCB 15722, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District; and 20.931 acres out of NCB 15722, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District.

Subject properties are located south of the intersection of O'Connor Road and Nacogdoches Road; having approximately 5,500' on Nacogdoches Road and 3,650' on O'Connor Road.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Mendoza made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected between business zonings and multiple family zonings where they abut single family zoning. Dr. San Martin seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,004

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS 0.278 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15865, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-2" TWO FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; 93.483 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15722 AND 15869, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; 30.817 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15722, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT; 18.905 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15722, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT; AND 20.931 ACRES OUT OF NCB 15722, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, (BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK), PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED AND THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED BETWEEN BUSINESS ZONINGS AND MULTIPLE FAMILY ZONINGS WHERE THEY ABUT SINGLE FAMILY ZONING.

* * * *

D. CASE 5263 - to rezone Lot 1, Block 2, NCB 13116, 2039 Arroya Vista Drive, from "A" Single Family Residential District to "O-1" Office District, located northeast of the intersection of Arroya Vista Drive and West Avenue; having 140.05' on Arroya Vista Drive and a maximum depth of 224.38'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

November 8, 1973
nsr

-29-

After consideration, Mr. Padilla made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that a six foot solid screen fence is erected on the southeast and east property lines. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Beckmann, Morton.

AN ORDINANCE 43,005

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 1, BLOCK 2, NCB 13116, 2039 ARROYA VISTA DRIVE, FROM "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "O-1" OFFICE DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED ON THE SOUTHEAST AND EAST PROPERTY LINES.

* * * *

E. CASE 5269 - to rezone Lots 64, 65, Block 2, NCB 11966, 322 Wolfe Road, from "A" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District, located southwest of the intersection of Wolfe Road and Plymouth Avenue; having 160' on Wolfe Road and 251.9' on Plymouth Avenue.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Lacy, seconded by Dr. San Martin, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was passed and approved by the passage of the following Ordinance, by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,006

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 64, 65, BLOCK 2, NCB 11966, 322 WOLFE ROAD, FROM "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT.

* * * *

F. CASE 5135 - to rezone a 40.216 acre tract of land out of NCB 14868, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; a 27.823 acre tract of land out of NCB 14868, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District; and a 28.995 acre tract of land out of NCB 14868, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District.

Subject properties are located northwest of the intersection of Babcock Road and Prue Road. The "R-3" zoning being located approximately 3,405' southwest of said intersection; having 2,300' on Prue Road with a maximum depth of 773.23'. The "B-1" zoning being located approximately 1,775' southwest of the intersection of Babcock Road and Prue Road; having 1630' on Prue Road with a maximum depth of 763.24'. The "B-3" zoning being located northwest of the intersection of Babcock Road and Prue Road; having 1775' on Prue Road and 717.61' on Babcock Road.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Dr. San Martin made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished, that a six foot solid screen fence is erected on the north property line and that a temporary non-access easement is imposed on the north property line at its intersection with Spring Rain and Spring Mont provided, however, when primary access is provided on Prue Road, the City will release the non-access easement. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,007

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 40.216 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14868, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; A 27.823 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14868, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT; AND A 28.995 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14868, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, (BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK), PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED, THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED ON

November 8, 1973

nsr

THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE AT ITS INTERSECTION WITH SPRING RAIN AND SPRING MONT PROVIDED, HOWEVER WHEN PRIMARY ACCESS IS PROVIDED ON PRUE ROAD, THE CITY WILL RELEASE THE NON-ACCESS EASEMENT.

* * * *

G. CASE 5240 - to rezone Lot 1, Block 14, NCB 16150, 13600 Syracuse, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District, located northeast of the intersection of Brandeis and Syracuse; having 179' on Brandeis and approximately 230' on Syracuse.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Lacy, seconded by Dr. San Martin, the recommendation of the Planning Commission was passed and approved, by the passage of the following Ordinance, by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,008

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 1, BLOCK 14, NCB 16150, 13600 SYRACUSE, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT.

* * * *

H. CASE 5257 - to rezone 1.99 acres out of Lot P-26B, NCB 15357, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 8100 Block of Marbach Road, located north 75' and east 80' of the cutback between Loop 410 and Marbach Road, having 175' on Loop 410 and 65' on Marbach Road.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Dr. San Martin made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mrs. Cockrell seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,009

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE
ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN
ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION
AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY
DESCRIBED HEREIN AS 1.99 ACRES OUT OF
P-26B, NCB 15357, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED
BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK, 8100 BLOCK OF MARBACH ROAD,
FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS
DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING
IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

I. CASE 5264 - to rezone an 18.9 acre tract of land out of NCB 15837, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; and a 7.3 acre tract of land out of NCB 15837, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District.

Subject properties are located on the northeast side of Feathercrest, 1170' southeast of the intersection of Wetmore Road and Feathercrest; having 940' on Feathercrest and a maximum depth of 1630'. The "B-2" zoning being on the south 440' of the subject property and the "R-3" zoning being the remaining portion.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Dr. San Martin made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mrs. Cockrell seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

November 8, 1973
nsr

-33-

AN ORDINANCE 43,010

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS AN 18.9 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15837, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; AND A 7.3 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15837, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

J. CASE 5265 - to rezone a 15 acre tract of land out of NCB 15837, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; a 7.8 acre tract of land out of NCB 15837, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District; and a 10.3 acre tract of land out of NCB 15837, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "I-1" Light Industry District.

The "R-3" zoning being located on the south side of Stahl Road, being approximately 740' east of the intersection of Stahl Road and Wetmore Road; having 474.19' on Stahl Road and a maximum depth of 1378.51'.

The "B-3" zoning being located on the northeast side of Feathercrest Road, being approximately 560' southeast of the intersection of Wetmore Road and Feathercrest Road; having 618.57' on Feathercrest and a maximum depth of approximately 500'.

The "I-1" zoning being located east of the intersection of Wetmore Road and Feathercrest Road, being approximately 100' southeast of the intersection of Wetmore Road and Feathercrest; having 399.04' on Feathercrest Road and a maximum depth of 1192.63'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Padilla made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper platting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected between the "B-3" and "R-3" zoning. Dr. San Martin seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,011

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 15 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15837, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; A 7.8 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15837, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT; AND A 10.3 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15837, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED AND THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED BETWEEN THE "B-3" AND "R-3" ZONING.

* * * *

K. CASE 5276 - to rezone a 3.41 acre tract of land out of NCB 14939, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 9806 Interstate Highway 10 West and 9411 Wurzbach Road, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District, located northwest of the intersection of Interstate Highway 10 West and Wurzbach Road, being 165.98' southwest and 60' northeast of the cutback at the intersection of Interstate Highway 10 West and Wurzbach Road; having 284' on Interstate Highway 10 West and 135' on Wurzbach Road with a maximum depth of approximately 580'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Dr. San Martin made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Padilla seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Morton, Beckmann.

AN ORDINANCE 43,012

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 3.41 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14939, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 9806 INTERSTATE HIGHWAY 10 WEST AND 9411 WURZBACH ROAD, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

73-57 The Clerk read the following letter:

November 2, 1973

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

The following petition was received by my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

October 30, 1973

Petition of Reverend Dennis L. Gorton, Alliance Bible Church, 12662 Uhr Lane, requesting permission to place two signs directing people to his church on property which is owned by the City, located at the intersection of Perrin-Beitel and Nacogdoches Road and on the northwest corner of Feathercrest and Uhr Lane.

/s/ J. H. INSELMANN
City Clerk

* * * *

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 2:05 P. M.

ATTEST: *J. H. Inselmann*
City Clerk

A P P R O V E D
Charles L. Becker
C H A R L E S L. B E C K E R
Charles L. Becker

November 8, 1973
nsr