

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 1976.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A. M., by the presiding officer, Mayor Lila Cockrell, with the following members present: PYNDUS, BILLA, CISNEROS, BLACK, HARTMAN, ROHDE, TENIENTE, NIELSEN, COCKRELL; Absent: NONE.

76-30 The invocation was given by The Reverend A. T. Grout, La Trinidad United Methodist Church.

76-30 FOURTH OF JULY, 1976

For the Pledge of Allegiance Ceremony, a Color Guard from the Fifth United States Army stationed at Fort Sam Houston was present with their colors and flags. Mayor Pro-Tem Al Rohde and two Miss Liberty Belles led the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States and were joined by the other members of the Council as well as the audience.

Mayor Cockrell then welcomed to the meeting Mrs. Vivian Hamlin, Chairperson of the San Antonio Bicentennial Commission; Mr. Bob Marsh, Chairman of the American Independence Week Celebration; and Mr. Earl J. Berryhill, President of the San Antonio Chapter of the Sons of the American Revolution.

Mayor Cockrell then read a Proclamation proclaiming the week of June 27 - July 5, 1976 as "American Independence Week" and July 4, 1976 as "Independence Day - '76". She then presented the San Antonio Bicentennial Commission and the Sons of the American Revolution with a copy of the Proclamation.

Plans for Independence Week celebration were announced and all citizens were invited to participate in the festivities.

76-30 RESOLUTION OF RESPECT

THE HONORABLE G. J. SUTTON

Councilman Reverend Black invited the members of the Sutton family up to the podium and then read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION OF RESPECT
NO. 76-30-44

* * * *

WHEREAS, life came to a close for G. J. Sutton on June 22, 1976, and

WHEREAS, G. J. Sutton was a dynamic political leader in San Antonio for over 30 years having been nominated for a third two-year term in the Texas House of Representatives, and

WHEREAS, G. J., as he was affectionately known, gave unstintingly of his time and effort in the cause of Civil Rights and in all other civic endeavors for the betterment of all people regardless of race, color or creed, and

WHEREAS, the citizens of San Antonio have lost a true and faithful friend and his passing will leave a void which will be a challenge for others to fill; NOW, THEREFORE:

June 24, 1976

el

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO:

SECTION 1. On behalf of ourselves, the Mayor, the City Manager, the officials and employees, as well as the citizens of this community, do express profound regret upon the occasion of the death of G. J. Sutton and tender to the bereaved members of his family our heartfelt sympathy.

SECTION 2. That this Resolution be spread upon the permanent records of the City and a copy suitably engrossed be presented to his family.

* * * *

On motion of Reverend Black, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

Mayor Cockrell commented on the outstanding qualities of the entire Sutton family and presented a copy of the Resolution to the family.

76-30 The minutes of the meeting of June 17, 1976 were approved.

76-30 PRESENTATION BY THE SAN ANTONIO BOARD OF REALTORS

Mr. George Leeah, President of the San Antonio Board of Realtors, stated that they would like to make a presentation to the Council of their bicentennial project, which is a pictorial magazine of San Antonio. Mr. Leeah then introduced Mr. Bob McGinnis, Chairman of the Bicentennial Project. They distributed copies of the magazines to each Council Member.

Mayor Cockrell on behalf of the City Council expressed their appreciation to them for the magazine and also for the beautiful mural that was given to the City from the San Antonio Board of Realtors.

76-30 Item VIII - Mayor Cockrell stated that the proposed Resolution requesting that the opening of the south section of the McAllister Freeway be delayed until completion of the adjoining access road was withdrawn and instead it was decided at this morning's "B" session that the City Council will make a request through a letter of transmittal to the State Highway Department that the southbound portion of the freeway be opened on schedule but that the northbound portion be held pending the completion of the access road.

76-30 GOVERNMENT CLASS FROM ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY

Councilman Glen Hartman introduced a group of students present in the audience who are members of a Texas Government Class that he is teaching at St. Mary's University.

Mayor Cockrell welcomed them to the meeting.

76-30 CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD
PROPOSED \$60 MILLION BOND ISSUE

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,797

BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO,
TEXAS, AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$60,000,000
"CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, ELECTRIC AND GAS

SYSTEMS REVENUE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, NEW SERIES 1976-A," FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXTENDING AND IMPROVING THE CITY'S ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS; PROVIDING THE TERMS, CONDITIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUCH BONDS; MAKING PROVISIONS FOR THE PAYMENT AND SECURITY THEREOF; STIPULATING TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL REVENUE BONDS ON A PARITY THEREWITH; ENACTING OTHER PROVISIONS INCIDENT AND RELATED TO THE SUBJECT AND PURPOSE OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING A DATE FOR THE SALE OF SAID BONDS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

* * * *

The following discussion took place:

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: Alright. We have several citizens. First, I'm going to ask for the staff report and then we'll ask Mr. Jack Spruce or his associates to come forward and then I will call on those citizens who have registered for this item.

MR. JACK SPRUCE: Thank you Mayor and members of the Council. I think the Council is well aware of the City Public Service construction program and the necessary supporting capital that goes with it. Of course, these bonds are a part of that. As you know, there are many pieces of information that have to be filed along with the bond ordinance and with the Notice of Sale. There is one other change that we need to pass out to the Council. I believe Mr. Freeman has those. Also, if it is the Council's pleasure, I would like to tell you that our bond attorney is here if you would like for him to come forward. Mr. Hobby McCall, who is our bond counsel for this issue, is present this morning.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Hobby McCall, would you like to come forward and be available if there are any questions.

MR. AL ROHDE: I would like to ask a question, Mr. Spruce. Mr. Spruce, be specific with the Council. What is the present cost of our coal plant and has there been any cost overrun, and if there has been, how much are we into it?

MR. SPRUCE: If I remember correctly, we have about \$165 million invested in the plant and the total cost is still projected at about \$236 million.

MR. ROHDE: Wasn't it projected at \$220 million originally? What was the bid on it?

MR. SPRUCE: Well, it's a multi-faceted contract, of course, the H. B. Zachry Company bid was \$56 million. That was for the general contract. Prior to that, of course, there was a lot of equipment that had to be ordered. Such as the turbin generators, the coal yard shipment coal cars. There were four construction contracts. There was a foundation contract, contract for the chimney, the steel erection and then the general contract. However, all of the contracts have been well within the estimates. There have not been any significant cost overruns of any denomination.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you. Are there any other questions? All right are there any questions of either the financial or legal consultant?

MR. ROHDE: Well, he said there was a change. I would like to know the change, Mayor.

MR. SPRUCE: It's a no-litigation certificate. Mr. McCall, will you comment on this?

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. McCall, will you come forward, sir.

MR. HOBBY McCALL: Your Honor and members of the Council, the change is really not material at this point. The Notice of Sale would have added a specific statement describing the no-litigation certificate. I believe each member of the Council now has a copy of that in front of him.

MAYOR COCKRELL: So, the no-litigation has then amended to state that it would not materially affect.....

MR. McCALL: Well, the no litigation certificate would normally say that, just to state it.

MR. ROHDE: Don't you feel that litigation involving a trustee is a major consideration in the issuance of bonds?

MR. McCALL: This statement has been very carefully worded so the market will understand just exactly what it is.

MR. ROHDE: What does it say?

MAYOR COCKRELL: Would you like to comment on the exact wording that you have proposed?

MR. McCALL: This is the customary litigation but we wanted the market - you see there are quite a few suits now pending involving the City and now members of the Board. We wanted the bond purchasers to fully understand what the certificate would say. The official Notice of Sale and the Official Statement contain descriptions of all the litigation.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Is there any other question? Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PHIL PYNDUS: Yes, Mr. McCall, a newspaper article mentioned the fact that a suit was filed Tuesday in Federal District Court and that this suit would rule out a business transaction of this nature that we would have to take action upon. May I have your comments in that regard, please.

MR. McCALL: As you realize these bonds are only approved by Market Counsel, and I'm one of those, and they are also approved by the Attorney General of the State of Texas. We have the petitions under study. The petition has been forwarded to the Attorney General. Of course, we have not had an opportunity to get a reaction from him so I cannot fully answer your question until we hear from the Attorney General. It is under study, sir.

MR. PYNDUS: My feeling is that there is great need for these bonds that we are voting on today. I am wondering what research has been done with respect to such filing of such suits of this nature, the fact that this would hold up the process. I'm wondering if the attorneys have considered for the City Public Service Board a counter suit because I think the progress of the entire City is being jeopardized. The fact that the trusteeship is a side issue that should not be affecting such a great issue as the issuance of these bonds. I'm wondering if you have any response to that?

MR. McCALL: Well, sir, we have not considered that from the standpoint of bond counsel. I'm not aware of whether the general counsel is here today or not I can't speak for him and I don't know what action he is considering, if any.

MAYOR COCKRELL: In other words, let me just summarize for the Council. The action that would be under consideration by the Council would include the statement that is recommended by the bond legal counsel. If there is any further problem it would be the responsibility of the Attorney General to point it out to us at the time that he would issue or withhold his certification. Is that correct?

MR. McCALL: That's right, Mayor, and I expect to hear from him well in advance of the sale date.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine, and if there is any other action that would be necessary by either the City Public Service or the City you would bring it to our attention in that connection.

MR. McCALL: Correct.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Hartman.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Mr. McCall, in other words, let me try to put it in words that I understand what you are saying. We're, in effect, making a statement of condition with regard to the litigation. That is actually what this certificate says or statement of situation of non-litigation that would affect the issuance of these bonds. In other words, the fact that we are not being constrained from issuing bonds. Is that what you are saying?

MR. McCALL: Well, that is framed in the manner that that's what can happen, sir. Now, the official statement has a full description of all litigation now pending or in progress against the City. This certificate would be a certificate by the officials of the City to the effect that the litigation does not do what this says it does not do. In effect, this certificate would say that it does not affect delivery of the bonds, but we do not know, I can't speak for the Attorney General, with respect to his opinion, at this time.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Okay, my question relates to this Council by acknowledging this certification and taking its action as a Council, in no way, well, let me phrase that another way, in other words, what would be the legal impact of that statement accompanying this action? Any at all?

MR. McCALL: I don't believe it changes the position of the Council from what it would be if it did not state what the litigation certificate says because this is a normal no-litigation certificate. Because we do have this litigation, we must put the bond holders on notice what the City is prepared to do with respect to that.

MR. HARTMAN: Well, I guess my question...that is normally, you know, developed by the potential bond purchaser. That would be a point that would certainly be explored by a potential bond purchaser.

MR. McCALL: Right, and we're just telling them what the facts are, what the litigation is and what the officials are prepared to say about this.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May I ask this also. This is not the only litigation that is pending at this time, is it?

MR. McCALL: That is correct.

MAYOR COCKRELL: This is just one in a series of sequence.

MR. McCALL: Inaudible.

MAYOR COCKRELL: That's right. So, there's a lot of litigation that is pending and this simply whatever statement is developed has to be such that it can be consistent with whatever litigation is pending.

MR. ROHDE: You mean there is other litigation pending against the Board of Trustees?

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, certainly. There was one that was apparently settled yesterday by the Supreme Court. So, there's all kinds of litigation. The City has its own litigation problems and so at any rate.....

MR. ROHDE: Mayor, can I make a point of order? When was this distributed? This information about the bonds, I mean the new litigation?

MAYOR COCKRELL: The new litigation was not filed until 5:00 P. M. Tuesday evening.

MR. ROHDE: When was it available to the Council?

MAYOR COCKRELL: It was available this morning.

MR. ROHDE: What time?

MAYOR COCKRELL: It is a legal statement. Don't you have it?

DR. D. FORD NIELSEN: Here. There are several others. They were on our desk this morning.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine. As is our usual procedure, we rely on the counsel who is retained by the City Public Service for this kind of information, are there any other questions by the Council? We do have several citizens registered to speak and we will be calling on them. Mr. Pyndus.

June 24, 1976
el

-6-

MR. PYNDUS: Mr. McCall, if push came to shove and we eliminated the statement, would this hurt the bond issue?

MR. MCCALL: You can't eliminate it.

MR. PYNDUS: Okay. The statement has to go with the bond.

MR. MCCALL: We would highly recommend that it be put in there.

MR. PYNDUS: Thank you, sir.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, fine. Thank you. No, we've got three citizens signed and I'm going to call on them. First, is Mr. Karl Wurz.

MR. MCCALL: Thank you.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you, sir.

KARL WURZ: My name is Karl Wurz. I'm opposed to Roman Numerals Items IX and X. Authorizing the issuance of \$60 million in Electric and Gas Revenue Bonds Series 1976-A. on account of the interest. You know full well the interest on this issue will be anywhere from \$52 million on up to \$84 million. For the \$60 million the City borrows we will end up paying \$112 million up to possibly \$144 million. This is a stupendous amount to pay for a necessity of life, but at these incredible interest costs it is transformed into a luxury few of us can afford. Nevertheless, we will be forced to pay it since you give us no other choice.

Is it right and just that the consumers be collectively forced to pay an interest of 100% or more? My answer is no! Your answer is yes. (Ordinance #44,144 and 45,819.)

Another reason is the bond issue will automatically increase the percentage you receive in lieu of taxes from C.P.S. You know from past experience it means an added cost to the consumer. Can you deny that in FY 1976-77 you will receive an estimated \$37 million from C.P.S. in lieu of taxes?

The bond issue will automatically increase the sales tax thus adding another cost to the ponderous pyramid which already weighs heavy on the backs of the consumers.

Again as in water revenue bonds we have no choice but to have it rammed down our throats. A free election with sound alternatives in which the people can make a decision would be a better way to provide the real needs of the citizens. But we are not allowed this basic constitutional right.

I must remind you we are paying more and more as time goes on for the cost of borrowing. Two weeks ago I said we are paying for something we cannot live in, wear, or eat. Now I say it deprives us of food, clothes, and shelter we could have if it were not for the abusive interest cost.

How long are you to continue oppressing us with your bad decisions? And when are you going to free us from the strangle hold of the financial empires so that we can achieve economic security and the opportunity to mold our own destiny? As it is these outrageous interest costs to a large extent dictate how we live our lives and how much we can have of other commodities necessary to us.

It is irresponsible to allow interest costs to exceed capital improvement costs. It is not wise to increase utility rates to pay the crushing interest costs. The cost of interest does more than reduce the pocket book of the consumer. It demoralizes the human spirit. It reduces the humanity of the consumer.

We are not jack-asses that we should carry this added burden so that others can maintain a life-style we may never hope to attain.

The passage of these ordinances is another penalty we don't deserve. I'm asking you to get off the peoples' backs. What many of you do in the name of government is a dirty shame.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Wurz, I might point out to you, sir, that the alternatives to borrowing and spreading the cost of this plant over twenty years or so, the alternative to that is paying cash now and that means that all the people who are paying their utility bills today will have to bear the whole cost and that a free ride would be in effect to the people in the future. So the philosophy on spending, using bond money to pay for these plants is that we spread the cost over the period of the life of the plant which is, it seems to most of us, as a fairer way than to try to load the entire cost on the person who's paying the bill today. All right, sir, we will now move to the next. All right, I'll call on Mr. Raymond Castillo.

RAYMOND CASTILLO: Mayor Cockrell, members of the Council, my name is Raymond Castillo, co-chairman of Utility Action Committee, Communities Organized for Public Service. C.O.P.S. organization demands the City Council not to approve the ordinance for selling the \$60 million bonds this morning. Our position has been that there be no rate increase in our utility bills until the LoVaca case has been settled in court. The history of C.O.P.S. has always been one of being in debt and allowing the Board of Trustees to be self-perpetuating. I'd like to rephrase from that that the history of the City Public Service has always been of being in debt and allowing the Board of Trustees to be self-perpetuating. The C.P.S. trustees have never consulted the people on any major decision. If you approve the \$60 million will there not be a six to fifteen percent increase this year and every other year a rate increase of fifteen percent, until we reach in the early '80's, 1980's, a rate increase of 108 percent. The 108 percent rate increase should be a decision that all the citizens be given the opportunity to express themselves. The hot days of summer are upon us. Crawford Reeder, your attorney, said the other day that until one month ago the lawyers for both parties of the lawsuits had not been taken seriously. Our fuel adjustment bills grow and we pay and we pay and we pay. City Public Service has talked, has talked of an out of court settlement which we be allowing Oscar Wyatt, the crook, to continue his rape of San Antonio with the approval of C.P.S. and the City Council. Next week you will be sticking us with another fuel adjustment bill and we will be paying money that we'll never get back.

What we are saying is this, number one, settle the lawsuit in court immediately. Number two, have a series of public hearings to discuss the rate increase. Number three, then if the rate increase is accepted, then pass an ordinance for the \$60 million bonds. In the meantime work with the citizens to appoint someone to the Board of Trustees and C.P.S. who will represent the consumer and the low and middle class people. And not appoint someone who will provide cheap rates for big businessmen. — (spoke in Spanish) "not one more dollar for injustice."

I'd like to repeat that in the history of the C.F.S. has always been one of being in debt and allowing the Board of Trustees to be self-perpetuating. And I'd like to repeat again that in Spanish that (spoke in Spanish). Thank you, very much.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you, sir. All right. Reverend Byrd was not here. Are you ready for action?

MR. PYNDUS: I move for adoption.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Is there a second?

MR. BILLA: I second the motion.

MAYOR COCKRELL: It's been moved and seconded that the Ordinance be approved.

MR. ROHDE: I wish to make a substitute motion.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Rohde.

MR. ROHDE: I wish to be recognized to make a substitute motion, Mayor. I feel that this is a very serious matter that we're taking right here at this point because I've never voted against a C.P.S. bond issue and I hope I don't have to vote against today. But we have not been briefed by our City Attorney. We're part of this suit. I'd like to know our status, our legal status, and I don't think we can do it in a presto fashion the way we're trying to do here this morning. I do feel that we need some time on this. We need the advice of the City Attorney as to our standing in this matter which I don't think he's prepared to do today, and I'll make some substitute motion we postpone this for one week.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Hearing no second, the motion dies for want of a second.

MR. HARTMAN: Madam Mayor.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: I think for the purpose of clearing this being fully briefed on this latest suit, I think that Councilman Rohde has a point with regard to being briefed on the (inaudible) and, I will second it on that basis. I think there is some need, perhaps, for further clarification as to exactly what the implications of that suit will be.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May I ask the City Attorney, in terms of being able to make any comments or advice to the City Council about the, specifically about the ordinance passing today. Would you need additional time or what is your situation?

CITY ATTORNEY JIM PARKER: I read what I understood would be the pleadings in the case. I've not had a official copy actually served, that's been served on anybody presented to me, but I have read what has been designated as their cause of action. In my opinion it would not affect the action that you would be taking today as to this ordinance in that particular pleading. That pleading was carefully, I think carefully, worded to expressly exclude this type of a situation. I think they did it for several reasons.....

MR. ROHDE: Mayor, the Council hasn't even been served a copy of the notice.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, Mr. Hartman, did you have some comments?

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Yes, I, my only concern here is with regards to the actions to be taken on this bond issue inasmuch as, well, without getting into a discussion, I am just concerned about understanding fully the nature of this suit based on what I have before me. I really can't.....

CITY ATTORNEY PARKER: Well, the nature of the suit is the same one that was passed around, it has been bouncing around for five or six months.

MR. HARTMAN: It's basically the brief that has been passed around

CITY ATTORNEY PARKER: It is the same identical theory and on the basis that that part of it would not affect the validity of this action.

MAYOR COCKRELL: The only question is the one he is addressing and that is whether or not the suit impacts the validity of the statement that we are asked to approve in connection with this ordinance then.

CITY ATTORNEY PARKER: It would not.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Okay. Mr. Pyndus is next.

MR. PHIL PYNDUS: I would like to speak against the motion if I may, Mayor. I think that the business at hand is the approval of \$60 million worth of bonds which our public utility needs very badly. We are facing an energy crisis and I think that if you need further interpretation, further explanation, of any suit filed against the City and certainly this suit is filed against the manner in which the trustees are being elected or selected or perpetuated and I think it has no pertinence to the issue which we are trying to settle this morning. I feel that we should pass this issue and get on with the business of the Council.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, we have a motion and a second which would postpone the decision today, until what time, Mr. Rohde, would you restate that?

MR. ROHDE: One week.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Is there any further discussion? Mr. Billa.

MR. BOB BILLA: I just want to speak against the motion. I think that what we are talking about is whether we are going to fund, to continue to fund the coal fired plant. I would like to hear a response from the CPS Board whether delaying this a week may involve additional expenses maybe that might be incurred as a result of not being able to meet the obligation on the coal fired plant. To me that is the primary thing and it's not related to the suit. So I would have to vote against the motion on that basis.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Spruce, do you have any comments about the advisability of

MR. JACK SPRUCE: In just a minute I would like to ask Mr. Freeman to give a statement about our financial situation and when the present funds would be exhausted.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine, Mr. Freeman.

MR. SPRUCE: The other thing that I think the Mayor has already said maybe in another way is that before anything would be done about the bonds as far as the sale goes, they will be reviewed by the Attorney General. Of course, they wouldn't be certified if there was any problem. I think that is another intervening step that gives security to the process.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Thank you. After the action assuming the Council would pass the bonds today, then will you outline how long it would be before the money would actually be received, Mr. Freeman? It's still a long process, I understand.

MR. HOWARD FREEMAN: I certainly will, Mayor. Thank you very much. Mayor and Council, the Public Service at the end of May had a total of \$19 million remaining in construction funds. The construction requirements have been running about \$10 million a month and we would expect that to continue through June and July. So, therefore, you can see that the funds will be substantially depleted if not completely depleted by the end of July. I hedge just a little bit because of certain delays in construction schedules that could delay a few payments but it's our estimate that the funds will be completely depleted by they are available for construction by the end of July.

Now, our schedule for the issuance of these bonds is that the bids would be received on July 15. Now after the bids are received the complete transcript of the bond transactions has to go to the Attorney General who must review and pass on these transactions and the bonds have to be printed at that time. We cannot print the bonds until interest rates are determined so that they can be included on the coupons. We have I think, a very optimistic schedule and we are looking for delivery of the bonds by the 10th of August. So you can see that the funds will be needed by that time.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you very much. Is there any other questions? Yes, Dr. Nielsen.

DR. D. FORD NIELSEN: Mr. Spruce, how much of this \$60 million is projected, and I cannot find it in all of the data that we got, for the South Texas Nuclear Project.

MR. SPRUCE: I'll ask Mr. Freeman if he can comment on that again. You might talk to the The question was how much of this \$60 million bond issue would be applicable to the South Texas Nuclear Project?

MR. FREEMAN: The nuclear project is requiring funds at the rate of about \$3 million a month. We have projected \$39 million to be the expenditures for the current year. They ran a little bit behind schedule here at the beginning of the year but, as I recall, the schedule is right at \$3 million a month. At the beginning of our fiscal year we had expended, Councilman, I believe, \$19 or \$20 million on the project. The latest figure I saw was that the project is now, our share of the project has cost in excess of \$24 million, something under \$25 million. So that these bonds are expected to last until about the end of the year. Approximately \$25 or \$30 million would probably be required out of this issue.

DR. NIELSEN: Now, let me ask then, Mr. Spruce, what is the status on the contract for the yellow cake?

MR. SPRUCE: Contract for what, sir?

DR. NIELSEN: For the yellow cake.

MR. SPRUCE: It is still in the process of negotiation. There have been no concluded transactions since our last discussion. Houston Lighting and Power, as project manager, does feel like they are making substantial progress with suppliers. I think time is in our favor on this. You know, we do have guaranteed at the contract price the initial fueling and one reload and there are things developing in the uranium market that we feel will work out for us to our advantage. There have been no setbacks.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, are there any other questions?

DR. NIELSEN: Let me just say again, say that last year and earlier this year, I, although I am not in control and you are not totally in control of this uranium contract until we really have that, I've just got to emphasize again, I do not think it is good business to speculate on \$20 to \$30 million worth of bonds on a project until you have got the most fundamental supply and resource you got to have over a long haul and that is the uranium contract. I am just speaking for myself. I am shocked.

MR. SPRUCE: Well, you know we never have all of the fuel that we are going to require for any one of our projects at any given time. We never had a contract for the full life of the plant to begin with. We had one for a ten year contract I believe was the first guarantee.....

DR. NIELSEN: Three years, is that right?

MR. SPRUCE: No, I think it was for ten years.

DR. NIELSEN: No, but what we had at 5 percent is about

MR. SPRUCE: Well, yes, that's about two years, two to three years. Yes, that's what we have now. Before Westinghouse defaulted, we had about ten years supply that came with the initial package deal with the plant. There is plenty of uranium. They could go out and buy it now, you see. They are not worried about that. The problem is that they are trying to get the best possible deal. It's not a problem of no supply. The problem is what will be paid for the supply. We feel that time is in our favor on this. We have got plenty of time to make the deal, there is no use rushing into a deal when they think they get a better one down the road. Some things are clearing up in the international situation that may work to our favor on this. There are other technologies under study. The whole energy picture, as you very well know, is in a tremendous upheaval and lots of problems are with it. But I do not look at that as a significant problem.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, the action now is on the motion for postponement for one week. Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: Yes, Madam Mayor. I wonder if I could just follow up with a question for Mr. Spruce just briefly on the matter of the price of yellow cake. If you look at a chart, as we all have, of the price of yellow cake since the cartel was formed in November of '72, it takes almost a right angle turn and it goes up. What is your basis for saying that perhaps down the road there may be an improvement in this price? What are we projecting?

MR. SPRUCE: Well, principally, I am thinking of the Australian situation. You know, the Australians elected not to sell uranium unless some of the plants were built over there. I understand there have been some softening of that. This is all rather vague at this time and behind the scenes but I think that what Houston Lighting and Power is looking to. And, of course, they are in contact with other prospects and possibilities. But, that is the basis for it. We are told by them that things do look like they can be worked out down the road to our advantage. If you need more information, we will be glad to provide you with everything we have.

MR. PYNDUS: Mr. Spruce,

MR. SPRUCE: Yes, sir.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Thank you, Mayor. Do you feel that we should delay the approval of this bond issue until you have the answers on the yellow cake this morning, next week, or next month, or next year?

MR. SPRUCE: Well, sir, obviously, that question is not going to be answered for several months and we think that all of the best thinking that can be dedicated at this project is devoted toward going on with the project. All of the participants that are in it feel it is a good project. I know that we have got a problem with some people in Austin, wanting to withdraw their share. The utility people in Austin would like to stay in it. Houston Lighting and Power certainly thinks it's a very viable project as does Central Power and Light and our staff people. We could not afford, of course, to delay construction on any of these. We would just run out of money if we wait until that problem is resolved, well it is not going to be resolved that soon. It's probably not going to be resolved by the end of the year. We will have some more definite data probably in the Fall. But it is going to be weeks, maybe months.

MR. PYNDUS: Thank you very much.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Dr. Nielsen.

DR. NIELSEN: Madam Mayor. I am not challenging you, Jack, but months ago, several of you all seemed to indicate that by June or July we would have a contract over the long haul in terms of uranium. Now, are you suggesting that it's going to be at the end of the year before we have anything other than the (inaudible) Westinghouse contract?

MR. SPRUCE: Well, several things have happened. When we told you that in the beginning, of course, we didn't have this initial capitulation by Westinghouse for the first load and reload. There are some suits pending against Westinghouse. Westinghouse would certainly like to settle the problem. They consider this to be quite a impact on their own image and their own reputation. They have come back with some other things too. So, as I said before, we could have wrapped up a deal by now if we had felt that that would have been in our best interest. Looking at it as project manager and discussing it with the engineers and economists that are working on it, they feel that things are progressing and they need a little bit more time to work it out. I think this is all an honest evaluation and I do not think it forecasts a problem for us to put it off. I think our best interests will be served by taking more time to work out the deal.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Any other questions? I see Reverend Byrd is here. Would you like to make your comments at this time, Reverend Byrd?

REVEREND CLIFTON BYRD: Madam Mayor Cockrell and Council members, I would like to apologize for not being here when my name was called but I did not get the message. We would like to call this Council's attention to Item No. IX on the agenda because it seems that the City Public Service Board has ignored the constitutional rights of all ethnic groups in the community by having a self-perpetuating trustee board. Of course, the City Council has the right to provide the terms or the conditions and specifications that can be, that the City reserves that right and has obligations to the consumer, to the citizens of San Antonio to see to it

that no bonds are issued unless the constitutional rights of all of the citizens are guaranteed before these bonds are issued. At this time, representing the Baptist Ministers Union as well as the consumers and also Operation CAP, which means Cease Adjustment Payments. We are asking that the City Council would cooperate, first of all that they would not approve the issuing of bonds at this time because of the safeguard not being dealt into the proposed sale of the bonds by the City Public Service Board of Trustees. That the Board as of now is operating outside of the, it is not properly constituted and certainly all of the people of the community are not being represented. We do not have the input nor do we have the expertise passed on to the Board by the Black community.

So, this is, Madam Cockrell, and to the Council members, we would like to read that you would deny the application or deny the request for the issuance of \$60 million worth of bonds to the City Public Service Board at this time until such time as the City Public Service Board of Trustees has restructured to eliminate self-perpetuation and Black representation provisions are provided in the sale of the bonds and, too, until the City Public Service Board and the Council has ended fuel adjustment payments to Lo-Vaca Coastal States Gas Company. Now, if no agreement can be made now on the above terms then we have no alternative but to proceed with Christian action to disrupt the system that is denying the people of their inalienable rights. Madam Cockrell, this is the request coming from our organization.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, fine. Let me just give you some comments, Reverend Byrd. First of all, I will tell you as honestly and sincerely as I know how, I think holding up the money to proceed with the coal plants and the nuclear plant is the best way to keep high utility rates. I think that it would be an absolute shame for the Council to withhold the funds that are necessary for City Public Service to complete those coal plants and to move forward with the nuclear plants. The reason I say that is that from all indications we have the cost of energy that is generated through coal is going to be less than what our present expectations of natural gas are expected to be. The best estimates we can make of nuclear again are that it offers at least some hope of a lower cost for the generation of electricity. Now, you and I and everyone are concerned with the cost of electricity, the cost of our utility bill, and it just honestly does not make a real picture of good business management on the part of the Council for us to deny the opportunity to get these generating plants completed that offer some hope to our citizens.

Now, it is perfectly correct, as you point out, that the City Public Service Board has a self-perpetuating board. As you, I'm sure, know this Council had the opportunity a year ago to insist and to request that there be a new form of indenture developed for the future bonds of the City Public Service called the Junior Lien Bonds. We worked with the City Public Service Board on this issue and in that future indenture which takes effect, when all the present bonds are retired, it does provide for change in the method of selecting the board members. We know that when that comes into effect that will be taken care of. In the interim, under the present legal structure, the City Public Service Board is operating in accordance with its present indenture.

There has been a suit filed, as I understand, you are one of the parties to that suit and certainly it is the right of citizens to pursue their point of view in the courts and I'm sure that you and the other litigants in that case have the perfect right to pursue this in the courts. And if the courts clarify it and determine that there is

something different, well that's fine. But in the meantime, I feel that this Council has the obligation to make every effort to try to provide energy for our citizens that offers some promise of stabilizing the utility bills, And that's what the purpose of this bond issue today is.

REV. BYRD: I would like to ask this question, Madam Mayor, and I would like all the Councilmen to answer. The question to me, what safety precaution, what safety device has been or have been built into guaranteeing the citizens that the cost of coal and the operation of the coal system will not also skyrocket as the use of gas? What safety precautions, you said it would be cheaper. But now, what guarantee do we have, because we have seen in the past that there have been some increase already that were not projected or brought to our attention when this was first started? So what guarantee do we have?

MAYOR COCKRELL: There are no guarantees that I can give you. Very honestly I couldn't possibly make any guarantee. The only thing I can do is to tell you that we know what the price of gas is today. We know how high it is. We know what our contract price of coal is. We know approximately what we will be paying for transportation and all our staff can do is to take all of these figures and make the best projection, the most accurate projection that is available to them. But as for a guarantee, certainly I can't guarantee that the price of gas won't double or triple in the next six months or a year. None of us can guarantee that. Let me ask that we ask though, that we ask Mr. Spruce to designate a member of his staff to speak just to the point of what our projections are. Mr. Poston, would you be the one, just in terms of the fact, how we develop our estimates because Reverend Byrd has brought up the point of looking to the future, how could we have some feel that this offers some hope of stabilizing the price.

June 24, 1976

lm

MR. JESSE POSTON: My name is Jesse Poston with City Public Service. As we have in the past, and I'm sure Mayor Cockrell is alluding to, on several occasions have briefed the Council on various aspects dealing with what would happen and what we project to happen in the future regarding energy costs in view of projected increases in costs of oil and gas and in view of projected costs for coal and nuclear and other systems. It's a known fact that the whole industry in America, the whole electrical industry in America is going over to coal and nuclear. There was a time when it was all going to nuclear but increasing costs of materials, increasing costs of labor were probably the principal reasons why the shift was made slightly in favor of coal in the future and the swing to the nuclear plants declined somewhat. It is a so called known fact among utility people in America and among economists in America and among those in government dealing with the energy picture that the cost of a kilowatt hour produced by coal from now on will be substantially less than the cost of a kilowatt hour from now on produced by natural gas or oil. That is the reason why all utilities in America, San Antonio included, are going on a large scale big swing to coal. Regarding nuclear, Mr. Spruce spoke about the temporary hiatus and Councilman Nielsen raised the question about the uranium contract.

The picture on nuclear is that it will be substantially less than coal, a kilowatt hour of nuclear energy. All the experts in America, all those private companies as well as public companies in America and government officials and I will extend that to worldwide energy people firmly feel that the production of a kilowatt hour by nuclear energy is going to be substantially less than coal. So, the hierarchy of cost will be the most expensive kilowatt hour by gas and oil; the next cheaper will be coal, and the next cheaper from that will be nuclear. The holdup, Councilman Nielsen, and the conclusion of the uranium contract with Westinghouse is that the project is now seeking instead of merely reinstating the ten year supply is we are going after a full requirement supply for the life of the project - twenty five to thirty years.

DR. NIELSEN: You mentioned twenty years earlier...

MR. POSTON: Twenty-five to thirty years. Yes, we have changed and we are in serious negotiation with Westinghouse for them to furnish, not the ten years but a thirty year supply of uranium. That is the reason for the delay. We did tell you we would have something by summer and summer is with us practically. We hope to have something on it very quickly.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine, thank you very much. Yes, Reverend Black.

REVEREND BLACK: I would like to just make a comment here in connection with what has been said. I have the greatest confidence in those persons who administer our utilities and I think they tell us as much as they know at the time they are talking. But the problem is that they are caught up in a situation in which they have no control. They have no way of projecting. We are all being affected by information that can't be projected. Now, this means that we have two problems. One is and I think Reverend Byrd has addressed it, one is, how do we have input at the level of decision-making with a Board that tends to select those persons that are not identified with the small consumer? Now, I recognize the Mayor is our representative there. We appreciate what she seeks to do. But we are talking about beyond the elected official and we are talking about a procedure in connection with that Board that tends to give some way input into the policies

that are projected by that Board. There is nothing going on in this community that is designed to give any relief to the consumer at the level of utilities. Nothing.

Now, this, of course, frustrates the situation and leads the community to actions that it does not want to take but is compelled to take in order to push us into a position of real substantive action to bring about relief. Maybe it won't come only at a national level. I don't know. Possibly, that's the only answer, at a national level. Maybe we can't do it at local level. I do not know. But I simply know that you have to do what you have to do at the level that you can act until you can act otherwise.

May I also say that while we cannot project, while we are attempting to project that it will be cheaper, we are doing this with a history that said it will also be cheaper now. And it is not cheaper which means that the creditability of anyone who says it is going to be cheaper is greatly jeopardized by the history, greatly undermined by the past, makes it extremely difficult to believe them because of what has happened in the past.

In addition to that we are faced with experts and we have experts on both sides and here, again, the citizen has to take a position. Now, I have taken the position in this matter. I have taken the position that the risk associated with the reactors is too grave a risk to impose upon this community and I began this vote in the last Council and I shall continue until I have been impressed with the fact that the risk is not, does not jeopardize the future in connection...

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine. Reverend Byrd, let me just ask you one question. Do you really want us to stay on natural gas and not go to coal?

REVEREND BYRD: All I am saying now, Madam Mayor, and to this Council is that there would be no expending of any funds, that is committing the consumer, whom Reverend Black is talking about. If you issue or create an ordinance issuing to the City Public Service Board, which we will continue to say is illegally constituted until the court decides, then you are committing the fund that is hard earned dollars from this consumer who has no voice, no representation on the City Public Service Board. Now, for that reason may I say it, Mayor, for that reason I am saying that you would not authorize the issuance of any bonds until the structure had been changed. You have, that is the Council...

MAYOR COCKRELL: In other words, you have filed a suit but instead you want the Council to use this as a weapon to keep the coal plant from being built? Is that right?

REVEREND BYRD: I am not discussing the suit. I am simply saying that these people are asking money from me, personally, because I pay taxes in this City, asking money from me to operate a business that they say belongs to me, that is the public, and I have no voice. Now, this is taxation without representation, and I can't see a Board, I can't see the City Council going along with this kind of thinking. Now, you have the right, you have the obligation to deal with me. I am not saying not to issue the bonds, I am saying that if you issue them, make sure that the structure has been changed so that community will have, you have it right here.

MAYOR COCKRELL: We can't do it legally at this time, unless the suit is won.

REVEREND BYRD: I am not talking about the suit. I am talking about your...

MAYOR COCKRELL: I am talking about the legal ability of the City to act.

REVEREND BYRD: I am saying that the City Council has authority to issue bonds with certain provisions. If this isn't correct....

DR. NIELSEN: No, no, Reverend Byrd, that's not true. We cannot impose anything other than what is in the indenture.

REVEREND BYRD: You mean the new bonds, the \$60 million?

DR. NIELSEN: No, no, these all fall under the junior lien indenture series which started last year, okay. I don't remember the dates. They have to fall within that criteria. Now, either through the courts, or I think it would only have to be through the courts, if that question is finally resolved in '83 or whenever after the old series are past. Now, the question has been raised '82, '83 or whatever it is, that should there be a judication or a resolving of that issue is self-perpetuation right now. Now, I would hope, however, that just not interfere in the ongoing decisions which began a long time ago in terms of energy needs, and resource development, I hope that would not become a major issue at this point. Now, let me say why.

Reverend Byrd, to try to partial answer your question earlier about, you know, any guarantees. No, there is no guarantee. The closest thing we can come, however, to any sort of a guarantee of lower or at least a utility rates that don't skyrocket like they have in the last several years at least is to have a multiplicity of energy sources at hand. The future is so uncertain that costs are uncertain and if we don't have some fall back positions in terms of even the best projection as far as creating the energy that we need, we are really going to be behind the eight ball and that will cost us a great deal more in the long run. That's all I can say in response to your question of, you know, some guarantee. We can't depend on one source only, okay?

REVEREND BYRD: I know you didn't have any guarantee when I asked the question. I just wanted to find out if you were going...

DR. NIELSEN: Well, I understand that.

REVEREND BYRD: I knew you didn't have a guarantee.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Sure, right, Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Mayor Cockrell, I'd like to call the question, please.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, I have had one other citizen request to speak and that is Helen Walter.

REVEREND BYRD: I just wanted to ask one question before I...

MAYOR COCKRELL: I am sorry, you have used up about ten minutes, we have given an extension sir, already. Thank you. Mrs. Walter.

MRS. HELEN WALTER: Thank you. My name is Helen R. Walter and I live at 5286 Round Table Drive. I am sorry I arrive too late to get my name on the agenda. We have hashed over nuclear. We have hashed over this and we have hashed over that, but we have so little information involving the coal company that they have negotiated a contract

June 24, 1976

img

-18-

with. I would like to bring this question to you. How well informed are you with the background and the people involved and Sun Oil Coal Company, Sun Oil Company, Sun Oil Coal, whatever you want to call it? Let us be sure that when we spend the amount of money or City Public Service is spending a great deal of money, you know, building coal cars, if you go to their meetings, you will find out these things. It's a tremendous sum. How sure are we that we are not going to get involved in another Alamo Gas situation on the coal situation? How thorough has the background of this contract been investigated? And I ask you, I realize we have to go to coal. We can't just involve ourselves in nuclear or gas. I got a bill yesterday of \$105.00. I am in an all electric home. I sit there at 82 degrees. I sit there without electricity air conditioning. But I must cook with electricity. I must wash with it, I must dry clothes with it, refrigeration. That was for a little over 2,000 kilowatt hours incidentally. And that involved my coal, or my electric and gas bill. So, I am well aware that the need is here. I have made appeals in Public Service Board meetings that somewhere we get together and try to get the FPC regulations unified for one and all, all over this United States and that would be the one thing that would lower our bills.

If my relatives in Nebraska would be paying 60 cents or under a thousand cubic feet and I'm paying \$2.00 approximately a thousand cubic feet, it really hurts. It really does, but I just wanted to come up here to say I would like to see you investigate completely your coal contract, make sure that there is not a situation where some people are involved in this that shouldn't be, some in here to make money off of the rate payers. Be sure when you negotiate money and sell bonds for coal that you know actually what you are involving yourself in. And I thank you for your time.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you. Mr. Granata, may I ask. It was my recollection that we had asked our consultants to review the coal contract at one time and isn't that correct that we have had that reviewed?

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: Yes Madam, O'Brien and Gere. That's correct.

MAYOR COCKRELL: O'Brien and Gere has made a review, and Mrs. Walter, I'll be happy to see that that's made available for you to look at.

DR. NIELSEN: And also, Madam Mayor, they at the same time hired another engineering firm. I can't remember the name of it, took some look at some of these aspects of the coal contract also. I'm sorry I've forgotten the name of that firm also.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Fine. All right, now the, what is pending is the motion to postpone one week. I would have to advise the City Council, I hope you will vote against it. I feel that this is just most important. It would be better for it to go ahead and pass today. The City Attorney has advised that on the point of the pending suit that it does not affect the provision insofar as the sale of the bonds, that whatever merits or demerits or whatever in connection with the suit, per se, it does not affect the ability of the Council to pass the ordinance today, and he has so stated. Clerk will call the roll. If you vote for, you're voting for a week's postponement. If you vote against, then the motion will be pending to approve the bonds today. Clerk will call the roll.

REVEREND BLACK: No.

MR. HARTMAN: I'm voting for the motion to postpone and again my reason is very simply the fact that I want to have the opportunity to

June 24, 1976

img

study the full ramifications of the suit whereas the City Attorney has indicated in the broad context that there would be no problem. He cannot anticipate the questions that I would ask in an executive session with regard to the suit. Therefore, I would vote for postponement.

MR. ROHDE: My answer is yes for postponement for the following reasons. We've heard forty minutes of debate. We didn't discuss the issue. We have a lawsuit here involved. We have not been served a copy of the suit. The City Attorney has not received a copy and each Council member hasn't received a copy. We might be enjoined even from voting for this thing and we don't know it.

CITY ATTORNEY PARKER: We're not enjoined Mr. Rohde.

MR. TENIENTE: No.

DR. NIELSEN: No.

MAYOR COCKRELL: No.

MR. PYNDUS: No.

MR. BILLA: No.

MR. CISNEROS: No.

MAYOR COCKRELL: The motion for postponement has failed. Clerk will call the motion pending that would approve the bonds.

DR. CISNEROS: Yes.

REVEREND BLACK: No.

MR. HARTMAN: Abstain.

MR. ROHDE: Abstain.

MR. TENIENTE: Yes.

DR. NIELSEN: Abstain, for the reasons discussed. First notice and because we still do not have the law firm to develop a contract.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes.

MR. PYNDUS: Yes.

MR. BILLA: Yes.

CITY CLERK: Mayor Cockrell, we have five aye votes.

MAYOR COCKRELL: There are five aye votes. That means that it will take effect in ten days. Thank you. We then go to the next item.

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: Black; ABSENT: None; ABSTAIN: Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,798

BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, APPROVING THE "OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE" AND "OFFICIAL STATEMENT" PREPARED IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF THE PROPOSED \$60,000,000 "CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS REVENUE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, NEW SERIES 1976-A"; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF SAID DOCUMENTS AND THE PUBLICATION OF SAID "OFFICIAL NOTICE OF SALE"; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

* * * *

76-30 ZONING CASE 6401 - to rezone a 2.615 acre tract of land out of NCB 14890, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 5600 Block of U.T.S.A. Blvd., from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District, located on the south side of U.T.S.A. Blvd., being 379.21' southwest of the intersection of I. H. 10 Expressway and U.T.S.A. Blvd., having 547.78' on U.T.S.A. Blvd. and a maximum depth of 205.12'.

Mayor Cockrell announced that this is the continuation of the discussion of Zoning Case 6401.

Dr. Cisneros made a report, a copy of which is on file with the papers of this meeting, on suggested interim standards to be applied to rezoning applications over the Edwards Aquifer or its drainage area. Dr. Cisneros pointed out that the major problem which has not been resolved is that of storm water run-off. This problem is being addressed by his committee.

Dr. Cisneros' report recommended that the City of San Antonio pass an ordinance establishing a water pollution abatement program in accordance with Section 21.357 of the Texas Water Quality Act. That program would encompass the following elements:

1. That applicants for zoning changes for property located over the recharge zone or related areas within the City limits or in the City's extraterritorial jurisdiction be required to submit a certified engineering report to include:
 - a. an on-site survey of property to determine possible recharge or sensitive areas on the site, its relation to possible recharge or sensitive areas nearby, and the depth of topsoil on the site.
 - b. an on-site survey defining the contours of the land, its slope and drainage characteristics on the site as well as likely drainage flows from the site.
 - c. particular note should be given to flow characteristics of storm water run-off from the site and its destination relative to nearby recharge or sensitive areas.
 - d. a report on the relationship of the site to the TWQB well monitoring program; i.e., whether wells are actually on the site or, if not, where the closest wells are located.

The report should be prepared by a registered engineer and may be rechecked by the City's Aquifer Protection Office. It should be verified against information held by the Edward's district and USGS.

2. Increase in platting fees will be required for all properties within the scope of the pollution abatement program in order to pro-rate the costs of augmenting the City's Aquifer Protection Office.
3. It is recommended that an intensive anti-pollution municipal street sweeping operation be developed, costs of which would be charged on a monthly cost of service basis to properties in the program's area.
4. The Director of Public Works should develop a surface water monitoring system first flush analysis in the area.
5. It is recommended that the Public Works director work with officials of the Metropolitan Health District to develop solid waste standards in the ETJ.
6. That zoning uses prohibited in the City's zoning overlay be prohibited in the ETJ through the platting provisions of the abatement program.

After reading his recommendations, Dr. Cisneros said that his unofficial committee had gone about as far as it could go and was at the point where Council decisions had to be made.

Dr. Cisneros pointed out and other Council members agreed with him that Mr. Dempsey had complied with every request that had been made of him and had voluntarily offered to construct a holding pond. In the face of all this, however, he said that he could not in good conscience vote for approval of the rezoning until such time as firm rules and regulations for the aquifer were adopted.

Members of the Council thanked Dr. Cisneros for his fine report.

Dr. Nielsen asked that the City Manager and his staff begin to address the urban water run-off problem within the City's legal rights.

Brother Fred Gelhard, representing Communities Organized for Public Service, asked that they have an opportunity to study Dr. Cisneros' final report before the Council takes action on Case 6401.

Mr. Lanny Sinkin stated that the Aquifer Protection Agency cannot endorse interim standards as presented by Councilman Cisneros until the Metcalf and Eddy study is completed. He again urged for a moratorium on all zoning changes in the aquifer area. He also spoke against the rezoning of Case 6401. He mentioned that there is no assurance that the holding pond that is being proposed will even work.

Mr. Charles Dempsey, representing the applicant, had no comment.

Mayor Cockrell suggested that the holding pond approved previously by the City Council and that are under consideration this morning could be utilized and studied through the Metropolitan Health District.

In response to a question by Mr. Pyndus, City Attorney Parker stated that this case could not be continued for another two weeks. It would have to be re-advertised, and it would be another month before it would come before the City Council again.

After consideration, Dr. Nielsen made a motion that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved and the rezoning be granted, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Billa seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Billa, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: Black; ABSTAIN: Pyndus, Cisneros; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 46,799

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 2.615 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14890, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 5600 BLOCK OF U.T.S.A. BLVD., FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

Mayor Cockrell with the Council's consensus, asked that Dr. Cisneros' report be forwarded to the staff with instructions to develop it in the proper form for Council action. She also asked for recommendations on the monitoring of the holding ponds.

76-30 The meeting recessed for lunch at 12:00 Noon and reconvened at 1:45 P. M.

76-30 CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

I.M.A.G.E. OF SAN ANTONIO

Mr. Tomas Aznar, Youth Entrepreneurship Program Coordinator for IMAGE of San Antonio, appeared to speak before the Council on the allegations brought forth on IMAGE of San Antonio. He urged the City Council to take definite action to either convict or exonerate IMAGE of all of the charges brought against it at the Seventh Entitlement Period Revenue Sharing Hearing. Their present funding expires on June 30, 1976, and he asked the City Council to consider re-funding this worthwhile program.

Also speaking in support of the re-funding were:

Mr. Alex Alcocer
Mr. Tom Bartley
Mr. Juan Hernandez
Mr. Tony Lopez
Mr. Jesse Salazar

After discussion of the matter, Mayor Cockrell appointed a sub-committee composed of Councilmen Billa, Cisneros, and Teniente to meet with the Monitoring and Evaluation staff and representatives of IMAGE and go over the charges point by point and see if the issues can be resolved.

Rev. Black asked that a report on the processes used in evaluating programs also be prepared.

It was announced that a meeting will be held Monday, June 28, 1976 at 8:00 A. M. so that interested persons might attend.

MRS. HAROLD DUNN

Mrs. Harold Dunn, President of the San Antonio PART (Parents Association for the Retarded of Texas) read a prepared statement. She stated that San Antonio is the only major City in Texas without a State School for the Retarded. During the last legislature, a bill was passed for a State School in San Antonio, using the empty available dorms at the Chest Hospital, adjacent to the State Hospital. She asked the City Council to pass a Resolution in support of the funding of the San Antonio State School, and a copy be sent to all Bexar County Legislators and the Chamber of Commerce.

Mayor Cockrell stated that she is familiar with the Chest Hospital and this facility could be easily converted into such a State School. Mayor Cockrell said that Mrs. Dunn's request will be referred to the staff for study and preparation of a resolution.

MR. PRESSLY SHAFER, III

Mr. Pressly Shafer, III, representing the Plumbing, Heating and Cooling Contractors of San Antonio, said that a provision exists for a Plumbing Appeals Board but no members have ever been appointed.

Mr. George Vann, Director of Building and Zoning, said that his office has received recommendations for members from different organizations and they have been referred to the City Manager's office.

Mayor Cockrell stated that this item will be placed on the agenda so that it may be considered in Executive Session.

MR. CARLOS "CHARLIE" MATA

Mr. Carlos "Charlie" Mata spoke of the need for a traffic signal light at the intersection of West Commerce and Calaveras Streets. He described the businesses in the area and the heavy traffic especially because of the Food Stamp Office located on W. Commerce. Mr. Mata said he had spoken to the Traffic and Transportation Department, and they told him they are still waiting for the police reports.

Councilman Teniente said he is very familiar with this area and described the businesses located at the corners of this intersection.

City Manager Granata stated that he would check with Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, and get with a report as soon as possible.

MR. FREDDIE GARCIA

Mr. Freddie Garcia, Executive Director of the Victory Outreach Program, distributed invitations to the Members of the Council inviting them to attend a stage play, a drama about drug addicts, to be held at the Municipal Auditorium on July 10, 1976 at 7:00 P. M.

Mayor Cockrell thanked him for the invitation.

MS. SARAH JANE WARREN

Ms. Sarah Jane Warren, a member of the Project Free Board of Directors, invited Council Members to their Fifth Annual Picnic to be held on June 25, 1976. The purpose of this picnic is to raise money for Project Free's Meals on Wheels Program. Ms. Warren then distributed complimentary tickets to the Council.

Mayor Cockrell thanked Ms. Warren for the invitation.

MRS. JOYCE RICHARDSON

Mrs. Joyce Richardson asked the City Council to change the standardized program at Lincoln Park. She also mentioned an incident at Lincoln Park involving a Park Ranger.

Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, stated that he will personally contact Mrs. Richardson on her suggestions for improving the program at Lincoln Park. As for the matter with the Park Ranger, a report is forthcoming and is in the process of being referred to the Personnel Department.

76-30 The "A" Session was recessed at 2:50 P. M. to go into "B" Session and was reconvened at 3:45 P. M.

76-30 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Cisneros, Teniente.

AN ORDINANCE 46,800

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE
A LEASE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND
ALLAN HALL D/B/A CARGO CATTLE COMPANY
FOR THE LEASE OF 216 ACRES AT SAN ANTONIO
INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,801

PERMITTING A FIREWORKS DISPLAY AT PLAYLAND
PARK ON JULY 4, 1976.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,802

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF THE SUM OF \$5,694.52
TO THE CONTINENTAL PLAZA HOTEL TO COVER
EXPENSES FOR A MEXICAN FIESTA HOSTED BY
THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,803

AUTHORIZING FREE USE OF THE MUNICIPAL
AUDITORIUM BASEMENT BY THE CENTRAL INDEX
OF SAN ANTONIO FOR THE PERIOD OF OCTOBER
12 THROUGH DECEMBER 23, 1976.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,804

AMENDING ORDINANCE 45805; EXTENDING THE TERM
OF MEMBERS OF THE ALAMO MANPOWER PLANNING
COUNCIL; AND APPOINTING CERTAIN PERSONS AS
MEMBERS OF SUCH COUNCIL TO REPLACE PERSONS
PREVIOUSLY APPOINTED. (MS. CONNIE VALDEZ TO
REPLACE HENRY VALDEZ; REV. L. V. HARVEY TO
REPLACE ROBERT CANALES; ALLEN KLAUS TO REPLACE
DR. ROBERT L. REYES.)

* * * *

76-30 Mayor Cockrell was obliged to leave the meeting and Mayor Pro-Tem Al Rohde presided.

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Bob Hunter, Acting Director of Planning, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hartman, seconded by Dr. Nielsen, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Cisneros, Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,805

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE ALAMO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS FOR PERFORMING CERTAIN POPULATION, LAND USE AND EMPLOYMENT PROJECTIONS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREPARATION BY AACOG OF AN AREA WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN AND ESTABLISHING FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Police Chief Emil Peters, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Mr. Hartman, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Cisneros, Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,806

AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION FOR A GRANT OF \$40,000.00 TO BE USED TO UPDATE ARREST INFORMATION IN THE COMPUTER.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Police Chief Emil Peters, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Cisneros, Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,807

AUTHORIZING EXTENSION OF A CONTRACT WITH THE CITY WATER BOARD FOR COMPUTER PROGRAM SERVICE IN CONNECTION WITH THE COMPUTER ASSISTED DISPATCHING SYSTEM.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Dr. C. N. Rothe, Acting Director of the San Antonio Metropolitan Health District, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Hartman, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Cisneros, Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,808

ACCEPTING A GRANT OF \$100,849.00 FROM THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE OF THE U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE FOR OPERATION OF AN INFLUENZA IMMUNIZATION PROGRAM, ESTABLISHING A FUND AND ACCOUNTS AND ADOPTING A BUDGET FOR EXPENDITURE OF THE FUNDS.

* * * *

76-30

DR. C. N. ROTHE

City Manager Granata introduced Dr. C. N. Rothe, new Acting Director of the San Antonio Metropolitan Health District.

Mayor Pro-Tem Rohde welcomed him.

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,809

AUTHORIZING THE SUM OF \$150,700.00 TO BE PAID OUT OF FUND #63-052007, FOR TITLE TO CERTAIN LANDS TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE VALLEY HI COMMUNITY PARK SITE.

* * * *

76-30

SUNSET HILLS SWIMMING POOL

Councilman Teniente stated that there is a need to expand park facilities in the northwest area. He mentioned a proposal he had received in the mail of the Sunset Hills Swim Club, a private club that has been put on the market for \$81,000. It is in the northwest-northside area and in a school district that has no City park.

City Manager Granata stated that the matter will be referred to the Parks Department for study.

76-30 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,810

AWARDING CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ON "J" STREET PARK, MEADOWCLIFF GYMNASIUM, SUTTON HOMES RECREATIONAL FACILITY, SAN JUAN-BRADY GARDENS RECREATIONAL FACILITY, PITTMAN-SULLIVAN PARK, AND LINDBERG PARK ADDITIONS AND RENOVATIONS; APPROVING REVISED BUDGETS FOR SAID PROJECTS; AND AUTHORIZING REPROGRAMMING OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS TO COVER COSTS OF SAID PROJECTS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,811

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF J. R. RAMON & SONS, INC. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$45,443.43 FOR CONSTRUCTION OF ROYALGATE PARK IMPROVEMENTS; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT; APPROPRIATING FUNDS; AUTHORIZING PAYMENT AND PROVIDING FOR A CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT.

* * * *

June 24, 1976
el

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,812

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH
THE STATE OF TEXAS FOR EXTENSION OF THE SAN
ANTONIO ENERGY CONSERVATION PROGRAM.

* * * *

Mr. John Miller, Assistant Director of Traffic and Transportation, explained that this ordinance provides for a two month extension of the San Antonio Energy Conservation Program in order to bring it in line with the State Fiscal year.

In response to Mr. Pyndus, Mr. Miller stated that the present funding of this program is \$158,000 for this year. Mr. Miller then explained how this program works. He also said that no City monies are used; it is completely funded by the federal government.

Mr. Pyndus asked for a review and progress report of this project.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Teniente, seconded by Dr. Nielsen, the ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Billa, Cisneros, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: Pyndus; ABSENT: Black, Hartman, Cockrell.

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Clyde McCollough, Director of Personnel, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Mr. Teniente, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,813

ALLOWING CERTAIN EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY WHO
HAVE TERMINATED PREVIOUS MEMBERSHIPS IN
TEXAS MUNICIPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM, TO DEPOSIT
THE SUMS SO WITHDRAWN, PLUS ANNUAL WITHDRAWAL
CHARGES, AND ALLOWING AND UNDERTAKING THE COST
OF ALLOWING ANY SUCH EMPLOYEE CREDIT IN SUCH
SYSTEM FOR ALL SERVICES TO WHICH SUCH EMPLOYEE
HAD BEEN ENTITLED AT DATE OF SUCH WITHDRAWAL,
WITH LIKE EFFECT AS IF ALL SUCH SERVICE HAD
BEEN PERFORMED AS AN EMPLOYEE OF THIS CITY;
AND PROVIDING SUCH AUTHORIZATION DOES NOT
EFFECT CITY LONGEVITY PAY PROVISIONS.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Carl White, Finance Director, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hartman, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,814

AWARDING DEPOSITORY CONTRACTS FOR CITY FUNDS.

* * * *

The bids of Alamo Savings Association(\$2,000,000.00), Groos National Bank(\$8,000,000), and National Bank of Fort Sam Houston (\$6,000,000) to act as City depositories for time deposits for various terms are hereby accepted.

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Carl White, Director of Finance, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Teniente, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hartman, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,815

AUTHORIZING WRITE-OFFS OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE TOTALING \$6,192.00 FOR SERVICES RENDERED BY THE CITY EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION, FIRE DEPARTMENT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE AMBULANCE REVIEW COMMITTEE.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hartman, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,816

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH THE MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY COVERING CONSTRUCTION OF THE PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS ACROSS THE RAILROAD TRACKS AT ZARZAMORA AND FRIO CITY ROAD.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Jim Parker, City Attorney, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,817

APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF THIRTY-TWO THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED SIXTY-NINE AND NO/100 DOLLARS OUT OF THE TWENTY-FOURTH STREET PROJECT, FUND NO. 41-002004, INDEX NO. 508,523, IN SATISFACTION OF THE AWARD OF SPECIAL COMMISSIONERS AND COURT COSTS IN CONDEMNATION CAUSE NO. C-1309 AND DIRECTING PAYMENT BE MADE TO THE COUNTY CLERK OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Bob Fisher, Administrative Assistant, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,818

REVISING THE AGREEMENT WITH THE ARTS COUNCIL OF SAN ANTONIO, INC., FOR PERFORMING RESEARCH AND CONSULTANT SERVICES RELATIVE TO THE ARTS IN SAN ANTONIO SO AS TO REVISE THE TERM AND METHOD OF PAYMENT.

* * * *

76-30 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,819

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF KIRK PLASTIC COMPANY, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH PLASTIC NAME BADGES FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$5,990.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,820

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH REPUBLIC NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AMENDING THE CURRENT CONTRACT TO INCREASE RATES FOR HEALTH INSURANCE EFFECTIVE AUGUST 1, 1976.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,821

APPROVING THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE CURRENT CONTRACT FOR PROPANE FROM AMOCO OIL COMPANY TO HNG PROPANE COMPANY.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,822

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF AUDIO VISUAL CORPORATION TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH 16MM SOUND PROJECTORS FOR A TOTAL OF \$4,150.00, LESS 1% - 30 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,823

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF BAKER AND TAYLOR TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH FILMSTRIP PROJECTORS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$6,930.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,824

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF TRANS-TRONICS, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH LOOP DETECTOR AMPLIFIERS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$6,078.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,825

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF CROUSE-HINDS COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH TRAFFIC SIGNAL HEADS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$5,467.00.

* * * *

76-30 Mayor Cockrell returned to the meeting and presided.

76-30 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,826

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF MOTOROLA, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH PAGING EQUIPMENT FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$15,885.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,827

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF AMERICAN SEATING COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH OUTDOOR SEATING STANDARDS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$10,350.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,828

ACCEPTING THE BIDS OF VARIOUS COMPANIES TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH VARIOUS SUPPLIES AND SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1976-77 AND ACCEPTING CERTAIN BIDS FOR LONGER PERIODS.

* * * *

76-30 The Clerk read the following letter:

June 18, 1976

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Antonio, Texas

Madam and Gentlemen:

The following petitions were received in my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

June 18, 1976

Petition submitted by Mr. Jerry D. Allen, in behalf of Mission Nurseries, Inc., 12823 Nacogdoches Road, requesting permission to construct an eight foot (8') redwood fence along the front of the property situated at 12823 Nacogdoches Road.

June 18, 1976

Petition submitted through Councilman Al Rohde's office by Mr. Manuel A. Anaya, 4938 Benham Drive and signed by other residents concerning a health and sanitation problem in the Benham Drive area.

/s/ G. V. JACKSON, JR.
City Clerk

* * * *

There being no further business to come before the Council,
the meeting adjourned at 4:30 P. M.

A P P R O V E D

Lela Cockull

M A Y O R

ATTEST:

G. J. Jackson Jr.
C i t y C l e r k

June 24, 1976
el

-32-