

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON  
THURSDAY, JUNE 25, 1981.

\* \* \* \*

The meeting was called to order at 1:00 P.M. by the presiding Officer, Mayor Henry Cisneros, with the following members present: BERRIOZABAL, WEBB, DUTMER, WING, EURESTE, THOMPSON, ALDERETE, CANAVAN, ARCHER, HASSLOCHER, CISNEROS; Absent: NONE.

- - -  
81-33 The invocation was given by Reverend Guadalupe Fonseca, South Zarzamora Baptist Church.

- - -  
81-33 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States.

- - -  
81-33                    SOUTH SAN ANTONIO WEST CAMPUS BASEBALL CHAMPIONS

Mayor Cisneros read the following Citation:

IN RECOGNITION OF THE DEDICATION, DETERMINATION AND SPORTSMANSHIP EXHIBITED BY THE TALENTED WEST CAMPUS COUGARS, BY WINNING THE STATE CHAMPIONSHIP IN CLASS AAAA BASEBALL WITH A RECORD OF 30 WINS AND ONLY 2 LOSSES, THEY HAVE BROUGHT MUCH CREDIT AND RECOGNITION TO THEIR SCHOOL AND TO OUR CITY.

THE CITY COUNCIL PROUDLY COMMENDS THE SOUTH SAN ANTONIO WEST CAMPUS COUGARS FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING ACHIEVEMENTS IN BRINGING A MAJOR SPORT STATE CHAMPIONSHIP TO SAN ANTONIO.

\* \* \* \*

Mayor Cisneros on behalf of the Councilmembers congratulated the school and team for bringing a State Championship in baseball to San Antonio this year and asked Mr. Thompson to join him in presenting the Citation to the team and its coaches, who were in attendance in the Council Chambers.

- - -  
81-33                    UNITED STATES CATHOLIC CONFERENCE

Mayor Cisneros spoke to the many good works performed by the United States Catholic Conference and asked representatives of the group attending the Council meeting to stand and be recognized, welcoming them to San Antonio.

- - -  
8133 The minutes of the regular meeting of June 4, 1981, June 11, 1981 and the special meetings of June 11, were approved.

- - -  
81-33 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,924

AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF CITY OF SAN

June 25, 1981  
mb

ANTONIO AIRPORT SYSTEM REVENUE BONDS,  
SERIES, 1981.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Thompson moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Alderete seconded the motion.

Mr. Carl White, Finance Director, spoke of the bids received and explained that the bonds have a three-year call, meaning that the City can pay off the bonds after three years of waiting until each matures; a savings of some three million dollars in interest, if the City can pay off the bonds at a good interest rate after three years. He further explained that the debt service for the first three years of the bonds is included in their amounts.

In response to a question by Mr. Webb, Mr. White spoke to the unusual nature of selling these bonds, and explained this has only been tried once before, and that by the Tucson Arizona Airport.

Mr. Webb commended Mr. White for trying to save the City some seven million dollars in interest.

In response to a question by Mr. Thompson, Mr. White spoke to the debt service on the bonds and the advantages of selling them this way. He further noted that the bonds will be paid for out of airport revenues.

After discussion, the motion to approve prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Dutmer, Hasslocher.

- - -  
8133 Mayor Cisneros asked for Council concurrence to take up consideration of agenda Item 48 out of sequence, once the Consent Agenda is completed.

- - -  
81-33    CONSENT AGENDA

Mr. Archer moved that items 5-29 constituting the consent agenda be approved with the exception of items 11, 15, 16, and 20 to be considered individually. Mr. Webb seconded the motion.

On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinances prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Dutmer, Hasslocher.

AN ORDINANCE 53,925

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF CHEMICAL & TURF SPECIALTY CO. INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH HERBICIDES FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$3,147.00.

AN ORDINANCE 53,926

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF THE PARK DAVIS DIVISION OF THE WARNER LAMBERT CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH INFLUENZA VIRUS VACCINE.

\* \* \* \*

June 25, 1981

mb

AN ORDINANCE 53,927

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF MUMFORD LIBRARY BOOK SALES TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH LIBRARY BOOKS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$4,655.73.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,928

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF AMERICAN CLAY FORMING PLANT TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH REFLECTORIZED TRAFFIC BUTTONS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$5,420.00.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,929

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF MAIN LINE BOOK COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH LIBRARY BOOKS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$7,719.52.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,930

ACCEPTING THE BID OF TEXAS FIRE FIGHTERS EQUIPMENT CO. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH A RESCUE SYSTEM FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$7,898.44.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,931

EXTENDING THE CURRENT ANNUAL CONTRACT WITH GUESS TOWEL & UNIFORM SUPPLY FOR EMPLOYEE UNIFORM SERVICE.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,932

ACCEPTING THE LOW BIDS OF VARIOUS COMPANIES TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH VARIOUS SUPPLIES AND SERVICES FOR THE CITY'S INTERIM BUDGET PERIOD AND THE 1981-1982 FISCAL YEAR.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,933

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH BOLNER-RONNFELDT CONSULTING ENGINEERS FOR THE SALADO WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT IRRIGATION PROJECT; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS FROM FUND 52.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,934

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF FIELD ALTERATION NO. 2 IN THE SUM OF \$47,050.94 TO THE CONTRACT FOR INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

ROADWAYS AND SURFACE PARKING; APPROPRIATING SAID SUM OUT OF FUND 51; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,935

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF D.D.W. CONSTRUCTION CO. FOR THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TERMINAL SITE PREPARATION IN THE SUM OF \$782,224.42; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT; APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$825,000; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,936 .

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL FROM RABA-KISTNER CONSULTANTS, INC., TO PROVIDE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL COOLING PLANT FOR THE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TERMINAL AREA EXPANSION PROGRAM.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,937

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION FOR THE INSTALLATION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF A LEAD-IN LIGHT SYSTEM (LDIN) RUNWAY 12L, SAN ANTONIO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,938

APPROPRIATING FROM CERTAIN FUNDS AMOUNTS IN THE TOTAL SUM OF \$2,901.00 IN PAYMENT FOR EXPENSES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH SAN ANTONIO WASTEWATER FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS, DOS RIOS PLANT, ALSBROOK LIFT STATION ABANDONMENT AND BRITTANIA SQUARE SUBDIVISION OFF-SITE SEWER MAIN.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53, 939

AUTHORIZING EXPENDITURE OF THE SUM OF \$124,900.00 OUT OF VARIOUS FUNDS FOR THE PURPOSE OF ACQUIRING TITLE AND/OR EASEMENTS TO CERTAIN LANDS; ALL TO BE USED IN CONNECTION WITH CERTAIN RIGHT-OF-WAY PROJECTS.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,940

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT PROVIDING FOR THE LEASE OF SPACE IN THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE CITY TO BE USED FOR THE YOUTH SERVICES PROJECT -- SOUTH SIDE CENTER.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,941

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF REFUNDS TO PERSONS MAKING OVERPAYMENTS OR DOUBLE PAYMENTS ON (95) TAX ACCOUNTS.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,942

AUTHORIZING RENEWAL OF COMPREHENSIVE AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY INSURANCE COVERING THE CITY VEHICLES USED IN CONNECTION WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE SERVICES DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR SENIOR CITIZENS.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,943

AMENDING CHAPTER 38 (TRAFFIC REGULATIONS) OF THE CITY CODE SETTING FORTH LOCATIONS AT WHICH ELECTRIC TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNALS ARE IN FULL OPERATION; DESIGNATING ONE-WAY STREETS; DESIGNATING STOP SIGN LOCATIONS; DESIGNATING YIELD RIGHT-OF-WAY LOCATIONS; SETTING MAXIMUM SPEED LIMITS ON CERTAIN STREETS; AND PROVIDING THAT VIOLATIONS HEREOF BE PUNISHABLE BY A FINE OF NOT LESS THAN \$1.00 NOR MORE THAN \$200.00.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,944

REVISING THE BUDGET AND PERSONNEL COMPLEMENT FOR THE YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS PROJECT.

\* \* \* \*

8133 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,945

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF DAVIS TRUCK AND EQUIPMENT CO. INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH REFUSE COLLECTION UNITS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$250,000.00.

\* \* \* \*

June 25, 1981  
mb

Mr. Canavan moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Webb seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Alderete, Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing and Central Supply stated that these new truck bodies are replacing 16-year old bodies, and are of the same width as those being replaced.

Mr. Alderete expressed concern with the inability of some refuse vehicles of the City to utilize alleys for pickup purposes.

In response to a question by Mr. Thompson, Mr. Brooks noted that these new bodies would provide for larger payloads and thus fewer trips to the landfill sites.

Mr. Thompson spoke in favor of alley refuse pickup and expressed concern about possible harm to alleys by vehicles with 48,000 pound-load capacities.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, stated that the purchase was a matter of economy, saving fuel by making fewer runs to the landfills.

A brief discussion then ensued relative to the City's purchase policies on refuse vehicles as they relate to continued alley garbage pickup services.

Mr. Kiolbassa stated that some nine percent of the City's residents have alley pickup.

Mr. Eureste stated his concern that this purchase might lock the City into eventual changes in its garbage pickup policies and spoke of his further concern about instances of required overtime work for refuse pickup crews of the City.

After discussion, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Alderete.

81-33 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,946

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF MISSION CONTRACTORS, INC., IN THE SUM OF \$775,032.63 ON THE FILTER BELT PRESS INSTALLATION CONTRACT; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A STANDARD PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACT; APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$852,632.63 OUT OF FUND 52; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT.

\* \* \* \*

Mrs. Dutmer moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Wing seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Thompson, Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, explained the equipment being purchased for the wastewater plant operations and briefly described its function, stating that it can compress some sixty tons of sludge each day, and is in use at many sewage treatment facilities around the nation.

After consideration, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Canavan, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Alderete, Archer.

81-33 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,947

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF MEADER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY IN THE SUM OF \$326,285.59 ON THE DREXEL AREA STREET PROJECT; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A STANDARD PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACT; APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$359,015.59 OUT OF FUND 45; AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS.

\* \* \* \*

Mrs. Dutmer moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Canavan seconded the motion.

Mrs. Berriozabal spoke to recent problems of citizens in her district with a street project constructed by the company recommended for award of this bid, especially in the Saunders-Monterrey-West Commerce Street area.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, stated that the type of work involved in this proposed ordinance is different and the City does not expect problems of this type encountered in the street project mentioned by the Councilmember.

Responding to a question by Mr. Canavan, Mr. Kiolbassa stated that the contractor involved, performed his job in accordance with the plans and specifications approved by the City.

Mr. Canavan then spoke to the problem of contractors being blamed for situations that are not their fault, when in fact, they fully complied with City plans and specifications on the job.

Mr. Webb spoke to instances where problems cropped up after completion of a project, such as standing water in streets and adjacent areas.

Mayor Cisneros spoke to the City being blamed for problems with certain projects, and noted a specific example from District 1. He then stated his belief that the City should require a public meeting to be held in the immediate area of a pending project where the contractor should outline for those attending the details of what was to be accomplished by the project. He further stated his belief that a representative of the contractor should contact persons in the affected area to provide them with details of the project.

Mr. Kiolbassa spoke to efforts of the Public Works Department staff to communicate details of projects to the persons affected in each area.

After discussion, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Eureste, Thompson, Canavan, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Wing, Alderete, Archer.

81-33 The Clerk read a proposed ordinance authorizing the City Manager to execute an agreement with the State Highways and Public Transportation Commission for overlay of portions of Old U.S. 90

June 25, 1981  
mb

West, Brazos Street, Southcross Boulevard, E. Houston Street and Exposition Boulevard, under the 1981-82 Federal Aid Urban System Program.

Mr. Webb moved to approve the ordinance. Mr. Eureste seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Eureste, Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, stated that improvements to a portion of Frio City Road would be part of the next Urban Systems allocation.

A discussion was held relative to details of actions leading up to the approval of the pending Urban Systems projects, during which Mr. Eureste stated his belief that the deletion of the Frio City Road work violates the direction given by Council at a previous work session on the Urban Systems project priorities.

Mayor Cisneros asked Mr. Kiolbassa to report back to the City Council concerning Urban Systems planning details and the question of exclusion of the Frio City Road project from the present allocation.

Mr. Thompson spoke to similar concerns that he has in making changes to approved projects in his district, and the needs of other streets that have become a greater priority than those previously approved for inclusion in the Urban Systems program funding.

Mr. Thompson then moved to postpone consideration of the ordinance for two weeks in order for staff to prepare a response to Mr. Eureste's question relative to exclusion of the Frio City Road project from Urban Systems funding. Mr. Alderete seconded the motion.

Mr. Alderete then asked for a report on what street projects have been addressed, through last year's Federal Aid Urban Systems Program, and how much money is then left in that fund at this time.

Mrs. Dutmer stated that the decision on what projects to include is made by the Metropolitan Planning Organization, not City staff, and outlined how projects are selected for Urban Systems funding.

Following discussion of what might be accomplished in a two-week postponement of the issue, Mr. Thompson stated that the City Council Transportation Committee which he chairs will meet at 11:00 A.M., next Thursday in the "C" Room at City Hall to discuss the entire matter, with City staff input and assistance.

Mr. Canavan stated that Council Districts 8, 9, and 10 together, received less than 10 percent of available Urban Systems funding, but expressed his understanding of Eureste's concern over the omission of the Frio City Road Project.

Mrs. Dutmer spoke to the difficulties of adequate funding for all Council districts.

After discussion, the substitute motion to postpone the proposed ordinance prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: Dutmer; ABSENT: None.

Item 20 was postponed.

81-33

STREET OVERLAY WORK

Mr. Archer spoke to problems with materials used in street overlay work, including tracking of freshly-laid material.

June 25, 1981  
mb

Mr. Kiolbassa explained the seal-coating process used on many streets.

Mayor Cisneros asked Mr. Kiolbassa to provide Mr. Archer with a report on the seal-coating program.

81-33 Mayor Cisneros asked for City Council concurrence to suspend the Council rules and take up consideration of agenda item 48, out of sequence.

81-33 The Clerk read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION  
NO. 81-33-62

AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE  
SAN ANTONIO HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION  
AS THE PUBLIC NON-PROFIT CORPORATION  
FOR THE ISSUANCE OF TAX-EXEMPT REVENUE  
BONDS FOR MODERATE REHABILITATION HOUSING.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Rey Lopez, Department of Economic and Employment Development, explained the request of the San Antonio Housing Authority to establish the San Antonio Housing Finance Corporation in order to issue tax-exempt bonds which would finance housing rehabilitation and reconstruction for low and moderate-income persons.

Mr. Frank Hornsby, Deputy Executive Director of San Antonio Housing Authority, provided further details of the ordinance request.

Mr. Eureste, Chairman of the City Council Housing Task Force stated that the group supports the request.

Mr. Eureste made a motion to approve the ordinance. Mr. Alderete seconded the motion.

Mr. Robert Randolph, San Antonio Housing Authority, explained the legal aspects of the incorporation, and provided information on the type of financing allowed and the uses for funds to be supplied by the corporation, if approved.

Mr. Lopez stated that most of the rehabilitation financing will go to single-family homes.

Mr. Thompson expressed concern that the City was encouraging a 'rent society' at the expense of homeowners, and spoke against the program.

In response to a question by Mrs. Dutmer, Mr. Hornsby addressed the repair of homes damaged by renters after rehabilitation, and which agency is responsible for that repair.

At this point, Mayor Cisneros was obliged to leave the meeting and Councilman Webb presided in the absence of Mayor Pro-Tem Archer.

Mr. Webb stated that most of the homes involved would be owned by persons who otherwise could not afford to rehabilitate them, without the low-interest loan funds.

Mr. Eureste spoke of attacks on the ability of the poor to acquire adequate housing and spoke in favor of the program.

At this point, Mayor Cisneros returned to the meeting and presided.

Mrs. Berriozabal spoke in favor of the program and further spoke about instances in which landlords are not accountable for the upkeep of their rental property.

Mr. Canavan stated that he would vote for the program because he had previously voted in favor of similar issues.

Mr. Randolph stated that the corporation would not have the power to issue bonds to finance single-family home mortgage loans.

Mr. Webb stated that he had understood the corporation would have this power, and expressed concern over this. He spoke in favor of single-family dwelling financing.

Mr. Randolph stated that the City Council gave the authority for single-family funding to the Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation.

After discussion, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Resolution, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Cisneros; NAYS: Dutmer, Archer, Hasslocher; ABSENT: None.

#### 81-33 ZONING HEARINGS

30. CASE 8461 - to rezone Temporary "A" Single Family Residential District to "B-3R" Restrictive Business District and "I-1" Light Industry District, located between Sherri Ann Drive and Randolph Boulevard, being 4305.21' northeast of the intersection of Sherri Ann Drive and Randolph Boulevard, having 276.05' on Sherri Ann Drive, 274.63' on Randolph Boulevard and a maximum distance of 331.1' between these two streets; the "B-3R" being on the northwest 165.55' of Lot 13 and the "I-1" being on the remaining portion of the subject property.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Thompson moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished; that a six foot solid screen fence is erected and maintained on the northwest and the northeast property lines adjacent to single family residences, until such time as the residential property might transition to business or industrial use and further provided that a non-access easement on the northwest property line adjacent to Sherri Ann Drive is imposed, as long as the property affected remains residential. Mr. Wing seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Berriozabal.

#### AN ORDINANCE 53,948

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE  
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING  
ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY  
CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING  
OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS

June 25, 1981

mb

THE NORTHWEST 165.55' OF LOT 13, BLOCK 1, NCB 13801, FROM TEMPORARY "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3R" RESTRICTIVE BUSINESS DISTRICT; LOT 14 AND THE SOUTHEAST 165.55' OF LOT 13, BLOCK 1, NCB 13801, 5169 RANDOLPH BOULEVARD, FROM TEMPORARY "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED; THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED AND MAINTAINED ON THE NORTHWEST AND THE NORTHEAST PROPERTY LINES ADJACENT TO SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCES, UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY MIGHT TRANSITION TO BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL USE AND FURTHER PROVIDED THAT A NON-ACCESS EASEMENT ON THE NORTHWEST PROPERTY LINE ADJACENT TO SHERRI ANN DRIVE IS IMPOSED AS LONG AS THE PROPERTY AFFECTED REMAINS RESIDENTIAL.

\* \* \* \*

31. CASE 8518 - to rezone a 2.8 acre tract of land out of NCB 14591, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, in the 12300 Block of Rio D'Oro Drive, from "B-1" Business District to "B-2" Business District, located on the east side of Rio D'Oro Drive, being 140' southeast of the intersection of Nacogdoches Road and Rio D'Oro Drive, having 83.83' on Rio D'Oro Drive and a maximum depth of 618.4'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Hasslocher moved that the recommendation fo the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected and maintained on the southeast property line adjacent to the single family residential area. Mr. Wing seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Berriozabal.

AN ORDINANCE 53,949

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 2.8 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14591, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, IN THE 12300 BLOCK OF RIO D'ORO DRIVE, FROM "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED AND THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED AND MAINTAINED ON THE SOUTHEAST PROPERTY LINE ADJACENT TO THE SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AREA.

\* \* \* \*

32. CASE 8456 - to rezone Lot 24, Block 5, NCB 6093, 300 Queen Anne Court, from "B" Two Family Residential District to "R-2A" Three and Four Family Residential District, located southeast of the intersection of Bell View Street and Queen Anne Court, having 50' on Queen Anne Court and 125' on Bell View Street.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Webb moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected and maintained on the southeast property lines adjacent to the single family residential area. Wing seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion failed by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Wing, Eureste, Canavan, Cisneros; NAYS: Dutmer, Thompson, Alderete, Archer, Hasslocher; ABSENT: Berriozabal.

Mr. Webb then moved to reconsider the zoning case. Mr. Wing seconded the motion.

Mr. Tom Case, Attorney for the applicant, explained the plans to turn the duplex into a triplex, noting that his client recently purchased the property and found that three families were living in a two-family facility.

Mr. Andy Guerrero, Planning Administrator, stated that the present zoning on the property allows only duplexes, without a variance granted by the Zoning Board of Equalization.

Mrs. Berriozabal spoke to the practice of creating too many apartments from rooms in large old homes, in some cases.

Mr. Alderete spoke against the rezoning, stating his concern that it would lead to deterioration of the neighborhood.

Mr. Eureste spoke to changing values and housing patters, and spoke in favor of the request.

Mr. Guerrero provided details of how the request came about.

A brief discussion followed on possible actions and alternatives in the matter, should the rezoning be denied.

Mr. Thompson spoke against the request, stating his feeling that it would help the area to deteriorate.

Mr. Wing spoke in favor.

After discusssion, Mr. Wing moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected and maintained on the southeast property line adjacent to the single family residential area. Mr. Eureste seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion failed to carry by the following vote: AYES: Webb, Wing, Eureste, Cisneros; NAYS: Berriozabal, Dutmer, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher; ABSENT: None.

CASE 8456 was denied.

Mr. Eureste asked for a staff report on the procedures whereby violations by landlords in such housing cases are brought into compliance with the law.

A discussion then ensued regarding options available to the property owner, during which Mr. Guerrero stated that the property owner could go before the Zoning Board of Adjustment to appeal staff's denial for the property's non-conforming use.

33. CASE 8512 - to rezone Lots 7 and 8, Block 4, NCB 6175, 907 Viendo Street, from "F" Local Retail District to "B-3R" Restrictive Business District, located southwest of the intersection of Angeles Drive and Viendo Street, having 100' on Viendo Street and 125' on Angeles Drive.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Archer moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved. Mr. Canavan seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,950

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE  
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE  
ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN  
ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION  
AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED  
HEREIN AS LOTS 7 AND 8, BLOCK 4, NCB  
6175, 907 VIENDO STREET, FROM "F"  
LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT TO "B-3R" RESTRICTIVE  
BUSINESS DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \*

34. CASE 8508 - to rezone a 1.198 acre tract of land out of NCB 10838, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, in the 4000 Block of E. Southcross Boulevard, from "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District, located on the south side of E. Southcross Boulevard, being approximately 3682' west of the intersection of S.W.W.White Road and E.Southcross Boulevard, having 182.26' on E. Southcross Boulevard and a depth of 250'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

In response to a question by Mrs. Dutmer, Mr. W.E. Haley, the proponent stated that physicians involved in the property plan to staff an emergency clinic on the site themselves, for the time being.

After consideration, Mrs. Dutmer moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Webb seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,951

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE  
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING  
ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY  
CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING  
OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS

A 1.198 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 10838, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, IN THE 4000 BLOCK OF E. SOUTHCROSS BOULEVARD, FROM "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

35. CASE 8510 - to rezone Lots 1, 2, and 3, Block 1, NCB 16511, 2006 Pinn Road, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3R" Restrictive Business District, located on the east side of Pinn Road, being 466.8' on the intersection of Elmer Boulevard and Pinn Road, having 150' on Pinn Road and a depth of 150'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished if necessary, and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected and maintained on the east property line. Mr. Webb seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,952

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOTS 1, 2, AND 3, BLOCK 1, NCB 16511, 2006 PINN ROAD, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3R" RESTRICTIVE BUSINESS DISTRICT PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED, IF NECESSARY, AND THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED AND MAINTAINED ON THE EAST PROPERTY LINE.

\* \* \* \*

36. CASE 8509 - to rezone a 0.371 acre tract of land out of NCB 15655, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, 4922 S.W. Military Drive, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District, located on the south side of S.W. Military Drive, being approximately 1473' southeast of the intersection of Whitewood Drive and S.W. Military Drive, having 121.8' on S.W. Military Drive and a depth of 131'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is

accomplished. Mr. Thompson seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete; Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,953

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 0.371 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15655, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 4922 S.W. MILITARY DRIVE, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

37. CASE 8498 - to rezone Lot 2, Block 3A, NCB 11954, 114 Hallmark Drive, from "A" Single Family Residential District to "I-1" Light Industry District, located on the south side of Hallmark Drive, being 110.2' east of the intersection of U.S. 281 North Expressway, and Hallmark Drive, having 150.2' on Hallmark Drive and a depth of 290'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved. Mrs. Dutmer seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,954

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 2, BLOCK 3A, NCB 11954, 114 HALLMARK DRIVE, FROM "A" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT INDUSTRY DISTRICT.

\* \* \* \*

38. CASE 8511 -to rezone a .0732 acre tract of land out of Lot 1, Block 1, NCB 16360, being further described by field notes filed in the Office of the City Clerk, in the 5800 Block of Babcock Road and in the 5900 Block of Whitby Road, located 135' north of Whitby Road being 203.58' southwest of the intersection of Babcock Road and Whitby Road, having a maximum width of 60' and a maximum depth of 70'.

The Zoning Commission has recommended that this request of change of zone be approved by the City Council.

No citizen appeared to speak in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Canavan moved that the recommendation of the Zoning Commission be approved provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Archer seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Thompson.

AN ORDINANCE 53,955

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A .0732 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF LOT 1, BLOCK 1, NCB 16360, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, IN THE 5800 BLOCK OF BABCOCK ROAD AND IN THE 5900 BLOCK OF WHITBY ROAD FROM "B-3R" RESTRICTIVE BUSINESS DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

\* \* \* \*

- 81-33 Item 39, being a proposed ordinance amending Ordinance No. 53245, passed January 15, 1981 to change the sub-agency allocations for the Alamo Consortium CETA -Title IV Youth Employment and Training Program; approving the revised budget; and authorizing modifications of third party agreements was withdrawn from consideration.

- 81-33 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mrs. Dutmer, seconded by Mr. Webb, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Thompson.

AN ORDINANCE 53,956

APPROVING THE OPERATION OF THE SENIOR COMMUNITY SERVICE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM (SCSP) FOR THE YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 1981, AND APPROVING A PROGRAM COST OF \$665,300; AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION TO THE NATIONAL COUNCIL OF THE AGING, INC., FOR A GRANT OF \$589,700 FOR THE PROGRAM; ADOPTING A BUDGET AND DESIGNATING A FUND AND ACCOUNTS; APPROVING A PERSONNEL COMPLEMENT; AUTHORIZING A CONTRIBUTION OF \$3,280 FROM THE GENERAL FUND; AND AUTHORIZING CONTRACTS WITH EMPLOYERS FOR PROVIDING JOBS FOR ENROLLEES.

\* \* \* \*

- 8133 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Webb, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: Wing, Canavan, Archer.

AN ORDINANCE 53,957

APPROPRIATING \$24,375.00 FOR CONTINUATION OF THE BETHEL DAY CARE CENTER FOR THREE MONTHS.

\* \* \* \*

81-33 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration on motion of Mrs. Dutmer, seconded by Mr. Webb, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,958

ADOPTING THE INTERIM BUDGET FOR THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO FOR THE MONTHS OF AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER 1981, APPROPRIATING FUNDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SAID BUDGET, AND FIXING THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN EACH FUND, AND SETTING THE LABOR DAY HOLIDAY.

\* \* \* \*

81-33 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 53,959

REAPPOINTING INDIVIDUALS TO SERVE ON THE SAN ANTONIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY) FOR A TERM TO EXPIRE ON MARCH 19, 1983.

\* \* \* \*

The following individuals are hereby reappointed to serve on the San Antonio Development Agency (Urban Renewal Agency):

Reverend Lavalle Lowe  
Richard Borrego  
Minnie Garcia

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,960

CONFIRMING THE REAPPOINTMENTS OF GUADALUPE TORRES-VENEMA AND MR. L.C. RUTLEDGE TO SERVE ON THE HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO; FOR A TERM TO EXPIRE ON APRIL 6, 1983.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 53,961

REAPPOINTING INDIVIDUALS TO SERVE ON THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO ZONING COMMISSION FOR A TERM TO EXPIRE ON APRIL 30, 1983.

\* \* \* \*

The following individuals are hereby reappointed to serve on the City Zoning Commission:

Jesse Oviedo  
 Celestino Rodriguez  
 Joe Meza  
 Elizabeth Davies  
 Ms. Clifton McNeel

\* \* \* \*

81-33 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,962

WAIVING THE "NO ALCOHOL" PROVISION OF  
 THE SUNKEN GARDEN THEATER USE POLICY  
 FOR AN EVENT SCHEDULED BY UNITED CITIZENS  
 PROJECT PLANNING AND OPERATING CORPORATION.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Webb moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Canavan seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Hasslocher, Mr. Don Maddox, Assistant Director of Parks and Recreation, explained the limitations and conditions involved in approval of this Ordinance, including police protection and abiding by all applicable liquor laws.

Mr. Maddox stated that the City Council has waived provisions of the Ordinance banning use of alcoholic beverages in Sunken Garden Theater three times since its passage, and no problems have resulted from any of the three cases.

Mr. Webb explained the reasons for the request, stating that the proponents are asking the private sector for assistance in raising money for use by the agency, which in turn provides funds for emergency needs in certain cases.

Mr. Archer spoke in favor of the request.

Mr. Thompson spoke against the request.

Mr. Eureste spoke to Council's approval of previous fundraising efforts by other agencies using the Theater.

After discussion, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Alderete, Canavan, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: Dutmer, Thompson; ABSENT: None.

81-33 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 53,963

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A MEMORANDUM  
 OF AGREEMENT WITH THE NATIONAL ADVISORY  
 COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION AND  
 THE TEXAS STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION  
 OFFICER TO SATISFY REQUIREMENTS OF THE  
 NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT IN  
 CONNECTION WITH THE TIENDAS DEL RIO  
 UDAG GRANT.

\* \* \* \*

Mrs. Dutmer moved to approve the Ordinance. Mr. Canavan seconded the motion.

In response to a question by Mr. Webb, City Manager, Thomas E. Huebner, explained the need for the Ordinance, stating that the National Advisory Council on Historic Preservation wants to see what San Antonio plans to do along the lines of historic preservation in the Tiendas Del Rio UDAG area before the work begins. He also spoke to uses planned for any artifacts found in the area, and spoke to the possibility of uncovering another outer wall of the Alamo during excavations in Tiendas Del Rio.

Responding to a question by Mr. Eureste, Mr. Huebner explained that the City plans to use a team of archeologists from the University of Texas at San Antonio, similar to the team used in excavations at the Alamo recently. He stated that the City's Historic Preservation Office will serve as the City's liaison with the UTSA team, and spoke to the roles of both the team and the Historic Preservation Office.

After discussion, the motion carrying with it the passage of the Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Canavan, Archer.

81-33 The following Resolution was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Thompson, seconded by Mr. Wing, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Dutmer, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Alderete, Canavan, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Archer.

A RESOLUTION  
NO. 81-33-63

ASKING THAT THE NATIONAL SOUTHERN BAPTIST  
CONVENTION BE HELD IN SAN ANTONIO.

\* \* \* \* \*

81-33 DISCUSSION REGARDING TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES  
HEARINGS IN AUSTIN CONCERNING THE PERMIT APPLICATION FOR APPLEWHITE  
 RESERVOIR

MAYOR CISNEROS: Ladies and gentlemen, we're through with the regular agenda. I have a very serious item that I...just an informational item...that I want to bring to the Council. It relates to the Water Board.

MR. WEBB: Mr. Mayor, what happened to item number 48?

MAYOR CISNEROS: I think that's the one we took up earlier.

MS. BERRIOZABAL: Yes. We did it.

MR. WEBB: I beg your pardon.

MAYOR CISNEROS: It relates to the Water Board and relates to the matter of the hearings that are going on in Austin, and I really would like to have the Council's attention because I need what amounts to guidance on this, or at least if not guidance, I want to inform you of what's going on or what's at stake.

In 1979, the Council originally made the decision, by Resolution, to go forward with the Applewhite project. At that time, the City Water Board made an application for a permit. And a permit is a very, very difficult thing to get, and the permit takes a very long time to get. As a matter of fact, they began two years ago and finally got the hearings, very complex hearings. I understand that the people who give the permit, Water Resources Board, if I'm not mistaken...Water Quality Board... what's the name of it?

MR. HASSLOCHER: Texas Department of Water Resources.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Texas Department of Water Resources...has been very skeptical of San Antonio's application on Applewhite because the movement in San Antonio's case has been forward and then retrenchment. In the Cibolo case we had, in '73, gotten up to the edge and then in the Canyon case had gotten up to the edge and come back. And so they were not really sure what San Antonio's position was going to be in this case, but they went ahead and granted the hearings. After the Council action of some weeks ago, a month ago or so, on the bond question, there was some suggestion that they would not have the hearings. Well, the Water Board...the Water Board interpreted the Council actions as it was and as it was stated, and reviewed the minutes at . . . . .

(At this point, the master tape was changed to a new side)

. . . . . will not go forward with Applewhite, then there will be no permit, pure and simple. In other words, there's competition for these permits across the state, and the present...it's a very difficult thing to get...very difficult thing to get. It's a very difficult thing to get into the pipeline to have a hearing, and then a very difficult thing to get the permit at the hearing.

So I was asked to make a statement or submit something in writing along the lines of San Antonio's position with respect to Applewhite. And I wrote a letter which speaks literally to what we did in that earlier Resolution, okay? The 1979 Resolution, period. I didn't want to introduce the question of doubt where no official action has been taken. Now, as we all know, I am committed here to work with the Council on the hearings that the Council requested, and we all know, too, that the Council has put the matter of bond money for Applewhite on 'hold'. But that's not really what they asked me to comment on. What they asked me to comment on was our position with respect to the '79 Resolution, and that has not changed. There's been no Council action on that.

I have a letter here which I'd like to read to the Council, if I can. I'll get you a copy of it, but this is the only one that I happen to have at the moment. It reads as follows:

"By Resolution Number 79-35-74, the City Council of the City of San Antonio dated July 19, 1979, copy of which is attached, the City Council authorized and requested its Water Works Board of Trustees

to take all necessary actions to plan, design, finance, construct, operate and maintain the Applewhite Dam and Reservoir, together with a water treatment plant, pumping apparatus, transmission and trunk mains necessary for the operation of the Applewhite water supply project, on behalf of the City. The Water Works Board of Trustees of San Antonio was given specific authority to make application to the Texas Department of Water Resources for diversion of such water as may be available out of Leon Creek and the Medina River at the reservoir site. In carrying out this directive of the City Council, the Water Works Board of Trustees of San Antonio has submitted an application to the Texas Department of Water Resources to construct a dam and 45,528 acre-foot capacity storage reservoir on the Medina River, tributary of the San Antonio River, San Antonio River Basin, and a diversion dam and 544 acre-foot capacity reservoir on Leon Creek, tributary of the Medina River, with both of these structures to be located in Bexar County, Texas. In addition, the Board has requested authority to annually divert a total of 70,000 acre-feet of water per year from these associated reservoirs and to use the same for municipal purposes. The City of San Antonio is aware of the numerous previous federal, state and local comprehensive reports on water availability and future water needs for Texas and San Antonio, which have been compiled after extensive study of the water problems facing us by the most competent and professional personnel in the water field. Each of these reports has recommended a supplemental surface water supply for San Antonio. The City of San Antonio directed the application of the Water Works Board of Trustees of San Antonio for the Applewhite Dam and Reservoir, which is currently before the Texas Water Commission. The City Council by Resolution authorized those actions necessary to initiate construction of the Applewhite Reservoir and Dam following the issuance of the necessary state permits and federal Section 404 permits. Your favorable consideration of the application of the Water Works Board of Trustees will be appreciated."

Now, that's the letter. The intent, hopefully, is to end up with a process that secures the permit. At that point, of course, the Council still has all the options available to it, which are: to not fund the project, or to do whatever it want to, to not go forward with the project if that is the final conclusion of the Council hearings and deliberations. The problem at this moment is this: the Water Commission, the one that I just described and the one that has the permit before it, has all the transcripts of the Council discussions on the subject in hand..written transcripts, taped transcripts, newspaper accounts, etc., and is inclined, as I understand it, to deny the permit. That would be very serious if at any point we wanted to go forward with Applewhite because it takes that long again to start the process for a hearing and then you've got to re-convince them at that point that you really do want the permit. So if we want to keep an option open on the question, the right thing to do is to try to do the best we can to get the permit.

Now, if, on the other hand, what the Council wants to do is kill Applewhite once and for all, then now is the time to weaken our position in even attempting to get the permit.

So, that's where I am, and that's where we're struck, and that's the reason that I have written the letter that I have, and I wanted to explain to the Council the actions that we have. What I did was I wrote a literal letter, based on the Council's earlier action and the fact that no official action has been taken to rescind that position. All right. What has occurred is that there has been Council direction not to spend money, and what has occurred is that there has been Council direction to hold hearings, and there's been Council direction to start the process on Canyon...but no literal action that says, rescind the previous Applewhite position. Now, one final comment I'd make and then I'll recognize all those who want to speak...and that is, in my opinion, any literal...I mean any action at this moment, official or unofficial, which has as its effect tendering the message that there are six votes on the Council to rescind the Applewhite position, will kill the permit, almost certainly, in these next few days as these hearings go on. And if that occurs, then Applewhite is a dead project at that moment, with very great difficulty in restarting the hearings and great difficulty in getting the permit. So if you want to have that as an

option, even an option, remotely, then I think the proper course is to pursue the effort to try to get the permit. So with that, by way of information, there are five people signed up to speak, and I'll be happy to answer any questions or comment. Mrs. Dutmer.

MRS. DUTMER: Yes, Mister Mayor. First I'll say I don't appreciate your putting forth your views when you knew that I had asked for the privilege, and you have the privilege of the chair. I think it's an abuse of the chair, very frankly. I would point out to you first that the Resolution passed in 1979 is not binding. It is a Resolution, not an Ordinance, and it merely shows the intent of the Council to look into the Applewhite Reservoir. In some of the findings on Applewhite Reservoir: the percolation tests and other things, it shows that it will not contain water. You have heard Mr. Van Dyke himself stand up here and tell you "No, it will not have water in it all the time." As a matter of fact, in time of drought, you're not going to have any water there, because the Medina River will dry up in time of drought. It did the last time.

There are several alternatives to it. Now, I'm not knocking down surface water for any section of the city. As far as I am concerned, I put forth the idea, let's go back to Canyon and see what they have. At the same time I have been talking to State Water Resources and they have suggested that Bexar-Atascosa-Medina Water Region owns 14 feet on either side of Medina Dam; that the dam out there is in bad shape and is leaking badly. You can build a new dam for less money than you can for building this mud-puddle out there and raise Medina Lake seven feet for 2½ miles back. Now, they can put their treatment plant in the same area, the southwest section of the city will have water to it, you can go to Canyon and bring your water down into the northeast, where the greatest need is right now because the Aquifer for the greater part serves the northwest, and you will also have industrial water in the southeast. Therefore, you will have water in every quadrant of this city. So, see, it's not the end of everything.

The other thing that I have a problem with is, I have had calls consistently from Austin. I haven't been able to go up there. One of the other things I take exception to is that the director of our Water Board, which is a City utility, has been making extra statements, uncalled-for, i.e., when asked, well, what was the Council's decision on this last vote? He says, "I can't be bothered with what the Council's decision is; it depends on what the hour and the day is, because they change their minds from hour to hour and day to day." Which is absolutely... I mean, it was uncalled-for, wasn't part of the testimony. They didn't need it. The other remark, off the cuff, in response to another question put to him, is, "I can't be bothered with what the politicians want."

The other remark that came forth was, "I don't work for the City Council."

And I would submit to you that these remarks are absolutely uncalled-for. It's a City utility. He may not be beholden to us, but he does have to be beholden to that Board, that is appointed by this Council. I realize that there is a great deal of tension over this water situation. I have never fought surface water. But I would ask you: where was this great Applewhite Reservoir when we had a permit to build Cibolo Reservoir? Applewhite was never mentioned, and now all of a sudden it's the only thing that's going to save the city of San Antonio. I have a great deal of trouble with that. We did pass another Resolution here only a couple of weeks ago, stopping the sale...rather, the condemnation of these properties out there for the Applewhite Reservoir. Mr. Van Dyke has requested, in his permit, the privilege of going forward with the condemnation, and that's where his aside...that's where he decided that he didn't work...he didn't care what the politicians wanted. It was in response to that question.

Now I would submit to you that if we allow them to go forward with this permit and then say we're not going to build Applewhite, you'd be a lot worse than letting them go forward, knowing that only a couple of weeks ago we did not desire that any properties out there be condemned at this time and that we were not going full speed ahead with Applewhite.

If there were no other way to get water, then you wouldn't hear from me, but there are alternative ways to get water to that section of the City. Further, I have a lot of problems with the statement made here that we, here in the City, can drink from the Applewhite and save the Reservoir for the people to the west. I just have all kinds of problems with that. We have good, God-given clean water, underground and there is more water, subsurfaced in this country than there is surface counting all of the rivers, and all of the lakes that you have right now. There is still more water under the ground than there is above ground. Water is a product that is, never runs out. Why do I say that? Because the atmosphere draws up the water and drops it somewhere else. Now, you may not be right in the rainy season all the time but the plain fact of the matter is, that is permit is not granted or if we stick by our Resolution, or if we rescind the Resolution authorizing Applewhite, it is not the end of the City of San Antonio. And, what it will be, it will be one more burden on the taxpayer's back that is unnecessary, spending three billion dollars for a mud puddle. That's all I have to say, Mr. Mayor, I know that you are very uptight about it, and that's your prerogative. I have six people who said, "yes", I didn't push it hard because I don't want to do anyone an injustice but, I'm asking you to stop and take a look at this project and you will find that it is a pet project of our Utility Director and that is why it's being pushed so hard. When I asked, well, has Mr. Van Dyke gone to Canyon yet to research that water, and he was asked that question on the stand by an Attorney, and he said, "No", but that was our direction to him to go to Canyon. (And that's not my cheering section out there) But nevertheless, that is where you stand, it is not the end of the City of San Antonio.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Okay, Mr. Hasslocher.

MR. HASSLOCHER: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I of course, asked several weeks ago that we put this back on the agenda and had some hopes that we would be able to allocate some of the dollars that we talked about, but Frank had a good motion and we passed his. But I do want to say one thing, some of the Councilmembers were not present. Helen Dutmer, the Mayor, myself, and Van Archer attended a meeting earlier in the week put on by the North San Antonio Chamber of Commerce and the meeting was captioned, "Texas 2000" and it talked about the Governor's deep desires and his interest to find out where Texas would be with its natural resources by the year 2000. And from this day forward, how long it would take us to get available water to build surface-water projects, whether it be Applewhite or any other. I probably have a problem with Applewhite, I've heard all kind of rumors about it, nothing has been confirmed. I have put in several calls early in the week for Mr. Van Dyke but as earlier mentioned he was out of town at these hearings. But I can tell you, that previously so stated that pictures don't lie and when you see national magazines that show parts of the western part of the United States and serious drought conditions and when you see reports coming across the wires everyday which I look at in my business, one tends to look real seriously in trying to get ample supplies of water and I have been contacted by several people within the business community, several organizations, one of them being present today who are strong, strongly for surface water. And I think it behooves us as a City Council to take a step back and not try to get surface water and I'm definitely going before the surface water, I think we have to have it if we are looking at the tremendous amount of growth that we're seeing. And if we don't we're going to be in a sad shape.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Let me say again, the, you know I'm committed to the hearings and we want to make the hearings an honest analysis of the situation, but I also was concerned about the sacrifice of two years worth of preparations of the scheduling on the permit. And the greater and greater difficulty we are going to have getting a permit as time files and that's the reason that we're in the situation that we are, Mr. Eureste.

MR. BERNARDO EURESTE: I don't think that there is much that can be done. The, I think that it needs to be understood that the six names that Mrs. Dutmer collected a little while ago, do not mean anything and they should not be used before the Commission. The question is stated, if one would vote to rescind the Resolution of Applewhite and the responses, yes or no. And there are six people that have responded "yes". And I think it needs to be clearly understood here, that that

is not, that that does not represent a vote. That that does not represent where the Council is at because the only thing that can do that is a formal testing of the position of this Council, in a formal meeting with everything posted according to law. And I think that all we can do at this time, is continue with the hearings, participating in the hearings, using the position that this Council took officially in 1979. And that position cannot change until this Council officially changes that position. And nothing should be communicated to the Commission that if any different from that Resolution that was passed. And you know, I would hate for the Commission to be assuming that we, today, have a position different from that one which we had back in 1979. I think that most of you know my position on surface water, I don't care for it. But I think what also has to recognize a legally sanctioned vote that this Council took that establishes a legal position of our City Council. And until that is changed officially we have to be in goal with what we have and the Mayor then has the full authority to communicate that position to the Commission and to speak along that line. And if there is a necessity for staff to accompany him or whatever representative from the City we have, then that should also be done. The end of my sermon.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Thank you, very much, Mr. Eureste. I'm appreciative to you for that because when you're trying to get a permit like this, the Board could hang on any number of statements that persons have made or informal comments, or direction to staff, and all kinds of things but the only thing that is standing at the moment, other than the action of last month to not allocate money, which is of a substantive action but the only other one that exists at the moment, is the Resolution and I'm appreciative of your understanding of that situation, thank you. Mr. Thompson.

MR. BOB THOMPSON: I think all of us have some concerns. None of us are totally committed to Applewhite without any reservation. I certainly was encouraged by Councilman Eureste's articulation of his point and how he feels and expresses that concern about our water supply. I know that maybe no one is totally in favor of surface water but surface water certainly is good water when you have no other water at all. The point I want to make is that I can't give, and I don't think that anyone has asked for the total unreserved commitment to Applewhite. I'm not ready to give that. I haven't been asked for it and I haven't given it. I will say that until you show me something better, I am for Applewhite. I am for surface water and that is the program that we have on our schedule. If someone can show me something better than Applewhite in hand, that I can go from a solid known position to another solid known position, then I will not make that transformation. I will not change my position, but when I do, I will change from something that I feel is an adequate supply, and that's Applewhite, to something that is better. And that's an improvement into my position, I would be a fool not to improve. But I have not seen that, I've heard suggestions of Canyon of all the other things that might be. But I know that we are in charge of our own destinies if we proceed with this permit. I know that we are in fact, assuring ourselves an alternate source. I know those things and to back away from that, to suggest that we have in any way, tampered that earlier commitment, is wrong. Our commitment should be read loud and clear. We voted two years ago and that is our position and for me it'll be that until I see that we can improve our position from that to something better.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Mr. Wing.

MR. FRANK WING: Yes, sir. Mr. Mayor, I had understood that, I agree with, in total for what Mrs. Dutmer was trying to do, unofficially for future reference and she explained that to me. And I agree with both Councilman Eureste and Mr. Thompson. As you well know, my position has always been on Applewhite and the fact is that I had seen a trend on the Council, not against surface water but away from Applewhite. And if there is a chance that the Applewhite question can still remain alive without jeopardizing anything or putting anyone in any particular jeopardy, I would then be inclined to stay in there as long as possible knowing full well, that the trend of the Council is for surface water, but not necessarily Applewhite.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Thank you, Mr. Wing. I think that the, you know, the objective to just leave the option open by getting the permit. And then there is still an option at that point, if the Council wants to do something else, they can do it, but there is no down-side with staying alive. Mrs. Dutmer.

MRS. DUTMER: You full-well know, Mr. Mayor, that once you get that permit, you are going forward with it. Now, you sit there and you full-well know it.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Not if there is money allocated . . .

MRS. DUTMER: Well, you have your six votes. You'll get it. The address that was made to the Chamber of Commerce, the North Chamber of Commerce, was in relation to bringing water down, to the high plains, over the Ogalla Reservoir because they have such, the only thing they can do out there, is raise crops. And that's feed crops, it takes a great deal of water. And they are depleting that aquifer out there and so one of the concerns was to bring water to those areas over there and then down into the very deep Rio Grande portion of Texas. Now, it was not particularly addressing here. I do want to be safe with surface water, but I want a water of a quality that is not going be recycled also and anybody tells you that they are not going to put it in there, go to State and find out, research your question. Go and find out what kind of water they're going to put in there. Everybody envisions a great lake out there that is going to be recreational, it's going to bring in all kinds of business. You're wrong in thinking that way. I can tell you that right this minute. And go to State, research it, and find out if I'm giving you a snow-job or whether I'm giving you the facts. If you go to any other source of water, you're going to have two years, (Well, it may not be serious to you, but it is to me) you're going to have two years or more getting a permit for that route, also, so, that's not going to be . . . I can't understand, would you take the suggestion if it's put in writing as to where you can get this water, quality water from the Medina Dam? Would you take that word from it? Because believe me, I'm not just bringing you pie-in-the sky things because I'm not that smart to figure those out. I have bothered to go the State to research the questions that were put forth. And in regard to the letter, the letter at the hearing, dated June 22, signed by the Mayor, was attached to the Applewhite Reservoir and hand-carried by Van Dyke and laid on the Commissioner's desk that's hearing the thing, the hearing on the permit. He at that time, took it and set it aside and said it was heresay and he didn't want to have anything to do with it. There is the facts.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Okay, is there anymore discussion on this item? I appreciate the Council's tolerance in allowing me to make a presentation of information because it is a very important item. And I didn't want to be in the position of you know, going out on this without the Council being informed as to what was transpiring in Austin, the significance of it and the fact, see it my reading of my situation was basically the same as the majority which is that the earlier Resolution is the operational document at the moment. Mr. Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: I have another subject to that, that I would like to bring up.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Mrs. Dutmer, you have another question?

MRS. DUTMER: One more thing, one more point that I wanted to make. I had no intent of taking this paper. I'm not a darned-fool, you know. I had no intent of taking this paper and using it at State. So, any innuendoes or any veiled charges that I not take it to State, are just, you know, so much.

MAYOR CISNEROS: Okay, thank you, Mrs. Dutmer.

\* \* \* \*

81-33SUNKEN GARDEN INCIDENT

Mr. Thompson stated that a friend of his from out of town was assaulted in Sunken Garden and asked about the type of supervision in this area. He stated that there was no one to relay the incident to at Brackenridge Park. He asked that staff investigate these type of incidents.

Mr. Canavan stated that statements or questions such as these should first be brought to the staff's attention and if it can't be addressed properly, then it should be brought to the Council by a proper procedure.

Mayor Cisneros asked Mr. Canavan to see how such items can procedurally be brought up.

81-33PARKING AT CITY HALL

Councilman Hasslocher commented about the problem he has encountered at City Hall with persons parking illegally in his reserved parking space. He asked about the possibility of a gate being installed.

The City Manager was asked to look into the matter of illegally parked vehicles.

81-33 The meeting was recessed at 4:50 P.M. and reconvened at 5:30 P.M.

81-33CITIZENS TO BE HEARDCOMMUNITIES ORGANIZED FOR PUBLIC SERVICEREVEREND SALVADOR BARRIENTES

Reverend Barrientes stated that they had a meeting with Councilman Wing on points of concern in District 4. The following concerns were outlined:

The Barnett Industry property and the deplorable unsafe condition it is in and the unsanitary conditions around the Missouri Pacific Railroad in the Fair Meadows area; the St. Bonaventure Community-dragsters are having a field day in that area. He stated that they intend to meet with Councilman Wing on July 28.

\* \* \* \*

FATHER HUBERT JONES

Father Jones, COPS, stated that they had also met with Mr. Wing about a ceiling on their investment on the South Texas Nuclear Project. He stated that it is unfair for poor people to bear the cost for the project. He also stated that the Harlandale Independent School District Board of Trustees also passed a Resolution in support of a ceiling. He stated that Mr. Wing does not support District 4 and asked about the proposed briefing to the City Council on July 2.

Mayor Cisneros stated that a briefing in Executive Committee is scheduled on the City's legal position in the South Texas Nuclear Project.

June 25, 1981  
mb

Father Jones stated that they want a representative of COPS present in Executive Session.

Mayor Cisneros stated that it would be inappropriate to have a representative there other than the City staff or City Council if it deals with legal issues. He stated that if it deals with other information, then he would not have a problem with a COPS representative present.

Mr. Thompson stated that the City needs the cooperation of COPS to assist in the clean-up of the area.

Mr. Eureste stated that he had not heard that the South Texas Nuclear Project item was to be discussed in Executive Session.

Mr. Wing stated that a memorandum was put in the Council's packet advising the Council of the July 2 briefing.

Mr. Eureste stated that he feels that this issue should not be discussed in Executive Session and should be discussed in public session.

Mayor Cisneros stated that he agrees that what is in Executive Session should only be legal strategy.

Mr. Canavan stated that he takes exceptions to remarks made that businessmen and their profits should pay the utility bills for poor people.

Mr. Archer and Mr. Hasslocher took exception to remarks made by Father Jones and Mr. Wing.

#### LULLWOOD DRAINAGE PROJECT

Mr. Ricardo Jasso spoke about the drainage problems on Lullwood Street. He then presented a petition signed by residents of the Beacon Hill Community requesting that the City Council begin immediate action on needed improvements to the drainage system in their area. He asked about the status of the San Pedro Underpass Project in this area.

Mr. Hasslocher stated that the Mayor is appointing a task force to study the drainage situation in the City, and they will be meeting on this issue.

Mayor Cisneros asked that the staff report on the drainage project which addresses the Lullwood area.

Mr. Eureste stated that a short-term solution for this area also needs to be recommended and reported on.

Mrs. Berriozabal asked about the status of this project since it was not included in the packet prepared by Public Works on drainage projects.

Mr. Frank Kiolbassa, Director of Public Works, stated that this project is now dormant until funds are available for continuation of the project.

#### MR. JOE GALLEGOS, JR.

Mr. Gallegos stated that they have met with City staff on this matter. He stated that the project is dormant since there are no funds available for construction of the project. He stated that the

engineering and design are completed. He also stated that a non-access easement should be imposed to prevent automobiles coming out from the Show Palace.

Mayor Cisneros stated that \$2,000,000 is a lot of money to complete this project since there are also many other drainage projects which are needed. He stated that it will need to be addressed as part of the overall drainage question.

MR. RAUL REYNA

Mr. Reyna, owner of the Texas Driving School, stated that Municipal Court Judges are exclusively referring traffic violators to the Defensive Driving Course at St. Mary's University and feels that they are being discriminated against since they do not receive any referrals.

Mr. Bill Arnette, Director of Municipal Court stated that the City up until a year ago had an in-house driving school. He stated that presently, they do not recommend any specific school and stated that they have a list which they show to individuals in order that they can make this choice.

FATHER DAVID BUSICK

Mr. Busick stated that he is the newly appointed Bishop of the Orthodox Catholic Church. He spoke of the center they have opened in order to help the needy. He stated that he wanted the City Council to be aware of their efforts in the east side.

Mr. Webb stated that Father Busick and his followers have worked hard to renovate the Ritz Hotel.

MR. RAUL RODRIGUEZ

Mr. Rodriguez expressed his concern about the Police Department. He stated that the San Antonio police are victimizing the citizens of San Antonio. He took exception to police officers taking part-time jobs and thus not getting proper rest in order to work a proper forty-hour day for the City. He stated that police officers should only have one job.

He also spoke about what transpired in the hiring of police officers in the Model Cities Program. He stated that he wants a list of how many police officers hold outside employment.

Staff was asked to report on the number of police officers holding outside employment.

MRS. ROSA ROSALES

Mrs. Rosales representing United Public Employees Association, stated that there is to be a new plan to be implemented in the Public Works Department. He stated that routes will be cut, and there are now more than 37 personnel positions which have not been filled. She stated that the work is very hard and there is not an adequate work force for this type of operation. She stated that it is not fair for workers to put in as many as twelve hours a day. She also stated that the City should fill these positions and asked that the UPEA should be notified of any policy changes.

Mr. Eureste stated that this issue is very serious and the City is acting in bad faith if this plan is implemented. He stated that there is a shortage of manpower and the City Council should be the ones to make these type of policy changes. He further stated that he wants a response on why these positions were frozen.

Mr. Alexander Briseno, Assistant City Manager, stated that there is a selective hiring freeze in the City as part of the City's plan to deal with the budget deficit. He stated that the plan as outlined by Mrs. Rosales has not been implemented. He further stated that a report will be made to the City Council on the positions and the amount of overtime put in by Sanitation Workers.

Mrs. Berriozabal stated that she had been briefed that this is a proposed plan. She also expressed concern about the position not being filled and the impact on the present manpower.

Mr. Briseno stated that Public Works Management is meeting with both unions. He stated that staff has not implemented the plan without Council approval.

Mr. Thompson asked that the report include the classifications of the vacant position.

-  
-  
MRS. ANGIE GARCIA

Mrs. Garcia, UPEA, requested a meeting with the Mayor and with UPEA representatives on this issue.

In response to Mayor Cisneros' question, Mr. Briseno requested that "B" Session be held to discuss this proposed plan in detail in one week.

Mrs. Berriozabal then made a motion to have the item discussed in one week. Mr. Hasslocher seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion carried by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Webb, Wing, Eureste, Thompson, Archer, Hasslocher, Cisneros; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Dutmer, Alderete, Canavan.

81-33

-  
-  
"B" SESSION ITEM

Mr. Eureste made a motion to postpone the "B" Session item on police personnel since the Police Association was not alerted that this item was to be discussed this week. Mr. Wing seconded the motion.

A discussion then took place on whether it should be on this week's agenda.

On roll call, the motion failed by the following vote: AYES: Berriozabal, Wing, Eureste, Cisneros; NAYS: Webb, Thompson, Archer, Hasslocher; ABSENT: Dutmer, Alderete; ABSTAIN: Canavan.

81-33 The Clerk read the following Letter:

June 29, 1981

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of San Antonio

The following petition was received in my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

June 25, 1981  
mb

June 16, 1981

Petition submitted by Mark D. Wagner and Gregory P. Wagner, requesting authority and permission to conduct business as an exclusive transportation and touring service within the corporate limits of the City.

\* \* \* \*

/s/ NORMA S. RODRIGUEZ  
City Clerk

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at 7:15 P.M.

A P P R O V E D

*Henry Cisneros*  
M A Y O R

ATTEST: *Norma S. Rodriguez*  
C i t y C l e r k