

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 1974.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 A. M., by the presiding officer, Mayor Charles L. Becker, with the following members present: COCKRELL, SAN MARTIN, BECKER, BLACK, LACY, MORTON, BECKMANN, PADILLA, MENDOZA; Absent: NONE.

74-25 The invocation was given by The Reverend David Edmunds, Little Church of La Villita.

74-25 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

74-25 The minutes of May 30, 1974, were approved.

74-25 TEXAS RANGER MUSEUM

Mayor Becker recognized Mr. Neal Carter of the Texas Rangers Association.

Mr. Neal Carter, Secretary-Treasurer of the former Texas Rangers Association, introduced Mr. Joe Dial who is President of the former Texas Ranger Foundation.

Mr. Joe Dial stated that he wanted to discuss with the Council the possibility of the City entering into a contract with the former Texas Ranger Foundation. At the present time there is a contract with Trail Drivers, Pioneers and former Texas Rangers Association for the use of the building known as the memorial to those groups. On May 11, 1974, the directors of this Association voted to dissolve its corporation and terminate its agreement with the City of San Antonio.

Mr. Dial asked that the City contract with the former Texas Ranger Foundation to take this building as provided in Ordinance No. 40085 and allow it to be developed as a true Texas museum. He described the museum and some of the exhibits that are there now.

After consideration of the request, City Manager Granata said that he would take the matter under advisement and get a recommendation prepared for the Council as soon as possible.

74-25 RATE SCHEDULE FOR ELECTRIC AND GAS SERVICE

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 43,862

REGULATING THE RATES FOR ELECTRIC AND
GAS SERVICE THROUGH THE SAN ANTONIO
ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS OPERATED BY
THE CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD OF SAN
ANTONIO.

* * * *

June 6, 1974

nsr

The following conversation took place:

MAYOR CHARLES L. BECKER: All right. Who cares to speak to that?

CITY MANAGER SAM GRANATA: No one is scheduled to speak. Maybe Mr. Berg would like to say a few words.

MR. TOM BERG: Thank you. Good morning. I'm Tom Berg, Chairman of the City Public Service Board. Members of the Council, today we have the final presentation on the rates required by the City Public Service Board. We have the management and staff here to make a formal presentation and to answer detail questions. It's my expectation and hope that we can get on with the job of completing the construction work and having necessary storage facilities to move into the other kinds of fuels that are required to back up the needs of the City. We've had many delays, and I would ask that you please act with dispatch today and permit us to move on with our major job. We have, as we all know, a great many things facing us and this has been a serious impediment for progress. I would like to ask Mr. Tom Deely, the General Manager, to lead off the detail discussion regarding rates and, with the staff, to answer the questions all of you may have in that connection. With that, I would like to start with Mr. Deely.

MAYOR BECKER: Thank you, Tom.

MR. TOM DEELY: Mr. Mayor and members of the Council, we have made a presentation before to you as far as the rates are concerned. This presentation has changed considerably since the action of the Council in granting a 19 percent rate increase and with your pleasure, I'll ask Mr. Don Thomas to make a brief presentation to you and then he'll be ready to ask any questions you might have.

MAYOR BECKER: Thank you, Mr. Deely.

MR. DON THOMAS: Good morning. We have a slide presentation here that I'm going to try to run through in 10 or 15 minutes and just hit the highlights. We have copies of the slides here. Mr. Spengler has them, and I'd like for the Council to have them and then you can run through them as we go through them. There's two copies in this packet that are not on slides. We didn't get them made in time so I'd like for you, so you can look at them at that time, I think there are other copies for the press and other people in the audience.

MR. LEO MENDOZA: Mr. Mayor, may I ask a question while we're setting up?

MAYOR BECKER: Certainly.

MR. MENDOZA: Mr. Deely said that there is considerable change. Is this - you're not referring to the ordinance that we received in our packet? In other words.....

MR. THOMAS: No, the changes he's referring to are the rates that we had proposed for a 25 percent increase as opposed to redesign of the rates for the 19 percent.

MR. MENDOZA: So that remains as it is and this is just information to support that.

June 6, 1974
nsr

MR. THOMAS: To start the presentation I just elected to recap the proforma projection that was included first with the rate presentation and, secondly, with the material that went to you in regards to the \$85 million bond issue. I only mention this to say that, of course, the basis of our request for a rate increase is based on the needs and projections contained in this document and that this was the base of our projection. This has not been revised for the 19 percent increase so that the cash balances at the end of the line will be slightly lower with the 19 percent than is indicated on this table.

The next slide just illustrates this year what we're getting under the current rates - \$105 million. We proposed a \$26.3 million increase in basic rates for the whole year 1974-75. In the O'Brien and Gere report they computed that a \$20.4 million increase was in line. Due to the implementation of the 19 percent rates in June as opposed to February, this fiscal year we would expect the 19 percent rate to yield \$13.8 million.

Taking the 19 percent total and distributing them to the classes using the cost studies that we mentioned earlier we arrive at the distribution of the increases by classes as indicated on this slide, 18.37 percent for residential gas, 19.97 percent overall for electric, residential, increases in the other classes are indicated on the slide. The total increase in all gas rates will be 19.56 percent, 18.92 percent for electric, and we rounded it a little bit on the high side because the design is 19.03 percent, but, basically, this will yield 19 percent for the raise.

Taking a look at the particular major rates that are involved in the design, the most important one that has probably the most change is the residential electric rate. I've talked about the features of this rate in prior presentations, and I won't go through that again. Suffice to say that with the 19 percent figure we have just pared this rate down in the same format, the same design. In fact, it yields a much better rate for many customers as I'll show later. Again, just recapping the residential electric rate does have a summer and winter feature, the winter feature being included to help encourage those consumers that use high power in the summer to save in the summer in order to achieve the lower winter rates all the year around. This will help us in our conservation efforts as well as the fact that this rate does have significant advantages to the lower income areas.

The gas rate, the residential gas rate has been modified in line with the recommendation of the O'Brien and Gere experts. They had indicated that the rate should be a little lower priced in the initial steps. We have changed that to conform with their suggestion. I believe they're in harmony with this new design.

Taking this residential gas and electric rate and tabulating the effect - let me back up - this is where we have to go to the, I don't have a slide on it, but in the packet of materials there's a tabulation called, "Effect of New Rates on Various Residential Gas and Electric Bills." I've tried to summarize this as simply as you can put it. As you know, you can produce all kinds of numbers, all kinds of increases, and I have sympathy for those trying to do that, but I believe this is representative of the rates as best as you can simplify. Shown on this tabulation are two classes of customers. One is called, low income, another is an average user.

June 6, 1974
nsr

-3-

Low income people included in this bill came from one of the lowest medium income census tracts in the City of San Antonio. We selected 400 accounts out of that, got their actual consumptions, and have applied these new rates on a year-round basis. Now, it's important to compute these rates on year-round basis because as I showed earlier, the summer rate is higher than the winter rate. We find that in the lower user, or low income user, their pattern of usage is more consistent flat year-round, therefore, the effect on them of this winter rate is much more favorable than on the higher user, and it produces a very favorable rate year-round for this class of customers. As you can see, the proposed rates will increase the average bill for a low income user by a \$1.42 on the average. We find that most increases are between \$1.20 and \$2.00 in this whole range. I've also indicated that the percent increase of this \$1.42 over the present rate is 12.1 percent.

Now, I've also shown what the percent increase is over the basic rate, there's some confusion about this. Obviously as you compute an increase over a bill that includes a fuel adjustment it reduces the percent increase so I have shown both figures here to be totally clear about what's involved. Now, you don't have the figures there on the table as to what the basic rate is, but it would produce a 15.9 percent increase over the basic rate. As you can see, we tabulated the same bill in Austin, Corpus, Fort Worth, Dallas, and Houston. I think it's interesting to note that there's only one City - Dallas - that's lower to any degree than what's charged in San Antonio. They have some advantage having a coal plant up there on electric, they're still having a little better gas supply and that would be my explanation. But you can see our rates for this area of customers are very favorable when compared to the other Texas cities.

The average user statistics are taken from our whole - all of our customers. This is the bill for the average of all customers. As you can see it produces a 21 percent increase over the basic rate. That's slightly higher than the numbers I showed over here of 18 percent and 19 percent, the reason being is that most of the customers are below average in terms of billing, it produces a little higher percent on the average bill. For the whole class, it will produce the numbers that I've indicated before. We compare, I would say, rather favorably with the other cities on the average user bill with the exception of Fort Worth, Dallas, and Houston, who have a little better fuel situation in price. At this time, we're a little bit higher.

I've indicated in your packet another page which shows you the statistics on which these bills were computed, and I won't go into those but they're the basis on which these bills are computed. I think it's interesting to note also that in both these residential rates we have increased the charge for the zero user from .50 to \$1.50. I think that's interesting because when you compute what it costs you to serve a zero user, we find that it costs in the order of \$3.08 on gas and \$3.60 on electric. So, we're not suggesting increasing to the full cost of service in light of consideration of the economics of the citizenry here in San Antonio.

It's also important to note that only one percent of our electric customers actually use zero consumption. Now, usually we confuse that the lower income people are actually zero or very, very low users. When we look at the statistics, actually, we find that they're further down the line than zero kilowatt hours. But,

at any rate, we only have one percent of our customers pay this \$1.50 and those we find are usually vacant houses or, as we mentioned, for a house waiting to be occupied or a second home or something like that and this is not the low income user. I think you can see we've gone up to the most of the people are in the 100 to 500 category. For example, 45 percent of our customers are in the 100 to 500 kilowatt hour zone system wide.

Here are the bills of a few of our lower income people in San Antonio. This is the electric rate. We picked these out of some of the near West side area, and you can see that present bills run \$3.15 up to \$8.79 and then further down, the monthly increases will be in the \$.60 to \$.80 a month. The reason this is less than the dollar that the minimum goes up is because the first steps of the rate were actually reduced where all the - in other words - the percent increase is high and the very zero user goes down and then comes back up so that the lowest part is where the customers are that most of us are very concerned about.

Summarizing on the electric, we find that zero to 100 kilowatt hours, the increase in the bill will be no greater than \$1.28 per month and as the other table showed, there are less than 1.62 percent of the customers in this category generally. In the 100 to 500 kilowatt hour range, we find that in those areas where the income is lowest, 85 percent of the customers are in this zone and their rate increases will be in the 8 to 15 percent range with most of them averaging around 10 percent. I think you can see the favorableness of this particular design of the rate. In excess of 500, the increase is 15 percent and on up just a little bit.

Going into the effect on our larger customers, here's the commercial electric rate. It's been simplified somewhat. I won't go through it. It has a feature in the billing demand that will encourage commercial accounts to conserve power year-round. There's a feature that calls the billing demand will be no less than 80 percent the highest for the last 12 months. I think this rate offers commercial businesses incentives - financial incentives to do something to cut down their peaking of power which is what's causing most of these capital expenditures system wide.

MR. ALVIN G. PADILLA, JR.: What would be the percentage of increase? I notice you didn't list the percentage of increase.

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Padilla, that was on the - for the class or for whole group is on the - it's 17.7 percent for the whole group of customers served under this rate. It's the commercial - it would be what we normally refer to as the commercial group.

MR. PADILLA: What page is that on, Don?

MR. THOMAS: Third page.

MR. PADILLA: Which figure is that?

MR. THOMAS: 17.72 under electric - the second one down.

MR. PADILLA: 15 1/2 for gas?

MR. THOMAS: For gas commercial - there's one interesting feature of this commercial rate. There has been a differential between this rate in the lower user and the residential rate, and that's been very

difficult to administer relative to people that have a small business in their home. Part of the thing that we've done in this commercial electric rate is to narrow that gap so that there won't be that differential between the residential rate in the lower zone and the very, very small business which also I think helps a particular set of conditions we have here in San Antonio.

MR. CLIFFORD MORTON: I wonder if you would define the difference between commercial and industrial for us.

MR. THOMAS: Actually, this rate and our rate we refer to as our industrial rate are optional rates. A business can have either one of them. Usually, this rate applies to the smaller commercial and up to a large industrial. The only distinction between the rates is which one is more favorable in billing. General speaking, the commercial accounts have - the ones that go on this rate - have a slightly lower utilization of our plant than the large line power or industrial accounts and that's generally the distinction. I don't know whether that's right. But, I think in the new design, we've raised that to about 100 kilowatts of demand, about a bill of \$300 a month is the distinction - something in that range. I don't know that I've answered your question exactly but.....

MR. PADILLA: What is the rationale behind raising commercial rates on gas 15 1/2 percent? The raise on residential is 18.37 percent. Now industrial goes up to 24 percent but from the commercial works as residential. Again, on commercial versus residential electric, it's 17.72 percent for commercial and 19.97 percent for electric on residential.

MR. THOMAS: All right. Mr. Padilla, the method that is used, and this is not just in San Antonio but it's utilitywide, is to perform what's called a cost of service study. In other words, you take the total company costs for each of the systems, electric and gas, and you allocate all the costs to the different classes of customers. Who's requiring what costs and as a result of that particular allocation which takes about six months to do and was one of the reasons why we had a EBASCO Services come and assist us - is to perform this detail step-wise allocation of costs. Then it is a matter of summing up what has been allocated to the class in order to arrive at what new revenues are required as opposed to the existing revenues. That is the basis on which these percentages were derived. So, therefore, it's on a basis of the cost differential between the class.

MR. PADILLA: Now, what is the present situation? The reason I ask that is because let's assume for a moment that there is an inequity in the rate structure now. If we take the new rates and raise them across the board for everyone, you still have an inequity. If we're to assume that, you have one to begin with.

MR. THOMAS: That would be correct.

MR. PADILLA: What is the present situation? Because we've been talking for the last couple of years of using gas from now on as a natural resource as opposed to a saleable product such as we have been using it. We've been favoring the volume user. Now, we find that we have a natural resource here instead of a product that we can sell. But if we raise everybody about the same, then we're going to be maintaining the old philosophy of how we sell gas. This is what I'm getting at. How did we adjust that if we did at all?

MR. THOMAS: I think this is the concept of flat rates is what you're referring to. Of course, we see on the other hand that if we had to raise for example the industrial rates even more, then we go against the trends of trying to attract industry into San Antonio to be competitive to get new jobs. So, rather than do that, we have tried to place in the rate the billing features that will try to encourage our industries to do a better job of conservation, use their power more wisely. In other words, my answer to you is that we've tried to balance two opposite and extreme philosophies. One, to put it all on the industry which, of course, then San Antonio will be totally non-competitive or uncompetitive, as opposed to trying to put the cost where they are. We've tried to balance these two different philosophies, Mr. Padilla, and that's the only way I can answer it in that regard. I don't know really that I've satisfied the question but there are two extreme philosophies, one to flatten the rates, the other is to keep them competitive in all the classes with the other cities. So this is a balance between those two philosophies.

MR. PADILLA: No more questions.

MR. MENDOZA: It's in the same subject, I'd like more or less an explanation on 3701, for example, everyone 37.01 percent on all others. Now, what are all others and why the difference between, well, there is, for example, when you look at the electric, you're going down to 12. This is the lowest 12.24 percent, so I'm trying to get a picture of.....

MR. THOMAS: All right. The group of customers in the all others, I am sorry you asked that, includes Fort Sam gas situation. We are proposing in these rates to put them on the standard rates at each meter, whereas they have not been billed in that manner in the past. That produces a rather sizeable increase to them. It's primarily that instead of accounts that are in the all others for electric, that includes such things as street light rates. We serve three other utilities - Hondo, Castroville, Floresville. This includes the billing to the City of San Antonio on the special rate to the City of San Antonio. This type of customer. And those rates by the cost study, let me finish, did not indicate the magnitude of increase that the others indicated. It's the same logic as I mentioned to Mr. Padilla.

MR. PADILLA: Then would it be fair to say that the 37% increase at Fort Sam Houston will now bring them into line. Is that what you're trying to do - achieve parity here.

MR. THOMAS: That's correct, Mr. Padilla. In other words, this change will essentially eliminate or will - let's put it in the positive, will place every customer on the same billing systemwide as between classes.

MR. PADILLA: Then if that is true, we could also say that that particular class of customer has been buying their gas about 18% cheaper than anybody else?

MR. THOMAS: Well, you could draw that conclusion. We've been discussing this with them for some time.

MR. PADILLA: Which would you bring up another point that Mr. Centeno remarks, at least half of them, were apparently correct as they dealt with this particular situation.

MR. THOMAS: Well, I think Mr. Centeno should answer that question. We're trying to do in this rate design to be uniform between the classes and try to charge the rates as fair and equitably between all customers as we can. To me, that is the positive way of approaching the thing. It's fair for all the customers and that's what we're trying to do. I'd have to let Mr. Centeno, I think, answer that question.

MR. PADILLA: One other question on this thing because it came up at the Council one or two weeks ago. Are there any other and I'm going to call them secret deals, because as far as I'm concerned, the Fort Sam situation as far as I was concerned, was a secret deal. Are there any other secret deals or private arrangements or negotiated arrangements not generally known to the public or anything else that exists at this time?

MR. THOMAS: I am not aware of any and I think I would be aware of them.

MR. PADILLA: May I refer that question to Mr. Deely? If you're not aware of them, I guess he should be.

MR. THOMAS: I know of none and I'm certain that he....

MR. DEELY: There are no other, you say, secret deals, there are no alterations from these rates when we put them into effect. There will be no other rates which apply to the billing of customers.

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: May I ask a question? Does the ordinance, as prepared, allow any latitude or deviation through the Board to go ahead and make any such deal without the knowledge of the Council?

MR. DEELY: The Council, as I understand it from our attorneys, is a rate making authority.

MR. MORTON: I move the adoption of the ordinance.

REV. BLACK: Let me ask this question before. You've indicated a philosophy with reference to the relationship to cost of utilities. Does this 37% in any way violate the philosophy that you expressed in the first place, and that is that you're seeking to bring about a balance. We're talking about an industry, we're talking about an increase in an industry in San Antonio. Has there been any kind of discussion with reference to this and whether or not this 37% increase in any way threatens the City of San Antonio's economic base at that level? Has there been any discussion on this?

MR. THOMAS: We've had numerous discussions over the years with the customer involved here and compared our rates with other military installations throughout the country and they've also done the same and I do not think this rate will put them in any kind of competitive disadvantage.

DR. SAN MARTIN: Mr. Mayor, I'd like to second Mr. Morton's motion with a comment that over the last few days I have met with Mr. Thomas and the representatives of Mr. Deely and they have been very cooperative in working out some of the concerns that I personally have and I think most of the Council members expressed. I'm satisfied that this is the only way that we can deal with this problem at this time. I'm also satisfied that the rates are structured in such a way as to perhaps

promote the conservation of energy especially during the summer time, because this is particularly important because this was one of the questions that the City Council asked our consultant, to structure the rates, and not only to permit the capital development of CPS but also to encourage the conservation of energy, and I'm satisfied. I want to thank Mr. Thomas and Mr. Deely for the cooperation that they gave me during the last few days in answering all my questions and I'd like to second that motion.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR BECKER: Yes, sir.

MR. PADILLA: I would like to discuss the motion but I would like to ask if citizens, and this is a question of a Chair, have signed up and if so, will they be given an opportunity to speak on the ordinance?

MAYOR BECKER: No, I don't know of anyone who signed up for it, and it would be out of order in my opinion, to even discuss it any further than it's already been discussed.

MR. PADILLA: I'd like to discuss the motion, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR BECKER: You may discuss it if you care to.

MR. PADILLA: Thank you. This has been a real tough decision for me. In the last few weeks, I've been accused of everything from political shenanigans and maneuvering and connivance and everything else. However, I'd like to go back to some of the things that many members of this Council have said, including you Mr. Mayor, over the last, certainly, over the last two years. I think in the final analysis, one has to face a question of responsibility and it's a tough question. On the one hand, we have a utility system that no responsible person wants to bankrupt, or put out of business or cripple in any way. On the other hand, we have a situation that I personally think stinks, in the organization of the system, in the lack of any direct control that the people of San Antonio have over it. I think the system is financed in such a way that it is to the benefit of the bondholders and to the detriment of the people of San Antonio. I think this point has been made by others many times including members of this Council and previous Councils. For that reason, I have come to the conclusion that the responsible thing to do is really to act in the best interest of the people of San Antonio and to cast a vote of protest against the type of system that we have had in terms of its organization. I'm speaking of the system - not of the people that work within that system. I'm speaking of the way that this thing is organized, of the indenture agreements that apparently no one seems to be able to do anything about. It seems that it is easier to change the constitution of this country than it is to change the indenture agreement of this utility. So my vote this morning is going to be one that I am thoroughly convinced in my own mind is going to be a responsible vote. I think it's going to be responsible because I think it's going to speak for the people of this City and not for the few people who own bonds of this utility, because I think that the system itself is badly structured. It must be changed. I believe that if this utility were faced - were really faced with a situation where they couldn't get money unless they were reorganized, I think there would be a lot of people in this town that insist it cannot be done, that would get busy trying to find a way to do it. For that reason, my vote this morning on this ordinance and on the bond issue and on any future bond issues, as long as this isn't changed, is going to be no. Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR BECKER: Well, I can only say this and I'm going to echo primarily what Dr. San Martin said, there are many things that I'm unhappy with. Some things I can do things about and some things I can't. I would say in this connection, however, that with respect to the rates that have been determined, the low income user has been considered in every way possible. We've done everything short of giving the service away free practically. I say we - I'm speaking of the City Public Service and I find no quarrel besides the fact that the very necessity of having to raise their rates at all. I think they've done as fine a job as you could possibly do in determining the rate structure. So I can vote on this without any temerity. Now, does anyone else have anything to say? Would you call the roll please, Garland.

CITY CLERK: (ROLL CALL VOTE) AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Morton, Beckmann; NAYS: Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; ABSENT: None.

CITY CLERK: Motion carried.

MAYOR BECKER: All right.

DR. SAN MARTIN: Mr. Mayor, I'd just like to ask Mr. Tom Berg a question if he will be kind enough since we're here. That's with respect to the latest crisis we're going to face with a cutback of gas and also if we are ready for Mr. Granata to implement all emergency measures in case of a severe curtailment of gas, the same ones that we adopted last time. But specifically, what is the status of our lawsuit against - that the Council directed CPS last year to undertake?

MR. TOM BERG: I believe we have something like three or four lawsuits going on simultaneously. At the present time, it's in the hands of the Texas Railroad Commission to determine the proposal that has been put forward suddenly by LoVaca. It had not been anticipated prior to last week that they were going to intercede with a new proposal to be put before the Railroad Commission. We had been expecting that it would be a case of discussion and answers to the examiner Wendtlandt's report, with which you're familiar, and that has been postponed and postponed by the Railroad Commission. We're sorry that that happened because we were in full agreement with proceeding on the principles that that report had recommended. As you all know from the press, we're in a tight battle right now and we want to sue and proceed to sue directly the Coastal States Gas Corporation, the producing company LoVaca, their officers and their directors. That's the basic thing which we've all agreed that we want to do and intend to do. We haven't given up on that at all. Our basic thing right now is to see how we can get some gas and keep on going and we thought that this report - examiner's report would permit us to find a way in the interim to get this under way without giving up at all our right to sue and our right to collect. We don't intend to give up at all.

DR. SAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Berg.

MR. BERG: I would like to just add one thing. I want to thank you for passing the ordinance. It's unfortunate that about a million dollars is being lost by a 5 to 4 vote, which is going to reflect in the operation of the utility. I think that there is a vast array of misinformation. This is a very complicated business. It's unfortunate that we don't have enough people who have gone into the depth of exactly what's going on financially. I respect everybody's opinion

to have an opinion on this just as I'm sure they respect my opinion to have an opinion on the subject. But it is wrong, completely wrong, to play misinformation against obtaining a basic objective for the City. For a great many years, everybody around here bragged about how great we were because we had low rates, a great place to come for industry but nobody has said one word about what the Arabs have done to cause these high costs. They get off scot-free. There's a total lack of understanding of the financial picture and it's most unfortunate because if you want to raise the rates, then make this a privately owned utility. Then you will have higher rates. People do not understand that this is a non-profit utility. We are not a profit-making utility. If we were, it would be an entirely different situation. These are some key things that I would like to leave with you all for future study and understanding and for the citizens of this City to really appreciate. Most people do not realize that we are a non-profit organization and any revenues left over either go into improvements or in rate reductions. I want to thank you. If there are any other questions, I'll be glad to answer them.

MR. MENDOZA: Mr. Mayor. I'd like to just say a couple of things. One is on the way I voted. Mr. Berg, I'd like to say this that when you say that we, some of us perhaps, might have not checked into this in depth and really studied. I'll be honest with you. I don't know anything about the situation. It's so complex, so complicated, I think that it's something that if the people who are dealing with it directly, those that are right there where the action is can't give us the answers or can't give us a good explanation for this whole situation, I don't know how in the world some of us that are sitting here that aren't that close to it can do it. I have to join Congressman Gonzalez when he says that he's dissatisfied with the situation the way it's been handled.

MR. BERG: It's easy to make charges and it's easy to make statements but let us remember that the documents have been in your hands since last November which is some seven or eight months ago. We've asked for any number of discussions in any fashion anybody wants. We have not been able to have them. I compliment Dr. San Martin for taking the time and getting into it individually. The Mayor has been into it individually a number of times and, of course, he's a Board member and has exercised a tremendous amount of time involved in getting at the basic financial structure, the reason for it and what the impact will be on these rates. The information is available. I would like to not only emphasize but state very categorically, the information has always been available to every citizen. All they've got to do is take the trouble to ask and come. We have asked over and over again for a discussion and we've not been able to get it.

MR. MENDOZA: Well, I don't know who you've asked for a discussion. As far as I'm concerned, I've always been available and I've always - I think that the thing that confuses me is the fact that we can delay it two weeks at times, you know, when it's convenient. A week ago, this should have been voted on, in my opinion, I don't know that we should have delayed it.

MR. BERG: It should have been voted on last November.

MR. MENDOZA: Well, I'm saying that three or four weeks ago, when we were discussing this and we had reached a final conclusion in my opinion we should have voted on it. Instead we delayed it two weeks.

MR. BERG: I agree.

MR. MENDOZA: Then after the decision was made two weeks ago, we delayed it another week.

MR. BERG: Yeah, but you're the people that delayed it, we didn't.

REVEREND BLACK: Mr. Mayor, I'm sure Mr. Berg would not like to leave the impression that the people who voted for it understood it and the people who voted against it didn't understand it.

MR. BERG: Very interesting comment, Reverend Black.

REVEREND BLACK: If you're leaving that impression, I'd like to correct that. I think I understood it as well as the people who voted for it. As a matter of fact, I think I understood some of the aspects of it maybe a little bit better than they did. And that's my private opinion.

MR. BERG: Yes, I understand, and it's a very sage observation. We all recognize that one of the most difficult things any legislator, Council person, any one involved in the thousands of bills that come before a legislative body require you to be an expert in an ungodly number of fields, obviously, that's a most difficult thing. And you, therefore, need advisors and help from the people that come before you to guide you down an honest and totally credible road. I realize that the same is true here. It's hard for you to be experts in everything but there comes the time when you have to accept the credibility of the people that are coming before you and this, I think, is the area that I'm concerned with and I feel is a great deal of emotional wrong information that is being used as compared to the accuracy of the facts. This is a very complicated, large business - this is one of the largest businesses in the City. We're the largest taxpayer in the City and therefore, it represents to me a most responsible position, an accountability position that you all have.

MR. MENDOZA: Well, let me say this, Mr. Mayor. I think that if this is the case and I don't mind exposing my ignorance or admitting that I don't know or that I've made a mistake. I think I've proven that before that when I make mistakes and I realize it's a mistake, I say that.

MR. BERG: I respect you, Leo.

MR. MENDOZA: And I would be willing to change my vote if it means a million dollars. This has not been brought to my attention before. I guarantee you that this one point that you just made was never brought to the attention of this Council.

MR. BERG: We lose \$100,000 a day and if it's going to take 10 days because of a 5 to 4 vote, that's one million dollars that is lost which is going to come out of somebody's pocket eventually.

MR. MENDOZA: Well, I don't know that I would want the citizens to.....

MR. BERG: Make sure you understand that we've never ever misled anybody on this. We've told - last November, next year, we must come before you for another rate increase.

MR. MENDOZA: I don't know that I would want the citizens of San Antonio to pay any more than what they're already paying.

MR. BERG: I don't either.

MR. MENDOZA: And if there's a situation where I was misinformed or I was not given the information or.....

MR. BERG: The City Charter requires that you have at least six votes in order for an action to take place immediately. If it is not six votes then it has to wait ten days. That's the City Charter.

MAYOR BECKER: I was about to read this section here - Section 5. It's in the packet incidentally. It's under an ordinance regulating rates for electric and gas service in the San Antonio electric and gas systems operated by the City Public Service Board of San Antonio. That's how it's styled. And then be it ordained by the City Council and all that business. And on the back page, on page 6, under Section 5, "That the effective date of this ordinance shall be governed by the provisions of Section 6, hereof if this ordinance is adopted by the affirmative vote of at least six members of the City Council. Otherwise, the same shall take effect on the 11th day after the date of its adoption by the City Council." And so forth. Now, that's right there. Now, there's one thing while we're talking about this thing. You always run the risk if you talk long enough, you get me involved. I think the most damning part of this whole discussion here this morning is the fact that we're hung up over a rate increase of \$1.42 a month average to the low income user. \$1.42 a month. That's been tailored to take these income brackets into account. The tragic part of the whole thing is that the City of San Antonio has to bear such a condition that exists on such a wide scale basis as this. I question the leadership of the citizens of this town both past, present and future. If this City continues to bump along and drag bottom as it's done for the last 25 or 30 years in the matter of raising pay scale, in the matter of bringing industry into this town, in the matter of having a City here that's attractive, that inspires and motivates people to want to come here and set up either headquarters offices or light manufacturing or clean industry or whatever it be, this is the part that I'm disgusted with. It's not the question of having to raise rates because that's going on all over the world and will continue to. I'm criticized constantly for my reference to other Texas cities who seem to have been able to ignite a fever and a desire in the community to become something other than what they were. I point to Houston, I point to Dallas, I point to Austin, I point to El Paso, and there are several others in that category that are actually out in the forefront and the State of Texas at present is the one spot in the United States that's regarded as absolutely triple A in every respect by all learning institutions, both banks and insurance companies and everybody else that's engaged in financing the capital expenditures and expansion of corporations. I don't know of a single thing that's happening in San Antonio, Texas except these kind of meetings that seem to drag on endlessly about arguing \$1.42 a month.

MR. BERG: Mr. Mayor, you can even go further. 100 kilowatt hour user per month for electricity will only have a \$.69 per month increase. This real old guy and he's got like one refrigerator and one bulb and if you want to put it that way.....

MAYOR BECKER: I think that each and everyone of us in this community whether we be on the City Council or whether we be in business or whether we be a member of the City Public Service or whatever we're a member of should do some soul-searching and some self-examination to see whether or not we have had anything to do with the creation of the economic climate in this City, the fact that it's 49th on the pay scale of all major metropolitan cities in the United States and see what we intend to do about it as far as the future is concerned. If we had people making incomes here and decent wages and money and job opportunities and tax opportunities that would reflect and accrue to the City of San Antonio, and all the other things that should be happening, we wouldn't be arguing over \$1.42 or \$.68 or whatever it is to the average rate and low income user. We might not have any people known as a low income user if we had some of this going for us. That's all I've got to say about that.

REVEREND BLACK: Mayor, I think that when we use the term \$1.42 that we're arguing over, I think there is some misleading in that. I think we fail to recognize that in most instances the commercial and industrial interest increase is going to be passed on to these same people. We're talking about more than \$1.42 increase. We're talking about the increase that they will get on their bill, we're talking about the increase commercial interest will have that will be passed on to the same people. So it's not just \$1.42 that we're talking about increasing. We're

talking about initiating in this community a total level of increase that's going to affect that salaried man out there. When you talk about increasing hem by \$2.00 or \$1.42, you're talking about in some instances making the difference between his ability to buy milk for his baby over against other issues. I think that we have dealt inadequately in an effort to establish a basis by which progress can be made without this kind of impact.

MR. BERG: How can you account for the double price of milk then?

REVEREND BLACK: We certainly should have and for that reason I feel that we've got to take this kind of position in order to certainly bring the - those persons who are responsible for these kinds of decisions to an awareness and a sensitivity to what kind of impact they're making on this community.

MR. BERG: Reverend Black, how do you account for double the price of milk since you brought that up. In the last seven months, milk has doubled in price. How do you account for that?

REVEREND BLACK: I have the same kind of problem with that, Mr. Berg, as I have with this. The only difference is I can vote on this. If I had an opportunity to influence that, then I would try to influence that - that's the only difference.

MR. BERG: Well, that's like the fellow who said the hell with the facts, I've already made up my mind, you know, the facts are still that. We use to buy oil for \$3.50 a barrel, we now have to pay \$13 a barrel for oil.

MAYOR BECKER: Let's see if there's anyone who voted no previously that might be interested in voting yes.

MR. MENDOZA: Mr. Mayor, I'm going to change my vote. I think that the majority of the citizens, the Council members here have expressed their thinking on the situation. I don't want to jeopardize. I respect the fact that we owe it to the City of San Antonio. I'm not one that's against progress or any capricious thing. I just want to make sure that we're doing the right thing and for that reason I'm changing my vote in the best interest of the City of San Antonio.

MR. BERG: Thank you, Leo.

MR. MORTON: Mayor Becker, now that we have resolved this issue and I'm assuming we now have a six, three vote, I would like to suggest this. I don't think that there's any member of this Council that is absolutely pleased with the City Public Service Board. There's probably no member of this Council that is absolutely pleased with the City Water Board or the City Transit or for that matter any of the other departments. I'm sure we have reservations but as I listened to the rhetoric this morning and meetings in the past I keep asking myself what are the alternatives? I have yet to hear a viable alternative to be put forth on this particular issue. I would recommend to those people that have voted in the negative on this that I, as one member of this Council, will be available at any time, either publicly or privately to listen to any viable alternatives that they might have to put forth on this question. I'd like to hear it. I don't think we have time today but if - let's fact it it's the only electric and gas utility that we have in town. I would say this, I share Mr. Padilla's concern over the fact of lack of direct appointment of the members of the City Public Service. This is something that, in my opinion, I do not think the City Council can do anything about but I think this Council has acted very positively in influencing the City Public Service Board in areas where we can. I will say I think for the better. I'd like to get on the next issue.

MAYOR BECKER: Fine, thank you. Lila did you have anything you wanted to say?

MRS. COCKRELL: Yes, I just wanted to make a brief comment. In considering this whole issue I have really studied it in depth to the extent that I'm able to master all of these intricate rate structures. No one on this Council is happy about voting for a rate increase. I am not happy about having to vote for one. I look though at the total economy in our country. We're in a period of accelerated inflation. Now, to say that our publicly owned local utility is somehow going to be able to operate completely in a vacuum and not be influenced by all of these inflationary pressures that exist not only in our city, but in our country I think is stretching the imagination to, really, the absurd. Our utility has to pay increased wages. We want them to pay increased wages to their workers. They have to pay the increased cost of every commodity that they purchase. The increased building costs, every thing that is done, has to be paid for at the current market price. Now, it simply would be a miracle to think that this could all be accomplished, and yet, maintain rates that have been in existence for a number of years. We have got to have the service. As a responsible member of the Council, I've had to say, "What if I voted no and what if the majority voted no?" and then we had the situation where we did not have our plants built that we were not prepared. And then, we would be in a situation where our citizens would say to us, "We've got to have the service and why didn't you provide it?" And so just reluctant to add to the increased burden of pressures that I know exist on many of our citizens, I thought I had to vote yes.

MR. BERG: Thank you. Mrs. Cockrell I would just like to say I don't like to raise rates because last year our, my particular company had to pay some \$53,000 higher utility bills than the year before. This year it'll even be greater, but again I, as you, take a question of what is the responsible thing to do for our community. I'd like to add one thing in connection with the fact that all rates are applied directly against the people. There are many companies in the City that ship products outside of Bexar County. We ship all over the world. We bring money from all over the world back into the City. There are other companies that do likewise. As the Mayor suggested, we're trying desperately to get other companies, manufacturing operations in the City that will likewise will ship products elsewhere so that the burden does not fall completely on our people. There's a tremendous amount of revenue coming from other than the City.

MAYOR BECKER: Tom, I think Dr. San Martin was first, then Al and then Glenn.

DR. SAN MARTIN: Mr. Mayor I'll just use about 10 seconds to make a statement with respect to what Mr. Padilla said that it's easier to change the constitution than the indenture. I disagree, Mr. Padilla, because back in 1960 I was a member of the City Council that changed the indenture for the first time and it did change it again, I believe in 1963 or in the middle sixties. I agree with you that we're not happy with the self-perpetuating Board and I have made these statements way back in 1956 but I think the remedy is to change the indenture and that's a question of basic policy for this Council to undertake. I think that we should address ourselves and we can change the indenture if we go ahead with the mechanics of changing the indenture. It is not an impossible thing to change the indenture. It has been changed twice in the last fourteen years, so anytime that this Council is willing to sit down and discuss the change of the indenture, you'll find me a willing listener.

MR. BERG: Dr. San Martin, before people get misinformed on this, this is not the answer to the magic. We're in favor of whatever is the indenture. That doesn't bother us. All that spells out here is how you're supposed to run the operation to protect those people who put up the millions of dollars of their own money for the bonds. It's just like you go down to the bank and borrow some money. You've got to put something down. There's no difference. The basic question such as Mrs. Cockrell and others have mentioned with regards to the cost and escalation will not change and I don't care who's on the board.....

DR. SAN MARTIN: But you can change it....

MR. BERG: Absolutely it can be changed; but that doesn't change - I didn't want people to think well, gee, you put the key in that hole and suddenly everthing is different. That isn't the case.

DR. SAN MARTIN: No. I'm just saying it can be changed.

MR. BERG: Absolutely, and we have no objection if it's changed.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Mayor, as I indicated earlier in my discussion of the motion before the vote was taken, the action I took was motivated by as I described. I'd like to remind one and all and I'm glad to hear Dr. San Martin's remarks, Mr. Morton's, I can appreciate what Mrs. Cockrell said. I wrestled with the very same things. My vote, as I indicated, was a protest vote because I think the system stinks, as I said, the organization of it. I wasn't referring to the people that work within it. For some 18 months, I have been asking the City Attorney and others to point out what alternatives we have. How can we refinance this system? What other things can we do? Three weeks ago, I brought to this Council a plan to be examined. The report we get on it is pretty negative. My situation is this. I said that I cast a protest vote, and I did because I think that while some basic things will not be changed as Mrs. Cockrell said and as you agreed and I agree with you, I think the important thing is that the people have control of this utility. I have said earlier in the year that the utility has operated and continues to operate on the basis of blank checkism. By that I mean that the bottom line is looked at and relief is granted, and nothing else is ever done. From the bottom line up, we just do not examine and I use the word examine instead of change the system itself, the structure itself. I happen to think that it isn't the best kind of structure we can be operating under. I realize that gas prices are not going to be slashed in half if we give the people control of this utility. I know those things, but I do think it's important that the people of San Antonio have direct control of this utility. We have it over the Water Board. We have it over the Transit Board. Costs are the same type of situation there. But, I think we're dealing here with a basic principle, and one that I cannot be happy with and the people have no control of. As late as last Monday, this Board, legally, and I emphasize the word, legally, accepted a resignation and named a new Board member in a matter of minutes. The people had no voice whatsoever, practically no opportunity to express even opinions. That kind of thing is entirely unacceptable in our society at any other level. Now, I'm not questioning the legality of what you did. Please understand that. I'm just trying to point out what some of my basic objections to the system are. I think that this Council has indicated on the part of at least three members this morning that we want to look at this thing, I would like to move, if it takes a motion, that we get busy trying to find alternative methods of doing business as far as the Public Service structure and the Public Service system is concerned, and I so move, Mr. Mayor.

MR. BERG: May I comment on the councilman's observations and I do, Councilman Padilla, respect your opinion as well as others to voice any comments they would like to have. As I mentioned earlier, the notion that this utility is not owned and controlled by the citizens is entirely false. It is owned by the citizens of the City of San Antonio. It is controlled by the citizens of the City of San Antonio. The Mayor sits on the Board of Trustees. He is the spokesman for the City Council. The City Council is the spokesman for the citizens and that's the root by which you have citizen control. It is totally wrong in my judgement, to say that we are totally ignoring and oblivious to the needs of the citizens. That is not true, and I would solicit again that prior to the Arab embargo and the destruction by Lo-Vaca of a credible system everybody was very happy and pleased with what is called in the United States one of the best run utilities - the City Public Service Board. I agree that you can take exception to it, but likewise you must agree that I have an opportunity to express my opinion as well. Okay? But utility experts you know something about it have over and over again

said that we have one of the best run utilities. Now, I will agree with anybody who'll say that the biggest room in the world is still a room for improvement. We have many things that should be improved. Nobody will disagree with that. But it's a question of degree. I disagree with you that it stinks. I think that's improper allegation against the utility. I don't think we should accept that.....

MR. PADILLA: I referred specifically to the system, Mr. Berg.

MR. BERG: The system has proven itself for a great many years being more than adequate to take care of the needs of the City.

MAYOR BECKER: May I remind the Council please, Council members and everyone, it's ten to twelve. We have four hours at least of hearings on Revenue Sharing, if we're lucky. So I think with that we'd better get along.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Mayor, I made a motion. I'd like to give the Council the opportunity to second it or not second it.

MAYOR BECKER: All right, you have that opportunity. Is there a second to Mr. Padilla's motion.

MR. LACY: I second it.

MAYOR BECKER: You want to take a roll call vote? Al, will you repeat your motion please.

MR. PADILLA: Cliff, I think you were out of the room. The motion was that several people, yourself and Dr. San Martin included as well as myself, have indicated this morning that we ought to take a look at the structure of the system of CPS and I moved that this Council direct staff to look for alternative ways of structuring that system, specifically, ways of finding how, is such a way exists, to return control of naming members of that board by the City Council. The motion was that the staff look for an alternative method.

MAYOR BECKER: Okay. We heard the motion, will you call the roll please, Garland.

CITY CLERK: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Black, Lacy, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: Becker, Morton, Beckmann; ABSENT: None.

MRS. COCKRELL: Well, I vote yes. I'm looking at other ways. This is not in anyway accepting any other plan.

MAYOR BECKER: I'm just going to make mention of one thing and then we're going to get on with the next order of business. I'm going to explain why I voted no. I voted no because in the first place the motion wasn't necessary. Every council member has been invited to every Public Service meeting since I've been Mayor and since I've been a member of that City Public Service Board as an ex-officio member. They will continue to be invited to those meetings as long as I hold this position. I encourage you to go. The fact that you don't go is not my fault nor is that the fault of any of the management or the Board of the Trustees of the City Public Service Company. The meetings are posted. Everyone knows when they're going to happen, the door is open and they're held in public view. Now, I voted no for it because I think it's totally unnecessary. Now, let's get on with the next order of business, please. We can stay here and discuss this thing all day.

-END-

June 6, 1974
msv

-17-

Page 18
Does Not
Exist

74-25 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Beckmann, seconded by Dr. San Martin, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Mendoza; NAYS: Black, Padilla; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 43,863

AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF \$85,000,000
SAN ANTONIO ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS
REVENUE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, SERIES 1974,
PAYABLE ONLY OUT OF REVENUES OF THE CITY
ELECTRIC SYSTEM AND GAS SYSTEM PROPERTIES
FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXTENDING AND IMPROVING
THE ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS OF THE CITY,
PROVIDING FOR AN EIGHTH SUPPLEMENTAL
INDENTURE COVERING AND MORTGAGING THE
ELECTRIC SYSTEM AND GAS SYSTEM PROPERTIES
OF THE CITY AND THE REVENUES THEREFROM TO
SECURE SAID IMPROVEMENT BONDS ON A PARITY
WITH THE PRESENTLY OUTSTANDING IMPROVEMENT
BONDS AND IMPROVEMENT BONDS WHICH MAY BE
HEREAFTER ISSUED, ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH
THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS AND PRO-
VISIONS OF ARTICLE VIII OF THE TRUST
INDENTURE DATED FEBRUARY 1, 1951, BETWEEN
THE CITY AND HARRIS TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK
AND F. O. MANN, TRUSTEES, AS AMENDED.

* * * *

74-25

RECOGNITION OF MR. JOHN NEWMAN

MAYOR BECKER: I want to pay special acknowledgement and recognition to the service that was rendered by Mr. John Newman during the three years that he was a member of the Board of Trustees. I happen to know from first hand observation that the man worked himself tirelessly, endlessly to the point where he almost ruined his health in trying to shore up the weakened position of the utility's supply of fuel. I think that credit should be given where credit is due. He did an excellent job, an outstanding job.

* * * *

The Council unanimously requested the staff to prepare a special commendation for Mr. Newman for his outstanding service to the citizens of San Antonio.

74-25

MAYOR PRO-TEM ALVIN G. PADILLA, JR.

Mr. Padilla stated that he attended a City Public Service Board meeting last week in the place of Mayor Becker who was out of the City. He said that it was his understanding that the Mayor Pro-Tem in the absence of the Mayor has a right to attend utility board meetings and has a right to vote. The Chairman at that meeting advised him that he did not have the right to vote. He asked that the matter be cleared up.

City Attorney Crawford Reeder stated that the Mayor Pro-Tem does have the right to vote when he is sitting in for the Mayor.

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 43,864

PROVIDING FOR A NOTICE OF SALE AND THE
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE OF \$85,000,000
CITY OF SAN ANTONIO ELECTRIC AND GAS SYSTEMS
REVENUE IMPROVEMENT BONDS, SERIES 1974.

* * * *

The Ordinance was explained by City Clerk Jake H. Inselmann who said that the bids on these bonds would be opened July 11, 1974.

Mr. Stephen Harvesty read a prepared statement opposing the issuance of City Public Service Board revenue bonds. (A copy of Mr. Harvesty's statement is included with the papers of this meeting.)

Mr. Lanny Sinkin asked if there is a comprehensive report on exactly how the various energy sources of City Public Service Board fit on a timetable of usage, expenditures and phase in - phase out plans to be sure that there is no overlapping of effort.

Mayor Becker stated that the Council has been generally informed with respect to these items mentioned.

In answer to another of Mr. Sinkin's questions, Mr. Tom Deely stated that \$5 million of the bonds to be sold will be devoted to the nuclear energy project.

Mr. Sinkin said that a group of San Antonio citizens is contemplating a lawsuit aimed at this bond issue, and the City Council's refusal to protect the health and safety of the people of San Antonio.

After consideration, on motion of Dr. San Martin, seconded by Mr. Beckmann, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, San Martin, Becker, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Black, Padilla.

PUBLIC HEARING ON THE GENERAL REVENUE SHARING BUDGET OF THE
CITY OF SAN ANTONIO FOR THE ENTITLEMENT PERIOD, 1974-75.

Mayor Becker declared open a public hearing for the purpose of discussing the general revenue sharing budget for 1974-75. He said that 45 applications for funds have been received and a proponent for each project would be allocated five minutes for an explanation.

City Manager Granata opened the hearing with the following statement:

Mayor and Council:

This hearing is in accord with requirements for a public hearing on the general revenue sharing budget. This budget is in the amount of \$10,416,639. The proposed budget being considered identifies all projects undertaken since the advent of revenue sharing. The budget is divided into priority areas as defined in the federal legislation.

The budget is in the amount of \$10,416,639. Of this amount \$9,295,420 is the City's revenue sharing allocation for 1974-75; \$413,856 is anticipated interest earned for 1974-75 entitlement period; \$707,636 is interest from the 1973 entitlement period.

The proposed budget is primarily a continuation of on-going projects and programs. Only \$883,449 is included in new projects as follows:

Barbara Drive Culvert	\$50,000
Pedestrian Overcrossing, San Pedro & Rector	81,800
San Fernando Gym	55,000
Welfare Office Equipment for West End Multi-Service Center	3,294
Dental Equipment for West End Multi-Service Center	43,355
Completion of Fire Stations for 1970 Bond Issue Projects	500,000
Consolidated Mapping Program with CWB & CPS	<u>150,000</u>
	\$883,449

Based on staff recommendations, I am recommending the discontinuation of the following programs included in the 1973-74 revenue sharing budget:

Contractors Consortium (ineligible)	\$106,030
Youth Entrepreneurship	60,000
Drug Abuse Central	52,210

As usual, this was a difficult task. City departmental requests, all high priority, totaled \$12,542,270. These requests are contained in the pink sheets in your hand-out. Other agencies requested funds for 45 projects in the amount of \$7,011,748 which are summarized in the white sheets. This list includes all requests received in time to meet the May 31, 1974 deadline.

The proposed budget of \$10,416,639 consists of \$3,299,200 for capital expenditures and \$7,117,439 for re-occurring expenditures as follows:

Transportation & Public Safety including Transit subsidy of \$1,200,000	\$3,142,690
Social (Includes Emergency Medical Service - \$1,896,910)	3,241,575
Financial administration	<u>732,992</u>
	\$7,117,439

June 6, 1974
nsr

Mr. Mayor, I would like to list the social programs administered by outside agencies included in the proposed budget. They include:

Personal Bail Bond	\$ 73,327
Intoxicant Inhalant Program	63,250
Free Clinic	33,000
Field Mental Health Program	63,800
Bexar County Anemia Clinic	80,000
Day Care for Mentally Retarded	189,860
Adult Literacy Program	20,800

* * * *

I would like to further state that some of these agencies have asked for more money than is allocated in the budget and it may be that these people may wish to speak after we have heard from the other citizens regarding their requests for additional funding. Those requesting additional funds are as follows:

<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>RECOMMENDED AMOUNT</u>	<u>REQUESTED AMOUNT</u>	<u>ADDITIONAL AMOUNT</u>
Drug Abuse Central	\$ -0-	\$ 66,283	\$ 66,283
Field Mental Health	63,880	150,734	86,854
Anemia Clinic	80,000	109,933	29,933
Day Care/MR	189,800	208,748	18,948
Literacy Council	<u>20,800</u>	<u>31,376</u>	<u>10,576</u>
	\$354,480	\$567,074	\$212,594

* * * *

I now suggest we hear from the representatives of the various agencies making requests in the order as outlined in your white sheets summarizing agency requests not funded.

There were 45 supplemental requests submitted for revenue sharing funds. Those projects are outlined below including the names of the proponents who spoke:

June 6, 1974
nsr

AGENCY	PURPOSE	AMOUNT REQUESTED
(1) Northeast Independent School District (Mr. Ralph Langley)	To add a 24-foot wide pavement to the existing 24-foot roadway on Starcrest Drive between Jones Maltsberger and Wetmore Road. The City of San Antonio's cost estimate for this project is \$500,000. This will provide a four-lane divided roadway in accordance with City of San Antonio standards.	\$ 250,000.00
(2) Involvement of Mexican Americans in Gainful Endeavors (IMAGE)	To provide avenues for channeling delinquent and pre-delinquent youths into positive and productive activities.	89,279.00
(3) United Organizations Coalition (Mario Castanares)	To provide complete management, financial and technical assistance to a consortium of minority contractor members in order to increase the number as well as the dollar volume of minority contractor starts by stimulating, strengthening, and upgrading their marketing and performance skills.	159,415.00
(4) Holy Rosary School (No one spoke)	A center to provide a program of special education, individualized developmental learning that meets the needs of children with serious learning problems.	17,328.00
(5) Texas Ministers & Citizen Leadership Council (Rev. C. C. Houston)	A center to provide for redirection of interests and provide activities and counseling to those youths that are concentrated in the downtown central business district.	104,088.00
(6) Bexar County Mental Health/Mental Retardation (No one spoke)	A workshop located in the Westside for mentally retarded youngsters.	88,848.00
San Antonio Business Resource Center (7) (No one spoke)	A center to mobilize, coordinate, and deploy business resources in support of small and minority business development.	45,000.00
(8) Creative Arts of San Antonio (Amy Freeman Lee)	A center teaching arts and cultural activities to youths and senior citizens.	25,000.00
Guadalupe Community Center (9) (Al Abrego)	To set up a motor pool and a two-bus transportation system which will transport persons to various social service agencies throughout the city.	101,984.00
(10) Commission for Mexican American Affairs (Ora Prattes)	To continue a child health care center that serves all medical needs of children between the ages of 0-12 within a certain income and geographic area of the Westside.	176,110.00
(11) Alamo City Chamber of Commerce (Charles McKinney)	To seek out the community's faults and shortcomings and enlist the collective will of all its citizens to overcome them; to seek to encourage minority groups to make contributions to the development and growth in the business and economic structure of the community	39,510.00

- (12)
Alcoholic Rehabilitation \$ 10,000.00
(Sean O'Mahoney)
To provide a controlled residential experience for the rehabilitation of the alcoholic male by providing a meaningful and supportive relationship and prepare the clients for an adequate work experience.
- (13)
Ella Austin Community Center 86,620.00
(Mrs. Minnie Williams)
To establish a program to benefit approximately 200 youths experiencing difficulties in the area of social adjustment. Such difficulties include truancy, school drop-outs, vandalism, drug abuse, etc. Services provided will include counseling, job opportunities, group involvement, remedial and cultural enrichment, and family group counseling. The program will be operated from the Ella Austin Community Center, a non-profit United Way community center serving East San Antonio.
- (14)
San Antonio Housing Authority 206,800.00
(Joe A. Martinez)
To expand and remodel the existing recreation and social activities facility at the Mirasol Homes and S.J. Sutton Homes, public housing locations; install basketball court covers at San Juan Homes and S.J. Sutton Homes; and to purchase recreation and playground equipment for various public housing developments.
- (15)
Mission Road Foundation 95,000.00
(Donald Peacock)
To initiate repairs and improvements at the Mission Road Foundation Rehabilitation Center, a non-profit, United Way Agency. The Center offers custodial care for the mentally retarded who cannot be otherwise provided for, and education and training for those who may have capabilities for return to the community. Repairs and improvements include: the installation of a new sewer pumping system; new equipment for the Center's laundry room; electrical and plumbing repairs and fixtures; building renovations; and the purchase of a new truck.
- (16)
Bexar County Mental Health/
Mental Retardation \$208,748.00
(No one spoke)
To continue the operation of four Mentally Retarded Child Development Units designed to serve the profoundly and severely retarded child. The developmental program is geared specifically for the individual and includes instruction and training in toileting, self-dressing, and feeding. Other modes of involvement include the provisions of an enriched environment through sensory stimulation with music, educational equipment, toys and individualized attention. A free hot lunch is provided to all the children daily and medical consultation is available when needed.

(17) Ecumenical Institution Services, Inc.	To provide for the addition of a Social Worker to work full-time at the Bexar County Jail and Juvenile Detention Center under the authority of the Chief Jail Administrator, Bexar County Jail, and the Director of the Juvenile Detention Center. An employee in this position would work in close cooperation with the Chaplaincy Team presently assigned to these locations.	15,000.00
(Chaplain Chris Kehl)		
(18) St. Peter's-St. Joseph's Children's Home	To construct a cottage for small boys at the St. Peter's-St. Joseph's Children's Home, a non-profit, United Way Agency. In addition to being an orphanage, the Children's Home provides treatment for children, in residence, who have emotional problems, are abused, neglected, abandoned, or pre-delinquent.	50,000.00
(No one spoke)		
(19) Drug Abuse Central	To continue efforts to improve the planning and coordination of drug abuse programs and to design an informational program of community awareness on the hazards of drug abuse.	66,283.00
(Rev. Dermot Brosnan)		
(20) Ella Austin Community Center	To make funds available for the restoration, refurbishing, maintenance, and upkeep of a portion of the Ella Austin facility which houses the recreation programs.	42,874.00
(Mrs. Minnie Williams)		
(21) Crisis Center of San Antonio Area, Inc.	To continue the operation of a center staffed by trained volunteers which provide 24 hour-per-day telephone crisis intervention services and referral. In addition to the Crisis Line, which handles all types of crisis calls, two other special lines have been established - The Rape Crisis Line and The Child Abuse Line. The Rape Crisis Line directs victims during all phases of this experience to available help; The Child Abuse Line assists individuals experiencing difficult child management situations, including child abuse.	\$ 30,072.00
(Mrs. Bonnie Blankmeyer)		
(22) Goodwill Industries	To initiate renovation, remodeling, and improvements to the Goodwill Industries physical plant on Pleasanton Road. Proposed activities include: remodeling of the Electrical/Mechanical Shop; relocation of the Paint Shop to a more desirable location; elimination of unsafe vehicle traffic flow by building new material docks between the main plant area and other buildings; construction of a new vehicle driveway for perimeter flow; addition of a covered ramp for the disabled; and the expansion of existing training and employment area.	250,000.00
(Gerry Gonzalez)		

74-25 The meeting recessed at 1:00 P. M., and reconvened at 2:15 P. M.

- (23)
Community Housing
Development Corporation

(Joe Ramirez)
To assist low income families in reha-
bilitating their homes by underwriting
home improvement loans. Loans are
guaranteed by use of an indemnification
fund which is composed of pledges,
grants, gifts, and other monies.
In conjunction with this indemnification,
CHDC provides other related services such
as accepting applications, inspecting
houses, clearing titles, negotiating
contracts for rehabilitation, and
counseling individual families, both
before and after the loan is secured.
34,850.00
- (24)
Healy-Murphy Corporation

(Leonard Quinlin)
A center to provide special education,
counseling, and student job placement
program to those teenagers who, for
some reason, do not fit into a
regular public school program and are
referred to the Center as an alternative
to expulsion as well as those who have
already dropped or have been expelled
from school.
\$139,989.00
- (25)
American National Red
Cross, Bexar County
Chapter

(Mary Kay Garner)
Community Health Learning Lab that
would allow the community populace to
pursue a variety of learning activities
at an individual pace with the learner
actively involved in his own learning.
Topic areas to include health in the
home, pregnancy, childbirth and infant
care, nutrition, safety and accident
prevention, venereal disease, basic
and advanced first aid, CPR, and
personal hygiene.
37,700.00
- (26)
Economic Opportunities
Development Corporation

(Withdrawn)
To provide for the physical renovation
and improvement of John B. Hood
Elementary School for its continued use
as a social service center, and the
hiring of a part-time maintenance and
security clerk.
25,000.00
- (27)
Angler's Club

(Wilson Southwell)
This project will expand the present
building of 3,640 sq. ft. to a new
total of 7,100 sq. ft. The expansion
will include new heating and the
addition of air-conditioning. The
enlarged facility can serve a total
of 75,000 participants over the
current 24,500 participants, and will
allow several functions simultaneously
by means of sliding partitions.
76,125.00
- (28)
Project STAY, Inc.

(No one spoke)
To provide additional staff which will
focus their activities exclusively on
the identification, counseling, and
eventual placement of approximately 80
to 100 high school students preferring
to pursue vocational technical training
beyond the high school level.
86,416.00

(29) San Antonio Bicentennial Committee	To support the production of "Winds of Freedom," an official project of the Heritage '76 Committee of the San Antonio Bicentennial Committee. The production will be staged at the Texas State Historic Theater for the summer of 1976 and is a dramatic script with songs and music dealing with the period 1776-1845 in Texas and San Antonio.	\$ 40,810.00
(David K. Bamberger)		
(30) San Antonio Development Agency	To provide low interest loans for rehabilitating deteriorating housing. Families eligible for these low interest loans are now owning and residing in habitable houses in the city's renewal areas and are not able to secure mortgage money due to low income, high interest, credit qualifications, etc. These monies will be paid back into the Revolving Loan Fund and will be used to make additional loans.	200,000.00
(Robert Moore)		
(31) San Antonio Zoological Gardens & Aquarium	The project will provide for the construction of new facilities at the San Antonio Zoological Gardens and Aquarium for exhibiting gorillas and orangutans. The new facility will do away with the present outmoded cage-type exhibition and replace it with a barless, naturalistic, habitat-type of space which is in keeping with the trend of zoological parks. Freedom of movement for the animals and ease of viewing for the visitor will be given prime considerations.	192,000.00
(No one spoke)		
(32) Miller Child Development Center	To provide training to children enrolled in the center with learning disabilities (not mentally retarded) by providing the services of a qualified Special Education Teacher and related school equipment.	25,000.00
(No one spoke)		
(33) Southwest Program Development Corporation	To provide training seminars to middle-management city employees and members of citizen advisory boards in determining priorities, setting objectives, deciding on work models, evaluating performances, and establishing long range goals.	133,538.00
(Robert Tagle)		
(34) United Cerebral Palsy Association of the Alamo Area, Inc.	The project will provide a recreational center and a vocational rehabilitation sheltered workshop program for teenage and adult persons with cerebral palsy who do not function successfully in general recreation and workshop projects for the handicapped. Physical plant renovation, staffing and provision for transportation for the most severely handicapped will bring even "home bounds" into an atmosphere and program where they can function more normally and learn to earn some portion of their support.	\$ 79,051
(Mrs. Kit Forehand)		
&		
(Mr. Robert Mazer)		

- (35)
Inman Christian Center

(No one spoke)

To provide a community-based program to educationally deprived elementary grade students in ethnically isolated neighborhoods who are underachieving by at least two to three grade levels and who are unable to achieve in and make adjustments to the academic setting in the public school environment. Training will be given to improve their basic skills in language development, reading, and math, as well as in other academic and social areas.

155,479.00
- (36)
Kenwood Community Council, Inc.

(John F. Wandless)

To purchase the Sojourner Truth School from the Urban Renewal Agency and renovate and expand the facility to make it suitable for a multi-purpose center for low-income residents of the city's northside.

1,605,000.00
- (37)
United Citizens Project Planning & Operating Corp.

(Norva Hill)

To establish a program of after school and weekend community involvement activities for pre-adolescent and adolescent youth as a vehicle for learning cultural and artistic skills. Instruction will emphasize skills in the politics of community life, music, drama, dance, visual arts and hand-crafts which will help participants develop positive self-concepts, creativity and competence.

68,522.00
- (38)
Santa Rosa Medical Center

(Dr. Thomas E. Williams)

To provide a unique community facility for the child with cancer by funding the operation of a Children's Oncology (the study of tumors) Clinic. The clinic, to be located in the Santa Rosa Medical Center, will provide a centrally located multi-disciplinary approach to children with cancer, regardless of the ability to pay. Modern techniques and methods will be utilized to allow the most efficient use of time for both parents and professional personnel by having outpatients treated within the community and at home.

\$ 50,000.00
- (39)
YMCA of San Antonio

(Wayne R. Tatman)

To develop the Westside Branch YMCA facilities at 411 North General McMullen. Proposed development includes the rehabilitation of the old YMCA barracks building and development of the playing fields, baseball diamonds, etc., and the design and construction of a facility to be owned by the City and leased to the YMCA for its programs. The YMCA proposes to give to the City, as inkind support, the 2.37 acres on which the new structure will be constructed.

811,646.00

(40) Inman Christian Center (No one spoke)	To provide specialized training and maximize the clinical skills of the Center's direct service staff by providing for the part-time consulting services of a community psychiatrist, training and testing aides, and the support services of a clerical position.	27,920.00
(41) United Citizens Project Planning and Operating Corp. (Norva Hill)	To provide funds for the renovation and equipping of the old Fannin School building currently up for sale by the San Antonio Independent School District and located on East Houston Street. A purchase or use agreement could then be entered into by the District and the United Citizens Project Planning and Operating Corp. for the operation of the Drop Inn Center. Funds for the operation and maintenance of the Center will be provided through a grant from the Texas Criminal Justice Council and includes activities such as counseling, drug abuse education, overnight shelters for teenage non-offenders, etc.	337,550.00
(42) Centro Del Barrio (Ernesto Gomez)	To establish a program to provide culturally compatible and complementary services to low-income residents of south San Antonio. A human service team consisting of a coordinator, social workers, and community aides will be responsible for the delivery of three primary bilingual-bicultural human services: (1) Direct Human Services - encompassing outreach-intake, cultural assessments, counseling and groupwork; (2) Information and Referral Follow-up Service; (3) Planning and Development Service - involving community assessment, group identification, and new viable service programs proposals.	\$ 79,844.00
(43) United Citizens Project Planning & Operation Corp. (Norva Hill)	To provide funds for the remodeling of the stage and sound system of the Carver Auditorium. Remodeling will include replacement of the stage curtains, renovation of the painted stage scenery, replacement of the stage lighting and control system, overhaul of the stage rigging system and other needed special sound equipment.	89,099.00
(44) Independent Developing Company (Verbe Norman)	To establish a training program which can be directed towards veterans, the underemployed, and the unemployed with emphasis placed on preparing them for permanent employment. The basic training program will consist of one week of orientation and four weeks of job related education. During the orientation period, the trainees will receive complete physical and dental examinations and, when needed, remedial medical services will be provided. Individual and group counseling will also be provided throughout the program.	126,250.00

(45)

Barrio Betterment and
Development Corporation

To provide funds necessary for the \$182,000.00
continued operation of the programs
and activities of the Barrio Better-
ment and Development Corporation, a
neighborhood-based, community-services
organization previously funded by the
Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO).

Total \$6,761,748.00

* * * *

Mayor Becker then declared the public hearing closed.

74-25

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

MRS. HETTIE COOK

Mrs. Hettie Cook, 1535 West Elsmere, spoke to the Council
in support of the request for funds to continue the center for
teaching arts to the youth and senior citizens of the City.

MRS. MARY PARKER

Mrs. Mary Parker, 9226 Ozalid, submitted a petition signed
by residents in the subdivisions of Kinder Plaza, Southridge Park,
Corte del Sol, Sun Forest, Palo Alto Terrace, Windy Hills, Fountain
Park and Spicewood requesting that bus service be instituted into
those areas.

Mayor Becker advised Mrs. Parker that the matter would be
discussed with officials of the Transit System.

(The petition was referred to the San Antonio Transit
System for study and report back to the City Council.)

74-25

ZONING HEARINGS

A. CASE 5561 - to rezone Lot 13, Block 17, NCB 2069, 1449
Culebra Road, from "F" Local Retail District to "I-1" Light Industry
District, located northeast of the intersection of Culebra Road and
Glenmore Avenue; having 50' on Culebra Road and 165.55' on Glenmore
Avenue.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the pro-
posed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by
the City Council.

Mr. Nicolas Gonzales, 1238 Kentucky, stated that he was not
opposed to this rezoning but was interested to know what kind of busi-
ness is proposed.

June 6, 1974
nsr

Mr. Camargo advised Mr. Gonzales that the owner intends to put in an ornamental iron works shop.

Mr. Gonzales said that the businesses in back of his house will not clean their part of the alley. As a result his insurance company charges him \$25.00 per year extra because of the fire hazard. He asked that the Council get the property owners to clean the alley.

Mayor Becker asked the City Manager to see that the property owners concerned be put on notice that they are responsible for keeping the alley clean.

After consideration, Mr. Mendoza made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished and that a six foot solid screen fence is erected on the north property line. Mr. Beckmann seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,865

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE
THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE
ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN
ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION
AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY
DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 13, BLOCK 17,
NCB 2069, 1449 CULEBRA ROAD, FROM "F"
LOCAL RETAIL DISTRICT TO "I-1" LIGHT
INDUSTRY DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT
PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED AND
THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID SCREEN FENCE IS
ERECTED ON THE NORTH PROPERTY LINE.

* * * *

B. CASE 5558 - to rezone Tract A, NCB 13778, 10000 Block of I. H. 35 Expressway, from Temporary "A" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District, located on the southeast side of I. H. 35 Expressway being 1170' southwest of the intersection of Starlight Terrace and I. H. 35 Expressway; having 202.44' on I. H. 35 Expressway and a maximum depth of 518.24'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Padilla made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished, that a six foot solid screen fence is erected on the southeast property line and that a 115' building setback line be imposed on the southeast property line. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the

passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote:
 AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza;
 NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,866

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE
 THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE
 ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN
 ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION
 AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY
 DESCRIBED HEREIN AS TRACT A, NCB 13778,
 10000 BLOCK OF I. H. 35 EXPRESSWAY,
 FROM TEMPORARY "A" SINGLE FAMILY
 RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS
 DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING
 IS ACCOMPLISHED, THAT A SIX FOOT SOLID
 SCREEN FENCE IS ERECTED ON THE SOUTHEAST
 PROPERTY LINE AND THAT A 115' BUILDING
 SETBACK LINE BE IMPOSED ON THE SOUTHEAST
 PROPERTY LINE.

* * * *

C. CASE 5555 - to rezone a 15.419 acre tract of land out of NCB 15687, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 4167 Stahl Road, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "R-3" Multiple Family Residential District; and a 0.718 acre tract of land out of NCB 15687, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-2" Business District.

The "R-3" zoning being located on the northwest side of Stahl Road, being 735' southwest of the intersection of Stahl Road and Bell Road; having 707.10' on Stahl Road and a maximum depth of 860.76'.

The "B-2" zoning being located on the northwest side of Stahl Road, being 530' southwest of the intersection of Stahl Road and Bell Road; having 210' on Stahl Road and a maximum depth of 172'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Beckmann made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mr. Padilla seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,867

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 15.419 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15687, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 4167 STAHL ROAD, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "R-3" MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT; AND A 0.718 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 15687, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-2" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

D. CASE 5557 - to rezone a 144.722 acre tract of land out of NCB 14890, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 6500 Block of Hausman Road, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-1" Business District; and a 9.9998 acre tract of land out of NCB 14890, being further described by field notes filed in the office of the City Clerk, 6500 Block of Hausman Road, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District.

The "B-1" zoning being located between U.T.S.A. (University) Blvd., and Hausman Road, being 285' east of the intersection of Lake Breeze Drive and Hausman Road; having 3103.85' on Hausman Road, 1790.27' on U.T.S.A. (University) Blvd., and a distance of 2346.88' between U.T.S.A. (University) Blvd., and Hausman Road.

The "B-3" zoning being located on the north side of Hausman Road, being 1813.85' east of the intersection of Lake Breeze Drive and Hausman Road; having 650' on Hausman Road and a depth of 670'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Mendoza made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Beckmann seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,868

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS A 144.722 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14890, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 6500 BLOCK OF HAUSMAN ROAD, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-1" BUSINESS DISTRICT; AND A 9.9998 ACRE TRACT OF LAND OUT OF NCB 14890, BEING FURTHER DESCRIBED BY FIELD NOTES FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK, 6500 BLOCK OF HAUSMAN ROAD, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

E. CASE 5562 - to rezone Tract 127-K, NCB 14865, 7300 Block of North F. M. 1604 West, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District, located southwest of the intersection of North F. M. 1604 West and Babcock Road; having 571.18' on North 1604 West, 763.51' on Babcock Road and 59.81' on the cutback between Babcock Road and F. M. 1604 Expressway.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Morton made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper replatting is accomplished. Mr. Mendoza seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,869

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS TRACT 127-K, NCB 14865, 7300 BLOCK OF NORTH F. M. 1604 WEST, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER REPLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

F. CASE 5559 - to rezone Lot 2-C, NCB 14865, 7300 Block of North F. M. 1604 West Expressway, from Temporary "R-1" Single Family Residential District to "B-3" Business District, located on the south side of F. M. 1604 North, being 885' west of the intersection of Babcock Road and F. M. 1604 North; having 397.55' on F. M. 1604 North and a maximum depth of 637.33'.

Mr. Gene Camargo, Planning Administrator, explained the proposed change, which the Planning Commission recommended be approved by the City Council.

No one spoke in opposition.

After consideration, Mr. Padilla made a motion that the recommendation of the Planning Commission be approved, provided that proper platting is accomplished. Mrs. Cockrell seconded the motion. On roll call, the motion, carrying with it the passage of the following Ordinance, prevailed by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,870

AMENDING CHAPTER 42 OF THE CITY CODE THAT CONSTITUTES THE COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO BY CHANGING THE CLASSIFICATION AND REZONING OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DESCRIBED HEREIN AS LOT 2-C, NCB 14865, 7300 BLOCK OF NORTH F. M. 1604 WEST EXPRESSWAY, FROM TEMPORARY "R-1" SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT TO "B-3" BUSINESS DISTRICT, PROVIDED THAT PROPER PLATTING IS ACCOMPLISHED.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Jim Gaines, Director of HemisFair Plaza, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,871

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH DOUBLEDAY BROADCASTING CO., TO TERMINATE THAT CONTRACT PROVIDING FOR LEASE OF BUILDING NO. 448 AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA; SAID TERMINATION EFFECTIVE MAY 31, 1974; AND FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF PAYMENT TO THE CITY OF THE SUM OF \$2,450.00.

* * * *

June 6, 1974
nsr

AN ORDINANCE 43,872

MANIFESTING AN AGREEMENT WITH DINNER THEATRE, INC., TO AMEND THE CURRENT AGREEMENT AT HEMISFAIR PLAZA PROVIDING FOR LEASE OF BUILDING NO. 660, SO AS TO EXTEND THE TERM OF SAID AGREEMENT ON A MONTH-TO-MONTH BASIS; AND SO AS TO ADJUST MONTHLY RENTAL PAYMENTS.

* * * *

74-25FIRST REPERTORY THEATER

Mrs. Cockrell reminded the City Manager of her request that the staff investigate the lease arrangement with the First Repertory Theater.

City Manager Granata stated that he has a report from Mr. Gaines on this matter and as soon as he can study it he will make a recommendation.

74-25 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mago Garcia, City Tax Assessor, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Mendoza, seconded by Mrs. Cockrell, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy.

AN ORDINANCE 43,873

ADOPTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE TAX ERROR BOARD OF REVIEW CONCERNING ERRORS ON THE TAX ROLLS OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO; AUTHORIZING THE TAX ASSESSOR TO MAKE CORRECTIONS OF THESE ERRORS, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY TREASURER TO AMEND THE TAX ROLLS TO REFLECT THESE CORRECTIONS.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Beckmann, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy, Morton, Mendoza.

AN ORDINANCE 43,874

AUTHORIZING A YOUTH CONSERVATION CORPS PROJECT INVOLVING EMPLOYMENT OF YOUTHS DURING THE SUMMER IN BEAUTIFICATION WORK AT ACEQUIA PARK AND OTHER SITES AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE ALAMO AREA COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS (AACOG) TO FUND THE PROJECT UNDER A GRANT AACOG ADMINISTERS FOR THE TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,875

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF THE SUM OF \$25,000.00 AND ALL COURT COSTS OUT OF FUND NO. 799 IN FULL AND FINAL SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS IN DAMAGE SUIT CAUSE NO. F-236,616 IN THE 150TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS, STYLED PAUL ELIZONDO V. JOSE ARTURO AVILA AND THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO.

* * * *

74-25 The following Resolution was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. William Donahue, Director of Human Resources and Special Services, and after consideration, on motion of Rev. Black, seconded by Mr. Beckmann, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Beckmann, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy, Morton, Mendoza.

A RESOLUTION
NO. 74-25-39

ENDORSING AND SUPPORTING THE EFFORTS OF THE COMMUNITY GUIDANCE CENTER AND THE CHILD ABUSE COUNCIL TO OBTAIN FEDERAL FUNDS FOR CREATION OF A CENTER FOR FAMILY LIVING TO SERVE THE FAMILIES OF THE COMMUNITY WHICH ARE ABUSIVE TO OR NEGLECTFUL OF CHILDREN.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Cipriano Guerra, Director of Planning and Community Development, and after consideration, on motion of Mrs. Cockrell, seconded by Mr. Beckmann, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy, Morton.

AN ORDINANCE 43,876

ADOPTING A BUDGET FOR EXPENDITURE IN THE SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT - 1974 PROJECT, APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR EXPENDITURE ACCORDING TO SAID BUDGET, AUTHORIZING CONTRACTS WITH CERTAIN OPERATING AGENCIES AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO APPLY TO THE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR FOR AWARD OF SUPPLEMENTAL GRANTS TO FUND THE BALANCE OF THE PROJECT THROUGH OCTOBER 1, 1974.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Morton.

AN ORDINANCE 43,877

SETTING A DATE, TIME AND PLACE FOR A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION OF 225.00+ ACRES OF LAND BY THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO AND AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE CITY MANAGER TO PUBLISH NOTICE OF SUCH PUBLIC HEARING.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,878

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH R. MARVIN SHIPMAN COMPANY TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR BRIARWICK SUBDIVISION OFF-SITE SANITARY SEWER MAIN.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,879

ADOPTING A SCHEDULE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECT WPC-TEX-936; AND GUARANTEEING THAT SAID PROJECT WILL BE CONSTRUCTED TO COMPLETION IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS, RULES AND REGULATIONS, AND WITH THIS SCHEDULE.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,880

GRANTING PERMISSION TO THE GILL COMPANIES TO PLACE RAISED PLANTERS ON PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY IN FRONT OF ITS BUILDING, SAID PLANTERS FRONTING ON SOLEDAD STREET AND ON MAIN AVENUE.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Morton, Beckmann, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Lacy, Padilla.

AN ORDINANCE 43,881

AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF INSURANCE CONTRACTS BY THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH THE UNITED STATES FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY AND THE MARKET INSURANCE COMPANY, AND AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF AN INSURANCE PREMIUM IN THE AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF \$14,223.37.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,882

AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF AN APPLICATION TO THE GOVERNORS' OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY FOR REFUNDING OF THE CURRENT "FARE" PROGRAM FOR SIX MONTHS UNDER A NEW TITLE "STEP".

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Stewart C. Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin.

AN ORDINANCE 43,883

AMENDING SECTION 38-77 OF THE CITY CODE REGULATING LOADING ZONES SO AS TO PROVIDE FOR SATURDAYS AND HOLIDAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,884

APPROPRIATING AN ADDITIONAL \$35,200.00 TO PAY THE CITY'S SHARE OF THE COST OF THE WIDENING OF WEST COMMERCE STREET.

* * * *

74-25

BIKEWAYS

Mrs. Cockrell asked if the staff could develop a City master plan for bikeways. She said that there are several sources of funds for this project. An overall plan is needed to determine which of the funding sources might be most appropriate.

Mr. Fischer suggested that this be taken up with the SABCUTS Steering Committee.

City Manager Granata stated that he would discuss this matter with the staff and make a recommendation as to the best method of handling it.

June 6, 1974
nsr

74-25 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Beckmann, seconded by Mrs. Cockrell, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin, Mendoza.

AN ORDINANCE 43,885

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF UARCO INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH THE PRINTING OF PARKING TICKETS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$11,522.00.

* * * *

74-25 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin.

AN ORDINANCE 43,886

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF THE PERRY SHANKLE COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH SANDBLAST EQUIPMENT FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$1,032.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 43,887

AUTHORIZING THE PAYMENT OF \$3,153.65 TO VIDEO SYSTEMS, INC., FOR THE PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL PORTABLE RADIO UNITS.

* * * *

74-25 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 43,888

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF TEXAS STAR SERVICE, INC., TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH ANTI-FREEZE FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$12,752.64.

* * * *

The Ordinance was explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, who said that two weeks ago he had advised the Council concerning the acute shortage of anti-freeze. At that time the Council authorized Mr. Brooks to go on the open market to find a supply. Acting on the Council's suggestion he had contacted Dr. Robert West and through his company had located a source of supply in Corpus Christi. He asked that the Council approve this Ordinance to authorize this purchase.

After consideration, on motion of Mrs. Cockrell, seconded by Mr. Beckmann, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin.

74-25

REVENUE SHARING

City Manager Granata asked that the Council establish a time and date to discuss the revenue sharing budget as it is necessary to adopt such budget no later than June 20. After discussion, the Council decided to consider the budget at the Special Meeting to be held Monday, June 17, 1974.

Mrs. Cockrell asked that a summary be prepared covering any revenue sharing funds left over from any past entitlement periods and what their designated purpose is and whether or not there are any funds that have not been expended that are still subject to reallocation.

City Manager Granata said that the Wurzbach Road Project had \$285,000 allocated. This is now going to be funded under the Federal Aid Urban System of Highways. He said also that Bexar County has assured him that they will take over the Personal Bail Bond program. That would release another \$73,000.

Mr. Fischer said that the Starcrest Drive project has been set up also in the Urban Systems but funds cannot be available until 1975.

74-25

URBAN RENEWAL AGENCY

Mr. Padilla stated that he had previously polled the Council and received no objection to his bringing up the matter of appointment of members of the Urban Renewal Agency Board of Directors. There are five persons on the Board whose terms have expired. One of these members has expressed the desire not to be reappointed. Mr. Padilla asked if it would be all right to name the other four reappointments at this meeting.

Assistant City Attorney Louis Garcia stated that this would be permitted since the item of board appointments was included on the agenda for this meeting.

Mr. Padilla then moved that the following Ordinance be adopted. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morton and on the following roll call vote the Ordinance was adopted: AYES: Cockrell, Becker, Black, Lacy, Morton, Beckmann, Padilla, Mendoza; NAYS: None; ABSENT: San Martin.

AN ORDINANCE 43,889

REAPPOINTING CERTAIN PERSONS TO THE
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE URBAN
RENEWAL AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN
ANTONIO.

* * * *

Mr. Wesley Reed
Roy Garza

Mrs. Virginia McCall
Barbara Banker

These persons are reappointed for terms expiring March 19, 1976.

June 6, 1974

-41-

573nsr

74-25

CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS

The regular meeting of the City Council scheduled for July 4, 1974, was rescheduled for July 3, 1974, by agreement of the Council members.

The Council also agreed to have a special City Council meeting on Tuesday, July 9, 1974, at 9:00 A. M., to consider zoning cases.

City Manager Granata announced that the meeting of June 20, 1974, will be held as short as possible as he has planned a tour by Council members of the public works projects now under way.

74-25

SCHOOL SIDEWALK PROGRAM

Councilman San Martin, who was obliged to leave the meeting at 3:00 P. M., asked the City Clerk to enter into the minutes a request for a staff report on the City's sidewalk program.

74-25

The Clerk read the following letter:

May 31, 1974

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Antonio, Texas

Gentlemen and Madam:

The following petitions were received by my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

- May 28, 1974 Petition in the form of a Resolution by the Bexar County Council of Mayors and signed by Daniel Webster, Chairman, requesting that the City Council of the City of San Antonio, Texas, refuse to pass any motion or ordinance providing for a surcharge on electricity and natural gas rates charged by the City Public Service Board, or any form of discriminatory rates.
- May 28, 1974 Petition of Mr. Ruben R. Lozano, Attorney at Law, 12-565 West Avenue, requesting that the San Antonio Transit System consider extending bus service to the outlying areas of West Avenue.
- May 28, 1974 Petition of Mr. R. A. Winters, 727 West French and signed by citizens of that neighborhood, requesting the correction of a drainage problem and the regrading of two blocks of Breeden Avenue.

/s/ J. H. INSELMANN
 City Clerk

* * * *

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 5:15 P. M.

A P P R O V E D

M A Y O R

ATTEST:

C i t y C l e r k

June 6, 1974
nsr