

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN  
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON  
THURSDAY, MAY 6, 1976.

\* \* \* \*

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A. M., by the presiding officer, Mayor Lila Cockrell, with the following members present: PYNDUS, BILLA, CISNEROS, BLACK, HARTMAN, ROHDE, TENIENTE, NIELSEN, COCKRELL; Absent: NONE.

76-23 The invocation was given by The Reverend Robert Silverman, St. Pius Catholic Church.

76-23 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States.

76-23 CORRECTION TO MINUTES

Councilman Billa called attention to a correction needed on page 4 of the minutes of April 28, 1976. The word "Braniff" should be "grant". With that correction, the minutes of April 28 and 29, 1976 were approved.

76-23 FOREIGN EXCHANGE STUDENTS

Mayor Cockrell recognized a group of foreign exchange students in the audience and welcomed them to the meeting. They came from Belgium, Brazil, Thailand and Yugoslavia.

76-23 PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS

Mr. Harry Jewett, Secretary-Treasurer of Professional Engineers in Private Practice, presented Council members with a new directory of professional engineers in San Antonio and described the role of the professional engineer in today's world.

76-23 BICENTENNIAL TREES

As a part of the Bicentennial celebration, Councilman Al Rohde had promoted a program for bicentennial trees in the San Antonio area. Special Bicentennial certificates were awarded for the most historic, unique and largest trees of various species. Particular mention was made of the oak tree at Fort Sam Houston Quadrangle, the "Hobo" Pecan Tree on the Old Seguin Road, and the Burnt Oak having a circumference of 236 inches. Mrs. Vivian Hamlin assisted Mr. Rohde in the presentation.

76-23 MAYOR EMERITUS WALTER W. McALLISTER, SR.

The Clerk read the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION  
NO. 76-23-30

REQUESTING THAT THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS  
AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION NAME THE U. S. 281 FREEWAY:

WALTER W. McALLISTER, SR. FREEWAY

\* \* \* \*

WHEREAS, in September of 1960, Walter W. McAllister was appointed to the City Council, and from May 1, 1961 to April 30, 1971, served the City for five consecutive terms as Mayor, the longest such tenure in the history of the City, and

WHEREAS, Walter W. McAllister, Sr. has been officially named Mayor Emeritus of the City of San Antonio, and

WHEREAS, during his administration major improvements and additions were made in public works facilities, recreation facilities and in all of those areas that improve the quality of life in this great City; and with the passage of a bond issue in January, 1961, he began the fight to insure construction of a freeway through the north portion of the City, and

WHEREAS, he joined with many other citizens to serve on a task force under the leadership of Frank Bennack to successfully battle to get federal legislation which unlocked the stalemate on the construction of this freeway, and

WHEREAS, the culmination of the efforts of this dedicated group of citizens will come on May 26, 1976 at a ribbon cutting ceremony opening the first portion of this freeway, and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of this City Council, acting on behalf of all of the citizens, to pay tribute and honor to Walter W. McAllister, Sr. who, through his dedicated public service, courage and vision, has provided the leadership and determination which was the key to giving the citizens of San Antonio this long needed facility; NOW, THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO:

That in recognition of the outstanding service and contributions he has made to the welfare and progress of this great City and in deep appreciation for his untiring efforts, devotion to duty and unwavering loyalty, the City Council requests that the State Department of Highways and Public Transportation name this freeway for which he was so largely responsible:

WALTER W. McALLISTER, SR. FREEWAY

\* \* \* \*

Mayor Cockrell said that most San Antonians are familiar with the long history of the freeway and the effort put forth by Mayor Emeritus Walter McAllister to see it completed. One of the first persons to suggest that the U. S. 281 Freeway be named after Mayor McAllister was Mr. O. P. Schnabel. She invited Mr. Schnabel to address the Council.

Mr. Schnabel quoted a paragraph from an old newspaper clipping suggesting the name. He commended Mayor McAllister and urged adoption of the resolution.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

Mayor Cockrell then presented Mayor Emeritus Walter W. McAllister, Sr. with a copy of the Resolution.

Mayor Emeritus McAllister stated that he deeply appreciated the City Council's gesture, and thanked them for the Resolution.

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Sam Dominguez, Director of Manpower Planning, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde.

AN ORDINANCE 46,610

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN AGREEMENT WITH BEXAR COUNTY AND ELEVEN SURROUNDING RURAL COUNTIES IN THE ALAMO PLANNING REGION CONTINUING THE ALAMO MANPOWER CONSORTIUM FOR OPERATING COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT (CETA) PROGRAMS FOR AN ADDITIONAL PERIOD COMMENCING OCTOBER 1, 1976.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 Councilman Richard Teniente read and explained the following Resolution:

A RESOLUTION  
NO. 76-23-31

ENDORING THE DESIGNATION OF AN AREA WITHIN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS A FREE TRADE ZONE AND RESPECTFULLY REQUESTING OUR LEGISLATIVE DELEGATION TO INTRODUCE NECESSARY LEGISLATION FOR ITS CREATION.

\* \* \* \*

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, the Resolution was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde.

The Mayor and Members of the Council then complimented Mr. Teniente on his work in initiating this Resolution.

76-23 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,611

ALLOCATING \$2,013,668.00 FROM CETA TITLE I MANPOWER TRAINING - YEAR 2 GRANT FUNDS RECEIVED UNDER THE COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ACT OF 1973 FOR OPERATION OF THE 1976 SUMMER YOUTH EMPLOYMENT PROGRAM; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT WITH SAN ANTONIO NEIGHBORHOOD YOUTH ORGANIZATION FOR OPERATION OF THE PROGRAM IN BEXAR COUNTY AND WITH THE COMMUNITY COUNCIL OF SOUTH CENTRAL TEXAS FOR OPERATION OF THE PROGRAM IN ELEVEN SURROUNDING COUNTIES IN THE ALAMO AREA MANPOWER CONSORTIUM AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENTS TO THESE CONTRACTORS FOR PROGRAM EXPENSES.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Sam Dominguez, Director of Manpower Planning, explained that this is the ordinance that was selected for consideration by the Council at this morning's "B" Session.

Mr. Pyndus said that he would still be in favor of using some of the people involved in this program for brush clean-up.

In response to Mr. Billa's question, Mr. Dominguez stated that a certain number of youths that are assigned to school districts work with the maintenance groups and perform outside activities.

Dr. Nielsen asked Mr. Alfred Rodriguez of the San Antonio Neighborhood Youth Organization to make the job descriptions of the different jobs to be performed be made available to the City Council.

After consideration, on motion of Dr. Nielsen, seconded by Dr. Cisneros, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

76-23 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,612

AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH PROJECT ACCEPTANCE BY LEARNING AND EARNING (PROJECT ABLE), A NON-PROFIT OPERATING AGENCY, FOR OPERATING A DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM ON THE EASTSIDE FOR 12 MONTHS; AUTHORIZING PAYMENT TO THE AGENCY UP TO \$106,617.00; ACCEPTING A GRANT FROM THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION OF THE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR TO THE CITY IN SUPPORT OF SAID PROGRAM; AND ESTABLISHING FUNDING FOR THE PROJECT.

\* \* \* \*

After consideration, on motion of Dr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Billa, the ordinance was passed and approved by the following Ordinance: AYES: Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: Pyndus; ABSENT: None.

The following conversation then took place:

MR. PHIL PYNDUS: I would like for it to go in the record that I voted against the \$106,000 program for Project ABLE because their application does not reflect what the program does or what it plans to do on a daily basis.

Father Brosnan's Nov. 20, 1975 letter stated emphasis on training in printing is too narrow to meet the needs of all drug dependent persons. He also stated the project should serve more than 100 clients in a year for \$106,000 since that is the same number served for \$38,000 prior to CJC funding.

The project appears to be over-staffed unless there is justification in terms of handling a greater number of clients.

I checked with Bexar County MH/MR Center and they advised Project ABLE with a staff of two people, referred six clients to MH/MR since January. MHMR could not testify as to the quantity, quality or kind of service Project ABLE provides in follow-up, except for some printing training.

One staff member in MHMR Center is sufficient to do job finding and referral for about 400 clients with the assistance of the Texas Vocational Rehabilitation Commission. Project ABLE with a staff of seven people plan to service only 100 clients.

May 6, 1976  
el

I am not comfortable with the fact that the salaries of 7 individuals make up over 67% of the \$106,000 budget, with the top salary of \$13,000 going to Mr. Eugene Coleman, Program Director. When this proposal was submitted, Mr. Coleman was a member of the Advisory Committee of Bexar Metropolitan Criminal Justice Council and to me it is obvious why this application was approved by the Bexar Metropolitan Criminal Justice Council.

Finally, attention is directed to October 29, 1975 letter signed by Bill Holchak specifically the following:

"As it stands now, there is no opportunity at the early stage of the Bexar Metropolitan Criminal Justice Council project selection process for the kind of analysis which needs to be done. We end up with one or more of the City Councilmen on the BMCJC Executive Committee designating certain proposed new social service projects as City-sponsored projects, without City staff having ever heard of the project."  
(Unquote)

Thank you very much.

REVEREND CLAUDE BLACK: Madam Mayor.

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: Rev. Black.

REV. BLACK: Some very serious implications have been reflected in the statement of Councilman Pyndus which require at least this further immediate response. In the first place, I do not see where Councilman Pyndus has provided the evidence or the background for justification for the statements that he has or the persons that he has used as authorities. What authority do they have to determine the effectiveness of any program in terms of its relationship to the east side. Number one is that those persons have little acquaintance with it. Their own programs have not accomplished a great deal in terms what has happened on the east side. Therefore, in my opinion, they do not serve as experts in the whole area of what you need and what you have to have on the east side. They aren't acquainted with the problems there.

The next is that I think Councilman Pyndus fails to recognize that the area that he addresses has a very serious problem. And therefore, he is willing to deal with personalities in this matter rather than dealing with the issue of cure and helping to deal with the problem of narcotics in that area.

Now, I would rather and am prepared to debate with him on issues that deal with cures. But I'm not going to sit on this Council and simply have implications of - to say that it is obvious why this was okayed, simply because a man happens to be on the (inaudible) when others have to vote. That makes no - that is without - it's improper - it's an improper condemnation of the individual, implication of the individual had something to own there, and I think that is not addressing the substance. Now, if any time the Councilman would like to address the substance of the issue, I'd be happy to. But I'm not going to spend any additional time dealing with a narrow, little aspects of the project in terms of personalities.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. May I just suggest that, the vote has been taken. I don't think it...the Council business can.....

MR. PYNDUS: Mayor Cockrell. I do not intend for it to get into a debate but I - as I recall, at the "B" Session, there was a lot of emotionalism and there was a lot of covering of the facts, and Councilman Black's remarks are well taken. He has taken the experts in town and has disqualified their remarks. The experts that I quote, they're not my own opinion. I'm not taking a narrow viewpoint, I'm taking.....

REV. BLACK: I'm not disqualifying their - I'm simply saying you have not provided the justification for their being experts.

MR. PYNDUS: We won't debate that. But I would like to point out the fact that the gentleman who is in charge of the project was actually on the committee of the Bexar Metropolitan Criminal Justice Council, but I would like - Advisory Council and the Executive Council approved it. I would like to ask the City Attorney if that is a conflict of interest.

MR. TENIENTE: He had no vote. Mrs. Cockrell, I sit on that committee, and I think I also have to make a statement because it kind of reflects on the - perhaps, some of my work here, but as I recall this last time Mr. Coleman was not on the Advisory Council. That was about a year and a half ago before it was proposed. And.....

MR. PYNDUS: That's not accurate.

MR. TENIENTE: Let me finish please. And then the other thing that you're talking about. You're talking - you're using Father Brosnan in there. He too, is seeking funds. And so I can understand the reason for him making certain statements because I think he's also in a position where he's vying for funds and looking for certain type of monies from projects. So, I take those types of comments quite lightly when I know that they are all competing for the same dollar.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Let me make this comment. I think that this - that action has been taken by the majority of the Council, and I think if there's any further requests for information or comments that perhaps they should come at a later time now.

MR. ROHDE: Mayor, I would like to discuss the issue. I don't think the vote's been taken yet.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, Mr. Rohde, go ahead.

MR. ROHDE: Okay. I saw this coming, Mr. Pyndus, and I told you last week that I was very upset that you were not allowed to discuss the matter. I think the Councilmen of this Council have three major areas of duties. One is to make laws for the City. Two is to express concerns of the City for the citizens of San Antonio. And a major duty is to investigate the institutions of this City especially run by City funds. Mr. Pyndus, two weeks ago or three weeks ago, asked that this matter be withdrawn from the agenda to give him time to prepare his investigation of this matter, and it was withdrawn by the Council. It had - it did not have, really the support of the - Mr. Rinehart's group, if I understand. He supported that. Mr. Pyndus made every effort to Council was to investigate this matter in the "B" Session Council last week and he was cut off. Now, what are you - where do you go from here? I think Mr. Pyndus had a full right to get all the questions and get all the information, and he did not receive it. In fact, Mayor, I told Mr. Pyndus that I would speak to you about this matter, and I've not done it but I'm doing it in open session. Is that - where do the Councilman go to get his investigation to get his information so he's satisfied to make his vote be heard and seen. This is the reason that I said that I felt we needed a citizen review committee to go over these matters in the area, and I find that the staff does not want this. But this Council can't take care of everything in this City. Especially these programs where we're having some problems with them and so forth. This Council can't investigate every problem here. We can't rely on staff on everything, we've got to make our own decisions and things of this sort. But, I think the most important duty the Councilman has if he feels there's something wrong is to make an investigation. I want those doors open to this Council, and I want it for any Councilman on this Council and I will defend that right, and this is not the issue of this program or any other programs, but any Councilman sitting here who's under the Charter has a full right to investigate anything where one cent goes into those funds. And Mr. Pyndus has been cut off, in my opinion, from this investigation. And I think it's totally wrong. And I want to express that for the public record.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Let me just stop and review since all this has come up. The Council was requested to delay action which the Council did. The Council then heard the item in "B" Session and agreed to go forward with the project. This morning Mr. Pyndus requested the opportunity to make a statement following the vote. He was granted that opportunity. His statement will be a portion of the minutes. I think if there's any further action requested by any Council member, I would appreciate getting a review of any further charges or comments in writing so that I would have the opportunity to study them, but other than that I think it's time to move on.

MR. ROHDE: Mayor, I've made these charges, but I do feel that Mr. Pyndus should have had the full authority to complete his line of questioning last week to get everything out in the open. And it was denied him. And I think that was totally wrong. It's very improper, for this Council to do that.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Pyndus, if you have any other - I think we've already taken the vote. The vote is already over, and if there's - fine. If there's any other statement, you're most welcome to make it.

MR. PYNDUS: I don't think there's any need for it. Mr. Rohde has stated his concern and I did think that last week we passed through my remarks a little hastily, and I think he's made his point...and I appreciate it.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Fine. We'll go then to the next item which is Item No. 4.

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. William T. Stallings, Acting Director of Civil Defense, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Teniente, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,613

AUTHORIZING A FIVE YEAR EXTENSION OF THE  
LEASE OF A BUILDING AT FORT SAM HOUSTON  
FOR STORAGE OF CIVIL DEFENSE SUPPLIES.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,614

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A  
LEASE AGREEMENT WITH OUR LADY OF SORROWS  
CHURCH FOR CITY USE OF SPACE DURING THE  
SUMMER RECREATION PROGRAM.

\* \* \* \*

Mr. Teniente explained that this item had been withdrawn from consideration at last week's meeting so that staff could determine the feasibility of using a public school facility instead of the Our Lady of Sorrows building.

Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, stated that his office had determined that no City monies would have to be spent if the Our Lady of Sorrows building is used. If the McKinley School were to be used, City funds would have to be spent for some renovation.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Dr. Cisneros, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,615

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF AUSTIN PAVING COMPANY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$39,990.00 TO PERFORM CONSTRUCTION OF THE PABLO'S GROVE PARKING LOT AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT FOR THE JOB; AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF SAID CONTRACT AND \$2,000.00 FOR CONTINGENT EXPENSES, ESTABLISHING A PROJECT FUND AND PROVIDING FOR CONTRIBUTION TO THE FUND FROM FEDERAL REVENUE SHARING FUNDS.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Police Chief Emil Peters, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa, Rohde, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,616

AUTHORIZING SUBMISSION OF A REQUEST FOR A GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,872.00 TO THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION OF THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE, SAID FUNDS TO BE USED TO PURCHASE EQUIPMENT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME INVESTIGATION DIVISION.

\* \* \* \*

76-23

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Dr. Cisneros commended Chief Peters for the fast and excellent performance by members of the Police Department in the apprehension of a suspect in a recent murder case. He said that he had also discussed police operation with some police officers who were very pleased with their portable short-wave radios.

76-23

C.P.S.B. RATE STUDY PROPOSAL OF O'BRIEN & GERE

The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,617

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF O'BRIEN & GERE TO CONDUCT A RATE STUDY IN CONNECTION WITH THE C.P.S.B. RATE INCREASE REQUEST, FOR A CONSIDERATION NOT TO EXCEED \$35,000.00.

\* \* \* \*

The following discussion took place:

CITY MANAGER SAM GRANATA: Mayor and Council. Carl White is out of the City attending a meeting; however, Mr. Adam Kubik of the firm of O'Brien and Gere is here, and he would like to approach the podium so that he can tell you what the proposal includes and then if some of you would like to ask him additional questions as you indicated last week, to add to the proposal, he'll be glad to answer questions.

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: That will be fine. Mr. Kubik.

MR. ADAM KUBIK: Madam Mayor, members of the City Council. We have submitted this proposal to perform the final step of the review of the CPS rates and operations. The status of the project is such that it is possible now to review the second step of rate relief provision because the preceding steps including capital program review have now been completed and the input to the preparation of revenue requirements, rate designs and the other things which go into the rate petition can now proceed.

We have also proposed, since the review of CPS rates and operations has been an on-going thing for about two years we have also proposed to summarize for the benefit of the City Council and also possibly the public utilities commission in the events the regulatory jurisdiction should be transferred later to the PUC to prepare a summary report all findings in connection with CPS at the conclusion of this review. The proposal has been submitted in a number of copies several weeks ago, it is on file with the City Manager's office. It proposes to use the contract terms the same as we have previously utilized and the estimated budget amounts for this supplemental proposal is \$35,000. If there are any questions that the members of the City Council wish to ask or add any additional areas of investigation to it, I would be pleased to answer those.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PHIL PYNDUS: Mayor Cockrell, I would like to ask Mr. Kubik some questions with regards to our City Water rates and I would defer these questions until the, my colleagues have asked regarding the City Public Service rates, but I would like to ask you some questions regarding our water situation.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Were there questions regarding the proposed item that we have before us now. Dr. Cisneros.

DR. HENRY CISNEROS: Yes, what would you suggest, sir, would be the appropriate time for getting the questions that we might have. My own questions of, not being an expert in this area, will require some, little bit of further personal research before I might articulate them quite properly, but they go to the matter of whether or not - given that over the long haul we are going to have to develop these alternative energy sources, nuclear and coal, and that present rate payers will be paying not only the initial capital costs of those long term sources but also be paying in the short term for their own energy needs in the form of continuing to pay the national gas price and so forth, it seems to me at least from my initial research that our best hope for any relief at all in rates relates to what we can do marginally, what we can do incrementally by altering the rate structure in such a way that it could provide relief. I am not, I am talking about such things, for example, as peak load pricing, time of day pricing, winter-summer differentials which we are not experimenting with and so forth. Would these kinds of rate alterations be appropriate questions in the rate study that you are undertaking.

MR. KUBIK: Yes, these considerations will be reviewed. The City Public Service, for example, has recently applied and probably will obtain a federal grant.

DR. CISNEROS: Summer, winter average.

MR. KUBIK: That's right, so these things will be one of the considerations to be taken into account in the study which we have proposed. But, you asked regarding the proper time to ask these questions. We do propose shortly to schedule to present to the City Council after scheduling through the City Manager's office our findings on the review of the CPS capital program. This report gets into the areas of future generations sources, future energy supplies, alternatives and so on and perhaps at that time we can summarize the whole situation for the City Council. This would provide a better overall picture of the whole thing and maybe this would be the time to ask these types of questions.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Let's see, Mr. Hartman.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: I am not sure on that last point that I followed you there, Mr. Kubik. Did you indicate, are you saying that you will have, or that you will develop figures during this review that would be pertinent to relate to possible, variable rate arrangements, and that time that this question should be addressed. Is that what I heard you say?

MR. KUBIK: No, this is not quite what I intended to say, Mr. Hartman. What I did intend to say that in relation to future energy sources, we could discuss this thinking and debate following our presentation of the review of the CPS capital program, capital construction program, power plants, transmission lines and so on.

The proposal which is before the City Council incorporates the review of the CPS revenue requirements, rate design, the philosophies of rate design which would be the items to be reviewed at a last step of review all CPS operations. The input from the construction program largely determines the requirements for future source of capital.

MR. HARTMAN: Okay, now in the realm of rate design, the philosophy of rate design, are you going to provide to this Council some alternatives with regard to possible shifting of the - or lighting the burden, for example, in certain areas with regard to energy cost. For example, variable rates, is this going to be a viable alternative to be discussed.

MR. KUBIK: This is a very large subject. We propose to address ourselves to the general aspects of this subject. To develop actually a number of several alternatives probably would not be required under this rate study. CPS did have their own rate consultants, Vestal Services, who have reviewed these things and they have provided their report which we will in turn review and express our opinions and recommendations with it. To do it all over.....

MR. HARTMAN: So, you are telling me that there won't actually be any alternatives per se with regard to some variable rate.....

MR. KUBIK: There have been alternatives already considered, Mr. Hartman. And we will review the alternatives that have been considered for sufficiency, and if we feel that some other alternatives should be considered and rates should be altered in form or in the direction in which these offer relief to certain customers but not others we would make those recommendations.

MR. HARTMAN: As, for example, the life-line rate...which have been discussed.....

MR. KUBIK: That's right, and we would make those recommendations but the actual redesign of rates would be the CPS function not ours...because they are the utility.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. Mr. Teniente had been first, then Mr. Rohde.

MR. RICHARD TENIENTE: Yes, Mr. Kubik, are you going to be in town for today and tomorrow, will you be here? I'd like to talk to you and ask you some questions and many of my questions possibly may have already been discussed but it is just the way the rate structure is formed and it's so complicated that I have some questions that I would like to go over with you, and I would like to have set up the meeting but I don't want you to leave and don't know when you will be here and perhaps you have the answers to these questions that I have at my office.

MR. KUBIK: I would be very glad to meet with you, sir, and my schedule right now calls for catching a 3:10 flight, but we could perhaps could get together during the noon hour.

MR. TENIENTE: All right.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, fine. Are there any other questions? Yes, Mr. Rohde.

MR. AL ROHDE: How much weight will you put in this study as to the management costs of CPS?

MR. KUBIK: The management cost?

MR. ROHDE: Yes.

MR. KUBIK: Will you care to define it a little?

MR. ROHDE: Yes. Budget costs, and what it costs to operate over there.

MR. KUBIK: What it costs to.....

MR. ROHDE: What it costs the rate payers of this City.

MR. KUBIK: You mean in terms of administrative overhead or things....

MR. ROHDE: That's right. The whole ball of wax.

MR. KUBIK: Well, we have addressed ourselves to this item in the so-called Phase II of the study, where we prepared a number of separate test completion reports. One of them was on the cost of administrative overhead.

MR. ROHDE: Yes, but that was with the last budget. I am talking about the present budget. It changes.

MR. KUBIK: If the City Council desired us to look into that again, we could, but at the present the proposal does not include that.

MR. ROHDE: I am going to put you on notice now when you make this study, that I went to the CPS during their budget hearing and got waved off, like an airplane that was lost, because I was very concerned about the rate payers of this City paying for a Cadillac and Rolls Royce, CPS Board of Trustees, the whole ball of wax and the best that could be done was by our good Mayor, was to cut out a \$100,000 out of that budget. I said at that time I felt they had a frill budget, they were having duplication in various areas of the City for service centers, things of this sort. Well, sure you can run a beautiful CPS Board of Public Service as long as the money is there and the rate payers are paying it. But there was no effort by the Board of Trustees or the staff was to cut back on this budget and until I see a million dollars worth of cuts in these budgets, there is, I will vote no on this rate hike. You can make all the rate study you have, but to turn my vote around, you are going to have to prove to me that this is not out of line, that this budget is an accurate budget and things of this sort and I expect you to do that if the City is going to pay you \$35,000.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Are there any other questions? Dr. Cisneros.

DR. CISNEROS: Mr. Kubik, I have to have the feeling of in this whole discussion about energy and so forth, that we have been going from rate increase to rate increase from one source to other possible sources in discussion about them, from determination of one contract to entering into another bond issue, those sorts of things. We really have no, if you will, at least the community doesn't perceive they may exist, but the community doesn't perceive anything that you would call a concerted energy policy for this area of Bexar County, City of San Antonio, etc. Do you envision any aspect of your study helping us to move toward what we might call a fairly well concerted, fairly deliberate very well brought out energy policy that addresses not only long-term issues but also some of the more immediate price question. I have talked, for example, recently about getting the City together with the Bexar County delegations begin to think through what might be necessary by way of State legislation on pricing, on sales tax, City's in lieu of and anything else to get us to sit down with the City Public Service Trustees for that matter. Two questions. Number one, do you see that as a reasonably, feasible realm of discussion, and secondly, do you plan in your study to address any aspects of what might be called a long-term energy policy for this area.

MR. KUBIK: Mr. Cisneros, this is a two-part question, and naturally there is a two-part answer. There is a long range energy plan by CPS, and this is the thing which we have been reviewing for two years. We have been reviewing it as they prepare the various components of this plan. One of the things which we propose to do under this authorization is to prepare for the City Council and for publication a summary reports of all of these items. So this will answer your question insofar as it relates to the City of San Antonio service area, the CPS service area, which is the only one that they have control over, and this is the only one that the City Council has control over.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May I make a just inadvertent comment. I think I understand the drift of Dr. Cisneros' comments, and I would like to say that I don't believe the Council has received it yet, but the CPS has prepared a letter addressing a number of points that our policy issues for consideration by the Council and I think that we, after the Council has had time to study them, for example, it will enumerate areas where there is still latitude for Council decision making, pointing out a number of different areas, and I think this can be followed after the Council has had time to receive and review that by a policy meeting with the CPS on some of these matters.....

DR. CISNEROS: You understand my dilemma. That is that we seem to go from, you know, from immediate decisions to immediate decisions relative to bonds one time, relative to rates another time, relative to some new pricing structures another time..But, I don't have the sense for one that, though a plan may exist, that we are operating in any, you know, sort of larger policy framework. I guess what I am saying is that, if I don't perceive it that way, I am sure that the citizens don't perceive it that way, or most don't perceive that way and so we would go from crisis to crisis and perhaps it is time for the Council to articulate and first of all, make the choices then articulate what amounts to an energy policy. We are constantly walking that tight rope between trying to offer energy to our consumers at reasonable prices, at prices that they can afford while on the other time having to make the capital commitments for the long-term availability. We don't think have yet settled down on that tight rope as to what it's going to look like when we walk it, you know.

MR. HARTMAN: Madam Mayor.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. I might say that Mr. Kubik's primary role, of course, will be in the actual rate review. In terms of these policy issues, some of the areas, for example, that the Council can review with its own staff and with the CPS Board are again to review

the amount of money which the City takes as income from the CPS bills. Another area is to ask the legislature to look into any way to address the discrepancy between the cost of gas, interstate and intrastate and a number of questions, the question of sales tax on utility bills, a number of issues that, you know, are a part of the overall policy. Right. Those are just a few to mention. Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. HARTMAN: I don't intend to delay this very long, but there is one point that I relate to the memorandum which we got from Carl White that said that these studies have been beneficial in terms of modernizing some of the procedures at CPS. But take in the area of load forecasting and capital improvement management which, of course, certainly is the basis for it. I just have a question with regard to the thing that's sort of been rattling around over the last several weeks. This matter of generating capacity requirements. There has been some discussion about an article, for example, one of our local newspapers several weeks ago, that stated that, you know, if the customer were willing to accept say a power outage of x number of minutes every six months, you could perhaps project a cutting of his bill by 10 or 15 percent of whatever that might be. They said that the title of it was essentially that the customers may have to ascertain, you know, how much backup that is really needed. And this is an area that, of course, with the cost going up, I think more and more we are going to have to consider, I am wondering if the study that you are about to undertake is going to, perhaps provide a basis for that kind of a policy discussion for example. In other words, do we, you know, as to whether there would be any flexibility with regard to cutting back the amount of buffer or backup that we have for a generating capacity.

MR. KUBIK: Not this study per se, sir, because this is just the final financial step in connection with reviewing all of the engineering, planning, and technical operations of the City Public Service. As we're going through this debate what I see perhaps needed is to set aside a substantial block of time perhaps one and a half to two hours a special presentation which we could make on everything that has so far achieved between the City Public Service and O'Brien and Gere in the review because most of these questions which have come up for discussion we have reviewed at one time.

MAYOR COCKRELL: I think this is a very good point because a number of these reports were made in the last Council term and perhaps while they, I think, have been given to this Council, perhaps we need to have it reviewed and the progress that has been made.

MR. KUBIK: Reading a report is one thing but listening to a presentation and asking questions of specific concern.....

MR. HARTMAN: I think there are several of these things we need to address.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Well, we'll ask the Manager if he will, to take note of that desire for the Council for a full review of the previous reports that have been made.

Are there any further questions? May we have a motion then for approval.

MR. PYNDUS: So move.

DR. CISNEROS: Second.

AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen.

\* \* \* \*

MAYOR COCKRELL: The motion has carried and we'll be working with you, Mr. Kubik, and also have this review for the Council. Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: While Mr. Kubik is with us. At the last meeting between the Water Board and this Council at a "B" Session, there were two conflicting opinions with regards to the amount of water rate increase, and at that time an outside source was possibly mentioned, and I got in touch with Mr. Kubik and he, in turn, sent a letter to me that I gave to each of the Council members and you should have it in your possession, I think it was last week that you got the letter. Since his coming into town, I spoke with him with regard to the different conflicting opinions that, we, the staff, and the City Water Board have with regards to a rate increase, the amount of it. I was wondering, with the Council's permission, if we could discuss that issue with Mr. Kubik while he is in town tomorrow or would that be not in the arena of his.....

MAYOR COCKRELL: May I ask, Mr. Manager, have you received a copy of the letter, or are you aware of this request from Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: I'm sorry, the letter came from Mr. Kubik and I placed it on each Council person's desk. I don't think...did you get one?

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: I don't believe I have unless it's in this morning's mail which I have not seen.

MR. PYNDUS: No, it was last Thursday. I'm sorry.

MAYOR COCKRELL: May I make this suggestion that possibly the letter be referred to our staff. I understand the problem of Mr. Kubik, you know, of getting of making available of his time while he is here to consider the proposal. I do think we need some response by the Manager in terms also of the fact that the direction was given to the two staffs to begin meeting. Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Madam Mayor, I have discussed this matter with Councilman Pyndus and also briefly with Mr. Kubik. I think that what we're looking for here is not in any kind of a rate study or any kind of this kind of thing. All we're really saying is perhaps the need is being identified where there are differences between the City staff and the City Water Board staff, with regard to certain principles and philosophies in regard to developing rates - rate structure and so on that, perhaps, it would be beneficial on say a few hours basis to have an expert like Mr. Kubik who could say, you know, you are wrong and you are right, or you're both wrong, or you're both right and here is the accepted philosophy. And here's the way this problem should be approached I don't see this as any great undertaking but rather you know maybe on a consultant basis for a few hours while the staff sits down and just agree on philosophy. We're having difficulty in that area. Not just figures.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. May I ask Council if we could ask that the City Manager and his staff to review the possibility of proceeding on the basis along that line and make a recommendation to the Council. Mr. Manager, could we ask that you and your staff....

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: Yes, madam, we'd be happy to.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Visit with Mr. Kubik and also with Councilman Pyndus and Councilman Hartman, both of whom have looked into the proposal and then come to us with your recommendation.

CITY MANAGER GRANATA: Yes, madam, we would be happy to.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you. Fine. Are there any other questions? We then thank you sir. Next item.

022  
76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,618

APPROVING THE NOTICE OF SALE AND PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND ESTABLISHING AND SETTING THE HOUR AND DATE FOR THE SALE OF THE PROPOSED "\$20,000,000 CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES 1976," DATED JUNE 1, 1976; DIRECTING THE PUBLICATION OF THE NOTICE OF SALE OF SAID BONDS; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE NOTICE OF SALE AND THE PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT TO PROSPECTIVE PURCHASERS; AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 Mayor Cockrell was obliged to leave the meeting and Mayor Pro-Tem Hartman presided.

76-23 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mel Suteltenfuss, Director of Public Works, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,619

AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH BROWN ENGINEERING COMPANY TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES AND PREPARE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE COUNTRYSIDE SAN PEDRO, UNIT 4 OFF-SITE SANITARY SEWER MAIN, APPROPRIATING FUNDS AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 46,620

REVISING THE FEE FOR SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AND REMOVAL SERVICE FOR COMMERCIAL PREMISES FROM 22¢ PER STICKER OR PLASTIC BAG TO 15¢ PER STICKER OR PLASTIC BAG.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,621

ACCEPTING THE LOW BID OF \$58,431.00 FROM HOUSTON BRIDGE & ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC. TO CONSTRUCT THE FRIO CITY ROAD PEDESTRIAN

OVERPASS AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF SAID  
AMOUNT AND \$2,921.55 IN CONTINGENT EXPENSES  
FROM FEDERAL REVENUE SHARING FUNDS.

\* \* \* \*

The Ordinance was explained by Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, who said that it accepts the low bid for construction of the pedestrian bridge on Frio City Road at the tracks. The bid amount is considerably below the engineer's estimate and he recommended approval.

City Manager Sam Granata said that in order to expedite this item, (as well as Item No. 21 on the agenda) and in order not to go into the general fund he recommended that necessary funds be borrowed from existing but unallocated funds from the Sixth Entitlement Revenue Sharing account which was for the Lanier Swimming Pool. It will be necessary to borrow approximately \$61,353.00 for the pedestrian bridge and \$42,043.00 for Park Ranger radios. He recommended that the next Revenue Sharing Budget be adjusted to repay this loan.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Billa, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell.

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. W. S. Clark, Director of R.O.W. and Land Acquisition, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,622

ACCEPTING HIGH BIDS FOR SALE OF CERTAIN  
CITY-OWNED IMPROVEMENTS IN CONNECTION  
WITH THE MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. DRIVE  
STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, MAKING AND  
MANIFESTING BILLS OF SALE THERETO AND  
REJECTING ALL OTHER BIDS.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. W. S. Clark, Director of R.O.W. and Land Acquisition, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,623

APPROPRIATING FROM CERTAIN FUNDS AMOUNTS IN  
THE TOTAL SUM OF \$14,020.50 IN PAYMENT FOR  
EXPENSES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH RIVER  
BEND PARKING STRUCTURE; HARRY WURZBACH ROAD  
SANITARY SEWER RELIEF MAIN; STORM DRAINAGE

PROJECT #58-D (MAYBERRY); STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT #58-C (CULEBRA-MARTIN); STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT #39-G (WEIR AVENUE); 24TH STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT; MARTIN LUTHER KING STREET IMPROVEMENT (NEBRASKA STREET); BRAUBACH STREET SANITARY SEWER MAIN (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); WHISPERING OAKS UNIT 10 SANITARY SEWER (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); BAKER OIL TOOLS SUBDIVISION OFF-SITE SEWER PROJECT (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); SUNSET HILLS SUBDIVISION DRAINAGE (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); AND U. S. HIGHWAY 281 NORTH EXPRESSWAY.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,624

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF MCKESSON CHEMICAL COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HEALTH DEPARTMENT WITH ALCOHOL AND ACETONE FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$6,687.36.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 46,625

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BIDS OF A-1 QUALITY FENCE CO., INC. AND DIAMOND FENCE COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT WITH FENCING MATERIAL FOR WILLOW SPRINGS GOLF COURSE FOR A TOTAL OF \$20,760.96.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 46,626

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BIDS OF GENERAL ELECTRIC SUPPLY COMPANY AND GRAYBAR ELECTRIC CO., INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT WITH ELECTRICAL MATERIAL FOR A TOTAL OF \$15,521.75.

\* \* \* \*

AN ORDINANCE 46,627

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF CUSHMAN ELECTRONICS, INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO POLICE DEPARTMENT WITH FREQUENCY AND MODULATION MONITORS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$14,050.00.

\* \* \* \*

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Stewart Fischer, Director of Traffic and Transportation and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Teniente, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,628

APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF \$404,000.00 FROM THE 1970 STREET IMPROVEMENT BOND FUND PAYABLE TO THE STATE TREASURER, ACCOUNT OF TRUST FUND NO. 927, TO PAY THE CITY'S COST OF THE SAN PEDRO AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS.

\* \* \* \*

76-23

REVIEW OF THE DE ZAVALA ROAD  
NORTHSIDE HIGH SCHOOL SUBDIVISION PLAT

Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, stated that in accordance with Council policy, this plat, located over the Edwards Aquifer, is presented for review by the City Council. All the requirements of the Texas Water Quality Board have been met.

Mr. Lanny Sinkin, representing the Aquifer Protection Association, spoke to the Council asking that a Resolution be passed urging that the Northside School District delay construction of the De Zavala High School until the Aquifer Study is completed.

Mr. Ed Cody, Superintendent of the Northside Independent School District, stated that all requirements of the Texas Water Quality Board have been met and construction is scheduled to begin.

Mr. Carl Raba, a member of the Northside Independent School District, explained in detail the steps taken to abide with the criteria of the Texas Water Quality Board. He also stated that the need for a high school is justified and based on present enrollment figures. In response to a question by Mr. Cisneros, Mr. Raba stated he is not familiar with the standards required of San Antonio Ranch, and the matter would have to be discussed with the consultants.

After discussion, Mayor Pro-Tem Hartman suggested that a meeting with the Aquifer Subcommittee and members of the Northside Independent School District take place and asked Mr. Cisneros to write a letter delineating the areas of concern that need to be reviewed.

76-23 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Hartman, Teniente, Nielsen; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Black, Rohde, Cockrell.

AN ORDINANCE 46,629

ACCEPTING THE OFFER OF GENERAL ELECTRIC CO. TO EXTEND UNTIL MAY 15, 1976 THE PRICES, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THEIR FEBRUARY 28, 1975 PORTABLE RADIO BID AND AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF 34 ADDITIONAL UHF PORTABLE RECEIVERS AND ACCESSORIES THEREUNDER FOR A TOTAL OF \$42,043.00

\* \* \* \*

-18-

May 6, 1976

img

925

76-23 The meeting recessed for lunch at 12:00 noon and reconvened at 1:30 P.M.

76-23

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

CITY-OWNED PARKING FACILITY

Several persons appeared to speak to the Council protesting the selection of a site bounded by East Commerce Street, Casino Street, Market Street and South Presa Street for construction of a municipally-owned parking garage. They were:

Mrs. Holly Grasso.  
 Mr. McKnight.  
 Mrs. Fred Seeman.  
 Mr. Arthur C. Grasso.  
 Mr. Julius Coben.  
 Mr. M. E. Cutler.

They are owners of retail businesses in the area and would be forced out of business if the garage were built. A petition protesting the selection was filed with the City Clerk and is signed by the business owners in the area. It was suggested that the site at the corner of Commerce and St. Mary's Street be considered instead.

Mayor Cockrell emphasized the point that the selection of a site has not even been recommended officially to City Council and assured those present that their objections would be taken into account at the proper time.

CYGNETS OF SAN ANTONIO

Ms. Margaret Swan, representing the Cygnets of San Antonio, spoke to the Council. She stated that the Cygnets have been an integral part of San Antonio and has brought athletic fame to this City for the past ten years. As third place winners in the recent national championship, they will represent the United States in Czechoslovakia on June 19 and 20, 1976. She stated that the cost of the trip will be \$6,500 and asked for help from the City.

Mayor Cockrell stated that the matter be referred to the staff and consideration of this request be discussed with the Convention and Visitors Bureau to see if any participation by the City is feasible.

MR. HENRY MUNOZ

Mr. Henry Munoz, Business Manager for Local 2399, spoke to the Council. He said he is very concerned about the layoffs of some City employees due to a \$10 million cut in the proposed budget. He also stated that the City should be more concerned with City positions instead of concerning themselves with Kelly's reduction in force.

Mayor Cockrell stated that there are no plans to layoff any City personnel. The Council is in the process of budget review and has given direction to the City Manager to review the budget on the point of view of several different levels including zero-based budgeting. She stated that it was very premature to be concerned about any particular layoffs at this time.

MR. KARL WURZ

Mr. Karl Wurz appeared for the tenth time to speak on the subject of general obligation bonds. Again, he spoke on the benefits of improvement districts and the pay as you go system.

Mayor Cockrell stated that credit is part of the American way of life and most individuals utilize credit to finance major improvements in one form or another.

MR. GAYLORD STEVENS

Mr. Gaylord Stevens stated that he has been unable to meet with staff regarding the renewal of his lease on the San Antonio River Walk.

Mayor Cockrell asked Mr. Joe Madison of the City Manager's Office to meet with Mr. Stevens to discuss this problem.

MR. HUBERT DELANEY

Mr. Hubert Delaney, 110 Lexington, complained about downtown being so dirty. He also said there is a lack of policemen patrolling the downtown area, and it is very dangerous to walk downtown. He said he wanted to know what the City is doing to improve the area.

Mayor Cockrell disagreed with Mr. Delaney and stated that downtown San Antonio is very safe relative to other major cities, and she walks in the downtown area every day.

76-23 The Clerk read the following letter:

April 30, 1976

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council  
City of San Antonio, Texas

Madam and Gentlemen:

The following petitions were received in my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

April 26, 1976

Petition submitted by Mr. Kenneth Beanland, Attorney, in behalf of his client, Mr. Sam Graves, 2402 Benrus Drive, regarding construction of a fence along the frontage of the property located at 2402 Benrus Drive.

April 28, 1976

Petition submitted by Mr. George L. Williams, 3030 Charter Crest, requesting permission to build a tennis court with appropriate fencing and lighting in his back yard, located at 3030 Charter Crest.

G. V. JACKSON, JR.  
City Clerk

\* \* \* \*

76-23 There being no further business to come before the Council,  
the meeting adjourned at 2:35 P.M.

\* \* \* \*

A P P R O V E D

*Lela Cockrell*

M A Y O R

ATTEST: *[Signature]*  
City Clerk