



**CITY OF SAN ANTONIO
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION (RFQ)
FOR
JOINT MASTER PLAN FOR THE ALAMO PLAZA HISTORIC DISTRICT
AND THE ALAMO COMPLEX
AMENDMENT #2**

April 30, 2015

Amendment #2 contains responses to questions received at the Pre-Submittal Conference on April 27, 2015, and questions received in writing.

I. QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS TO RFQ

1. Since the RFQ has been reissued, I was interested in who had submitted on the original submission that closed in March? Would you be able to release those names? Or how many firms responded.
Response: Eleven (11) firms responded to the RFQ that closed in March. The City is not releasing the names of those firms at this time through this Amendment. If you would to submit an open records request for this information, kindly refer to the web link: <http://www.sanantonio.gov/opengovernment>.
2. I would like clarification on the black out period for political contributions to candidates for city council or mayor for this solicitation.
Response: The black out period for political contributions begins April 30, 2015 through 30-days after City Council Awards the contract. Contract Award is anticipated on June 18, 2015.
3. While we are not pursuing this particular solicitation, the work included is the kind of work our firm pursues on occasion. However, I wasn't notified of the pursuit through the online system (SAePS). We have a registration and I have received other solicitations, but we didn't get this one for this solicitation. Would you help me sort this out so that we don't miss future opportunities?
Response: Solicitation emails received by vendors registered in the City of San Antonio's Central Vendor Registry are generated by the City's electronic procurement system (SAePS). The Transportation and Capital Improvements (TCI) Department does not yet utilize SAePS when procuring architectural, engineering, and construction-related services. However, TCI is planning to utilize SAePS in the future.
4. Although the project start date has been pushed back, the finish date has stayed the same (June 2016). Is there a reason for that – is there a reason for keeping that as a hard deadline?
Response: The City will propose an allocation in the 2017 bond program for infrastructure improvements and must adhere to the June 2016 deadline.
5. Were the first round proposals ever reviewed by the committee?
Response: Yes, the first round of proposals were issued to the committee. However, the proposals were not scored.
6. Will the City reconsider the two-page resume limitation?
Response: The two-page resume shall remain unchanged.

7. Will the City consider the four page limitation on Tab 13, Proposed Management Plan and Project Understanding?

Response: Yes, this section has been expanding to a ten (10) page limit.

8. Since I have a large team, will the City allow for the Prime firm to turn in the Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form (Form 3) and Litigation Disclosure Form (Form 4) and the Subconsultants at a later date?

Response: Yes, at minimum the Prime must complete the Discretionary Contracts Disclosure Form (Form 3) and Litigation Disclosure Form (Form 4). If the Prime is recommended for contract award the City may request these forms from the sub-consultants at that time.

| |
|-------------------------|
| END OF REVISIONS |
|-------------------------|

No other items, dates, or deadlines for this RFQ are changed.