

REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, CITY HALL, ON
THURSDAY, APRIL 1, 1976.

* * * *

The meeting was called to order at 9:30 A. M., by the presiding officer, Mayor Lila Cockrell, with the following members present: PYNDUS, BILLA, CISNEROS, BLACK, HARTMAN, ROHDE, TENIENTE, NIELSEN, COCKRELL; Absent: NONE.

76-15 The invocation was given by The Reverend Newton Cox, Alamo Heights Presbyterian Church.

76-15 Members of the City Council and the audience joined in the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States.

76-15 The minutes of the meeting of March 25, 1976 were approved.

76-15 CLASS FROM BLESSED SACRAMENT SCHOOL

Mayor Cockrell recognized and welcomed a class of fourth grade students from Blessed Sacrament Parish School who were visiting the meeting. Ms. Lori Mooney, instructor, accompanied them.

76-15 W.I.G.S.

Mayor Cockrell welcomed to the meeting a group of ladies who are members of the W.I.G.S. organization (Women Interested in Government in the Southeast sector of the City).

76-15 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,472

APPROVING AND SETTING THE ADJUSTMENTS TO CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND GAS SERVICE PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE 43862, AS AMENDED, FOR THE APRIL, 1976, BILLING CYCLE AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.

* * * *

The following discussion took place:

MR. DON THOMAS: Good morning, Mayor and Council members. I believe that we have sent you the ordinance outlining the fuel costs to be applied to the April bills. That is attached to the draft ordinance that you have. I might just point out very quickly that due to this recent high price of gas from Lo-Vaca, we now, unfortunately, have set a new record. This is the highest electric fuel adjustment, the highest gas cost adjustment that we've had to date. That's not a record that I'm particularly envious of. I just pointed out to you that it is that. Fortunately, during the month of April, the usage for gas drops compared to March and when you combine the higher adjustment with a lower usage, we would expect the bills on the average to be about seven percent less in April. That doesn't help a whole lot but it does result in that net effect.

April 1, 1976

el

I did include one additional document that did not go out with the packet. I believe it was passed around. I only included that to give you some additional background information for your own study or purpose to show you the 12 month record on both the usage as well as the fuel adjustment. In particular, I might say a word about the last sheet in this table. In talking to Mr. Spruce and some of the other executives at City Public Service, there has been a lot said about rate comparisons in the paper lately so we have provided you this month with this attachment just one simple rate comparison on one page. Now there's table after table of these but we thought we would provide this to you. It takes 500 kilowatt hours of electric use which is very similar to what usage is on the average this time of year and 5,000 cubic feet of gas and compares it with the major Texas cities and then five cities that we have selected across the country. These other five cities were selected to represent different regions of the United States.

Now we compute these bills each month and they could be provided to you on a regular basis if you would like to have them. I just brought them today to show you. Again, I think we see the same thing on these comparisons we're talked about before in that we're lower than the cities served by Lo-Vaca, in other parts of the country, we're a little lower, some, we're a little higher. So I thought I'd just mention that and that will just about complete the comments that I'd like to make.....

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: All right. Are there any questions? I might say I am encouraged that at least the Railroad Commission is reviewing the whole issue of some of these payback contracts. We don't know what the ultimate outcome on that will be but at least it's encouraging that they're beginning to look at some of these things that we have been asking them for months to take a closer look at that do impact the rate that is set by Lo-Vaca.

MR. THOMAS: We're very optimistic about that. As the Mayor well knows, perhaps the other Council members would know as well, there is a hearing on Monday, this following Monday, to take up the matter about the paybacks and all of the components going away and the cost of gas and, of course, as you pointed out in the Board meeting on Monday, we will be represented fully in that hearing.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Yes, Mr. Hartman.

MR. GLEN HARTMAN: Yes, Madam Mayor, I noticed that in our packets the petition by Mr. Terrence O'Rourke with regard to the anti-gas banking effort. What is to be the disposition of that - the instrument today, anything in particular?

MAYOR COCKRELL: We could discuss it later in the day. Since the gentleman is a candidate for office, it seems to me that the Council might have to review it very carefully from that point of view.

DR. HENRY CISNEROS: Mayor, on a slightly different subject related to the statement by policy, I note that a number of changes have occurred in the position of the Railroad Commission this year and, particularly, I was impressed with the Governor's stance related to the possible calling of a special session to deal with utility matters, particularly utility costs or costs to the consumers. Various schemes might be available to address that. We have in the past talked about in San Antonio some concerted policy effort, vis-a-vis our own Bexar County delegation, on matters affecting the City. It would seem to me that rather than talking in the abstract about the need for cooperation between the City and the State delegation, that this particular energy electrical situation that we face in San Antonio, our energy problem, would make a good specific subject for us to begin to sit down with our own Bexar County delegation whether or not there's going to be a special session. If there is a special session, obviously, we have some things that we would like to address during that special session. If there's not going to be

a special session, then it's not too early since it's eight months or so from when they're actually going to be going into a regular session to deal with some of these issues and rather than all of us go off in 13 different directions or how many legislators we have and a different direction for the City, it might make sense for us to sit down in a very deliberate, concerted fashion and begin to address San Antonio and it's energy problems from the point of view of what the City Council can do and more importantly, where the real power lies, what might be done for us in Austin a year from now or less than a year from now by co-operating. What I'm saying is that this is the specific area and specific subjects, and specific pieces of legislation, specific program, that we can begin to discuss now.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. I think that's a very valuable suggestion. The Council, of course, continues to review items from time to time. The City Public Service Board and its attorneys are monitoring and reviewing all these things but I think to sit down with the legislators and go over the whole field of range of a number of issues that impact the problem would be beneficial and to see wherein we agree on the approach.

REV. CLAUDE BLACK: As a related matter, I simply take advantage of the fact that we study rates here and try to see if we can address this question. We have been greatly concerned over the loss of employment in the major fields of the City. But I do not know whether or not we know how many small businesses have been really severely hurt by the utility rates. It seems to me that one of the contributing factors to a decision that this Council might make on or it might feed into other legislative bodies, is a study of the impact of these because I've talked with very small businessmen and they're constantly saying, "I don't know how long I can stay in business". I don't know how many of them have already gone out of business. Now we can count those being lost out in the field but how many are being lost in small businesses because of these increased rates. It seems to me that our economic development area, this would be a very significant area, for them to at least supply information in terms of what's happening at this level so that we can address our economic needs at the level of a small businessman. I know the calls that I get from people that are not necessarily in business but on fixed incomes and are having a terrific time with these rates and I think the same thing is true of those persons that are employing individuals.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. With the consent of the Council, I would like to direct this to the City staff to review how we may get at the kind of information that Reverend Black is requesting. I'm sure that some of this is already available in the community but it's a matter of knowing how it can be pulled together and we'll direct that to our staff. We also direct to the staff, with the consent of Council, the suggestion that has been made of setting up the joint meetings with the legislators for a thorough briefing of all the aspects of possible legislative remedy or legislative approaches to the problems of the City Public Service and its rate structure. Are there any other comments about the specific ordinance today? Yes, sir.

MR. HARTMAN: Madam Mayor, I would like to relate back to the fact that approximately six weeks ago this Council considered a memorandum I had sent to them with regard to identification of legislative areas of development. I think this Council was of the general consensus that this should indeed be undertaken by the City management, specifically City Attorney, to the identification of a member of the legal staff to work in that area. I would sort of like to say at this time I agree with Councilman Cisneros' suggestion that this would be an ideal place to start. But I think actually that the City Attorney has, in fact, been exploring other areas and I think that this would be an ideal time for the Council and staff to get together to begin identifying those specific legislative areas that we need to pursue because time is getting on and if we wait until the end of the year or the convening of the Texas Legislature, then it's too late. There is no way we can get anything into the hopper. If there should be a special session, we also need to begin thinking about that now so we'll be ready to have a piece of legislation to hand them rather than a generalized wish.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right. In connection with the specific ordinance before us today, may we have a motion?

MR. BOB BILLA: I move adoption of the ordinance.

MR. HARTMAN: I second the motion.

On roll call, the motion, carrying with it adoption of the ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

76-15

PRESENTATION OF CITATIONS TO THE MEMBERS
OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

Mayor Cockrell stated that the entire Council felt that the members of the Board of Equalization are to be commended for the outstanding performance of their duties. She presented the following Citations to each of them.

CITY OF SAN ANTONIO
(State of Texas)

Hereby Presents This

CITATION

to

LAWRENCE BERTETTI

CHARLES O. SCHEER

LAURO L. LOPEZ

IN RECOGNITION OF HIS OUTSTANDING SERVICE AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1975-76. HIS DEDICATION TO DUTY AND UNSWERVING DRIVE TO ATTAIN A GOAL OF EQUALITY IN ASSESSMENTS THROUGHOUT THE CITY HAVE ASSURED THE SUCCESS OF THE TAX REAPPRAISAL PROGRAM.

THE CITY COUNCIL EXPRESSES ITS SINCERE APPRECIATION FOR A JOB WELL DONE.

* * * *

The Mayor and members of the Council then congratulated Mr. Bertetti, Mr. Scheer, and Mr. Lopez.

Councilman Pyndus commended the Finance Department for their outstanding work in the tax evaluation program.

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Henry Nussbaum, Director of Convention and Visitors Bureau, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

April 1, 1976
el

-4-

AN ORDINANCE 46,473

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF THE SUM OF \$8,035.26 TO THE PHILADELPHIA SHERATON HOTEL AS THE CITY'S SHARE OF THE COST OF A RECEPTION FOR THE NATIONAL TOUR BROKERS ASSOCIATION.

* * * *

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mike Sexton, Library Director, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,474

APPROPRIATING THE AMOUNT OF \$7,000.00 IN THE 1970 LIBRARY IMPROVEMENT BOND FUND TO A SPECIAL ACCOUNT TO BE USED FOR THE PURCHASE OF MISCELLANEOUS LIBRARY EQUIPMENT WHERE THE UNIT COST OF THE EQUIPMENT IS UNDER \$3,000.00.

* * * *

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Tom Raffety, Director of Aviation, and after consideration, on motion of Dr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,475

MANIFESTING THE CONSENT OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO TO THE ASSIGNMENT OF THE SUBLEASE OF CERTAIN PREMISES AT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT FROM FOREST OIL CORPORATION TO FIELD DRILLING COMPANY.

* * * *

76-15 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,476

AMENDING ORDINANCE 46283 OF JANUARY 29, 1976, SO AS TO ADD AN ADDITIONAL \$38,000.00 TO THE SECOND YEAR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION, TO BE ADDED TO THE LINE ITEM ENTITLED "CONTINGENCIES AND/OR UNSPECIFIED LOCAL OPTION ACTIVITIES".

* * * *

Mr. Roy Montez, Assistant Director of Planning and Community Development, explained this proposed Ordinance. He stated that the City had been advised by HUD that an additional \$38,000 has been allocated. He recommended that this additional \$38,000 be placed in the line item of the program budget entitled "Contingencies and/or Unspecified Local Option Activities".

To a question by Dr. Cisneros, Mr. Montez said that these monies are eligible to be used in the Park Ranger radio communication problem, but suggested that Council approve this ordinance so that the application for the additional funds be forwarded to HUD as soon as possible.

Mr. Hartman suggested that a review be made of these additional funds so that the money will be properly allocated.

Mayor Cockrell asked that staff review the best method of bringing the information back to the Council.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Dr. Cisneros, the ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Nielsen.

76-15 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,477

APPROPRIATING \$46,000.00 FROM 1970 PARK BONDS FOR PURCHASE OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THE WILLOW SPRINGS GOLF COURSE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT.

* * * *

Mr. Ron Darner, Director of Parks and Recreation, explained the proposed ordinance. He stated that this ordinance appropriates \$46,000.00 from 1970 Park Bonds for purchase of materials to be used in the renovation of the Willow Springs Golf Course.

To a question by Mr. Pyndus, Mr. Darner said that these are not additional funds being allocated but simply set out the types of materials to be purchased.

Mr. Pyndus raised a question about a budget for operation of Pecan Valley Golf Course when it is purchased, to which Mr. Darner said that he would have to consider needed additional improvements at Pecan Valley in addition to a operational budget.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Billa, seconded by Mr. Teniente, the ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hartman, Nielsen.

76-15 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Members of the Administrative Staff, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Hartman.

AN ORDINANCE 46,478

ACCEPTING THE TERMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MINUTE ORDER NO. 71034 AND PROVIDING FOR THE DEPOSIT OF THE SUM OF \$563,118.03 TENDERED TO THE CITY BY SAID DEPARTMENT.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,479

CLOSING AND ABANDONING A PORTION OF POPLAR STREET, A PORTION OF NORTH COMAL STREET AND RIVAS ALLEY, WHICH RUNS EAST AND WEST THROUGH NEW CITY BLOCK 205, AND AUTHORIZING A QUITCLAIM DEED TO SAN ANTONIO DEVELOPMENT AGENCY FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$1.00.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,480

APPROPRIATING FROM CERTAIN FUNDS AMOUNTS IN THE TOTAL SUM OF \$2,205.50 IN PAYMENT FOR EXPENSES INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT #58-C; WEIR AVENUE DRAINAGE PROJECT #39G; MARTIN LUTHER KING STREET IMPROVEMENT (NEBRASKA STREET); U. S. 281 NORTH EXPRESSWAY; STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT #58-D; 24TH STREET IMPROVEMENT PROJECT; CUPPLES ROAD IMPROVEMENT PROJECT; STORM DRAINAGE PROJECT #69C; DAIRY QUEEN SUBDIVISION SANITARY SEWER PROJECT (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); LAKESIDE SUBDIVISION, UNITS 5 & 6 OFF-SITE AND ON-SITE SANITARY SEWER OUTFALL MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); SOUTH NEW BRAUNFELS IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS); AND CROWNHILL ACRES SUBDIVISION CULVERT MODIFICATION (MISCELLANEOUS EASEMENTS & DEDICATIONS).

* * * *

76-15SAN ANTONIO UNION JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT

The Clerk read a proposed ordinance closing and abandoning an alley in New City Block 1483 and Hedges Street from Mittman Street to South Walters Street, and authorizing a quitclaim deed to San Antonio Union Junior College District for the consideration of \$1.00.

Mr. W. S. Clark, Director of Land Acquisition and Right-of-Way, at the request of Mayor Cockrell reviewed the policy established by the Council in dealing with other political entities. It had previously been Council policy to give land to other agencies when needed. It appeared, however, that other agencies insisted upon changing fair market value when the City wished to acquire property from them. The present policy is to change fair market value for any land to be transferred to another agency.

Mr. Teniente questioned whether it would be legal to give land to another agency and asked the City Attorney to comment.

Mr. Jim Parker, City Attorney, said that the City could give land to another political agency provided the property meet certain criteria as set out in the statutes.

Mr. M. C. Gonzales and Mr. W. B. Conway, representing the San Antonio Junior College District, said that this land is to be used for the expansion of facilities at St. Phillips College where the facilities are very badly needed. The Board has already gone to great expense to move utilities and hoped that the City would cooperate in this endeavor by donating this property to the District.

April 1, 1976
el

After discussion, Mr. Rohde moved that the ordinance be approved and that the sale price set out in the ordinance be set at \$1.00. The motion was seconded by Mr. Pyndus and on roll call, the motion, carrying with it adoption of the following ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 46,481

CLOSING AND ABANDONING AN ALLEY IN NEW CITY BLOCK 1483 AND HEDGES STREET FROM MITTMAN STREET TO SOUTH WALTERS STREET, AND AUTHORIZING A QUITCLAIM DEED TO SAN ANTONIO UNION JUNIOR COLLEGE DISTRICT FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF \$1.00.

* * * *

Dr. Nielsen said that he was in opposition to changing City policy at this point and expressed the thought that such action would leave the staff with no policy or direction in this regard.

Mayor Cockrell said that in the future it would be Council policy to review each case and make decisions based on the merits of the cases.

76-15 The Clerk read the following Ordinance:

AN ORDINANCE 46,482

ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS OF SAN ANTONIO, CENTER FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH, TO PERFORM THE NECESSARY ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL INVESTIGATIONS ON THE ARCINIEGA TRACT, AND AUTHORIZING PAYMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$13,158.00.

* * * *

Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, explained the proposed ordinance which accepts the proposal of the University of Texas at San Antonio. This investigation is necessary since the tract is in a historical district. Once the diggings have been completed, any restrictions will be placed under the warranty deed.

To a question by Mayor Cockrell, Mr. Sueltenfuss responded that any time anything is declared a historical district archaeological diggings must be made prior to construction. It is part of the federal regulations. Mr. Sueltenfuss also stated that the proposal includes a written report, and he will make these available to the Council.

Mr. Pyndus spoke in opposition to the project because of the costs involved.

After consideration, on motion of Mr. Rohde, seconded by Mr. Billa, the Ordinance was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSTAIN: Pyndus; ABSENT: Nielsen.

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Cisneros, seconded by Mr. Pyndus, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,483

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH MRS. SOPHIE F. KALISKI FOR THE LEASE OF 40 ACRES OF LAND FOR A SANITARY LANDFILL.

* * * *

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. Mel Sueltenfuss, Director of Public Works, and after consideration on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Billa, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen.

AN ORDINANCE 46,484

AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF AN AMOUNT UP TO \$71,276.00 FROM GENERAL OBLIGATION STREET IMPROVEMENT BONDS OF 1970 TO THE CITY WATER BOARD FOR THE COST OF RELOCATIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS TO CITY WATER BOARD MAINS IN CONNECTION WITH THE WURZBACH ROAD STREET IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT BETWEEN FREDERICKSBURG ROAD AND VANCE JACKSON BOULEVARD.

* * * *

The following discussion took place:

MR. MEL SUELTFENFUSS: This is Unit 1 of the San Antonio Ranch which is over the recharge zone. It has met all of the requirements of the Texas Water Quality Board. There are people present from the subdivision if anybody has any questions.

MAYOR LILA COCKRELL: All right. I would like to just ask Mr. Wheatley - will you give us sort of what you see as the time table on this project.

MR. SEAGAL WHEATLEY: Certainly, Madam Mayor. I have brought with me today a packet of information for each of you which I would be happy to hand out at the end of this presentation but let me tell you briefly what's involved.

This project, of course, dates back to 1971 and since that time has had the review of 14 agencies. We've had five presentations at AACOG. Actually the City Council here and your predecessors have had three hearings on this project. Back in February of '72, we had two sessions here and finally, on February 17, 1972, after two sessions here and providing this Council with information that you wanted to have, we did enter into an agreement dated February 17, 1972 with the Council providing for cooperative arrangements for the development of this project.

In addition to the five AACOG reviews which have been held, and the three City Council sessions and the agreement, we also have had this project reviewed in 1972 on four occasions by an 18 member inter-disciplinary Council of which various departments of the City of San Antonio were very important participants. Those meetings were held from April to July in 1972. There were a number of federal, state and local and regional participants on it, and, actually, as far as I know for anyone who has wanted to develop over the Aquifer, this is with a voluntary effort and really I think provided a lot of information to review studies as to how this development should proceed. Of course, there have been two public hearings at the Texas Water Quality Board. The Department of Housing and Urban Development as part of the approval of this project wanted to have the Texas Water Quality Board agree to the project and the environmental control. HUD required us to come before you in 1972 in order reach that agreement.

In addition to those 14 public hearings then that took place over about a year and a half, the project, by virtue of a suit brought by the Sierra Club in February of 1972, was then reviewed and involved in litigation for three and a half years, most of which consisted appellate reviews in the federal courts. Judge Spears in 1972 after this suit was filed, we had a nine day trial challenging the technical or environmental aspects of the controls, he decided that the National Environmental Policy Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the legal requirements of the project had been met. He also found and I think it's rather important and in this material which I will give you are some of the quotations from the court review of this project relative to pollution where he held that the plaintiffs in the case had not produced any evidence that the project would pollute the Aquifer which, of course, was the key to the trials.

So in addition to the year and a half of agency review there was three and a half years of court review and, of course, when it was finally cleared last November, the developer since that time has now been going through the ordinary requirements that are imposed by law on us to begin development of the project. What you have before you today in connection with the briefing on this plat that we have filed represents the first efforts there.

Let me tell you briefly what is applied for in the nature of the plat. The unit for which the plat has been filed is what we call Unit 1. It consists of 237 residential lots which would be broken down as follows: 232 lots would be located on 58.2 acres. Those 232 lots would be single family residential. We also propose in the plat on another 35.2 acres to develop only three lots for single - for town-house residential development and on 29.4 acres to develop 2 other lots for multi-family residential. In this first package for approval, of course, there will only be 10.4 acres for commercial. We're going to set aside 13 acres for greenbelts which will consist primarily of pedestrian paths to walk, playgrounds for the children and what have you, and in addition there will be out of this total acreage, 35 acres for streets and easements. So of this original Unit 1, there is a total of 181.5 acres that will be developed, 248 lots involved for all types of uses.

The area of the project which, as you know, is quite large. It's about 8300 acres totally in the project. The location of this particular plat development is in the farthest northern part of the project. You may recall, we decided after doing the environmental studies that any development that took place should start at the north end of the project which is obviously the farthest point from the fault line and proceed development south so it would give us an opportunity to implement the court approved monitoring system and the wells. So the location of this development does conform to that.

Basically, there are two parts to this development and at this time it might be appropriate if I may start with here we go, I'll just pass out this package of material to you and refer you to the map which I think may help you understand what is involved in this particular plat. You'll find on the left hand side of the folder several maps and if I may, I would direct your attention to this particular map that says San Antonio Ranch, Unit 1, which shows you the streets and the layout of the proposed Unit 1. If you would be kind enough just to follow with me briefly, let me tell you generally what that will consist of. Unit 1 will have two distinct neighborhoods in it. You'll notice running through the middle of the project, if you'll hold your map this way you'll see it from north to south. This is Bandera Highway up in the upper right hand corner and, of course, the main street in this unit coming through will run generally east to west direction.

North of this main road is the unit the neighborhood which is called Cat Mountain which will be located on the north side of the main parkway road and everything south of that will be the other neighborhood which we're calling Wild Lake neighborhood and at the bottom of this map in the Wild Lake area we would plan to develop a 37-38 acre lake for recreational purposes for the neighbors that would be there. You'll notice the streets have been laid out. There are really not that many streets. We will have of the 13 acres of open space a greenbelt which will primarily be to maintain the quiet residential neighborhood which we propose there. There will be no frontage taken along this

parkway which runs through the middle of the project except that spaces of 1200 or 1400 feet to provide control for future traffic flow and also to allow those neighborhoods to maintain the quiet atmosphere there which we hope to have. Also, we're going to apply some rather unique concepts to the location of the water, electricity and gas. Of course, all of the utilities will be underground in this development. But along either side of this main roadway will be located these gas and electric utilities and water and what the engineers call the utili-door approach which I'm sure you're familiar with where you do combine as many of these utilities as you can and locate them in a location which will not disturb the neighborhood for servicing. So the utili-door approach will be part of our concept as planned for and, of course, the sewer lines which will be there will be located near this roadway also so that it will avoid tearing up the terrain as they are laid prior to development.

Now, also, of course, you may have seen in the newspapers - the Department of Housing and Urban Development has permitted us when the plat is approved to commence the construction of some model solar homes for a project which will be located just about in the center at this whole area and just slightly south of the main parkway road. We're excited about being able to participate in that experiment and see what will be involved. There will be three single-family residences involved in that solar energy demonstration project for those purposes.

So basically that will give you sort of a briefing on the functions of this plat and what's involved in Unit 1. I think you're all familiar with the litigation which has ensued. Of course, what we would like to see on the ranch - I know that the aquifer problem has been one that has had obviously a lot of well deserved attention and this Council, I think, has been quite conscientious in seeing what was being done there. What I would hope could come out of the San Antonio Ranch is that it does represent something that you all have not had occasion to be briefed on before and that is a court approved and closely reviewed system of development. Councilman Hartman and all of you, Mr. Cisneros, have had some very pointed questions of how do we, if we're going to permit development to occur, what type of scientific systems do we use to approach the problem. I'm not an engineer but I do know when I first began representing the Ranch back in '72, we had the same problem. We had 11 Ph.D's that were brought in to work on this project and we said how do we develop in a natural setting a project like this and how do you go about a system of methodology to determine what the Urban Development will do when it goes. They advised us on the methodology and scientific approach to that problem and, of course, what we did, I don't think other developers will or will not be required to do. But I do think in the Ranch Plan you do find some things that I hope will be of help to you. For example, when we first went out there, we had geologists go out and spend a year and a half man years on site mapping almost literally every foot of the area as to where the Edwards outcrop is. We also determined the runoff. We measured the quantity of runoff that came on the Ranch property of about 2500 acre feet a year. We determined the time and speed and directions of the water. Then we went to Colonies North which represented a similar area and I remember we had two geologists every time a dark cloud would come over we would run them out to Colonies North to get first flush samples which obviously do have a lot of things in them but you are very interested in knowing about. Then we had the engineers transfer the data from a comparable urban area to the San Antonio Ranch Geology which resulted in these 11 Ph.D's setting up a very extensive well system,

April 1, 1976

-12-

lm

deep well, shallow well and the water table where development should and should not take place on the property and think there was some very interesting conclusions that came out of this.

Of course, as to the particular Ranch site, we found that about 87 percent of every drop of water that hits, for example, during a rain, is either evapotranspired. It is either absorbed when it hits the rocks which do have a certain degree of temperature to them. Other portions of the rain water were absorbed and used by the trees and vegetation which are on the property. So we then found that we had about 13 or 14 percent of the rain water left to account for and we wanted to know the direction and where it went. We found interestingly enough at least on the Ranch property that rain water that falls and hits where the Edwards outcrops, it hits what is known in geology as lateral bedding plains so that the water that would hit and go under the surface would hit a lateral bedding plain and flow by gravity almost 95 percent of the time into some of the dry creek beds which you know are very characteristic out there and only flow when we have a little flash shower. So we found as a result of these studies that we could by monitoring the stream beds by bringing in top soil where it may be required a system to monitor and know what the development is doing and so maybe I have given you more than you asked for here but I wanted you to know that we stand willing to set up and work with the Council any way we can to in your study let you have the benefit of ours and see if this couldn't be a viable system whereby developers who do want to build and are permitted to do so out there would have standards to work for.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you very much Mr. Wheatley. It has been the policy of the Council that we receive this information for the Council's benefit and advice to be kept apprised of what is going on. Are there any other questions before we simply refer it then back to the Planning Commission? Yes.

DR. D. FORD NIELSEN: I just had one, Seagal. In our brief conversation we had, not too long ago, I asked you to check the possibility of, relative to at least one of the services that the City would be directly involved in, namely water supply, what the prospects would be in terms of the, granted it would be some new customers, but the short term general benefit of the tremendous investment that the City Water Board has to make in that area is a high capital front end cost and I ask you, I haven't heard anymore, what would be the prospect of the reassessment. I realize you have got a contract and all that sort of thing but re-establishing some of the financial perimeters in that thing to help share more in those tremendous front end costs that the City Water Board is now involved in.

MR. WHEATLEY: Since we've met, I have discussed that with my client obviously, as you may recall, the City Council in '71 wanted us to prepare a special report by accountants and CPA's as to the benefits of the project tax-wise to the City. And the Council, as a matter of fact, would not approve the project at that time until we did present that report, and that has been done. What I would suggest that we would do from this point would be for me to go back and talk to the experts who did those studies for the City and see if that particular point that I can get to you which I will be happy to do any update on that particular point.

DR. NIELSEN: It just looks like we are building the system out there that is going to eventually supply water to, I don't know, 60 to 80 thousand were the rough estimates way back. But because of the building cost and reduced market demand right now and I don't know for how long, at least for a short while perhaps, we are making a tremendous investment relative to 60 to 80 thousand people and the first plat, I don't know for how many units that might be of people, but it

MR. WHEATLEY: It's 237 lots.

DR. NIELSEN: Out of the potential of what was about 5,000 altogether you were projecting for the whole, that's a small percentage and that's a tremendous capital investment we made. I would hope that either you all or the Water Board or somebody put another pencil to it to see if we can't share more fully in this tremendous investment.

MR. WHEATLEY: We'll be pleased to do it.

MR. HARTMAN: What you are in effect saying is to come up with new revised growth pattern. Yeah, I agree, I think that does have real pertinence with regard to other,..... the whole matter of capital improvements that the City Water Board is looking at. I think that would be extremely helpful.

MR. WHEATLEY: We currently - we always update our figures, we have some (inaudible) you know, constantly recalculating market demands, costs, land values, let me get something to you, gentlemen, on that and if you need any further information, we will be glad to do it. And I'll talk to the City Water Board.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Thank you. Any other questions?

MR. HARTMAN: I have talked to Mr. Wheatley and others to some extent over this matter of Ranch Town control system and although, of course, it was my first option that nobody would build over the Recharge Zone, but that I realize that's impracticable I think that this represents indeed about a complete a look as we've seen thus far with regards to matters of control of urban development over the Recharge Zone, and it was on this basis that I felt that until the City had completed its study that I would hope the criteria that were developed with Ranch Town would be followed as an interim guide. It has received a great deal of attention and work and I think to the extent that it addresses that, this situation in that area. It certainly serves as an interim model that I would hope that would be used for any construction until we come up with our own, you know, until our own study is completed and that was the basis for the wish that I indicated a couple of months ago.

MAYOR COCKRELL: Any other comments? Mr. Pyndus.

MR. PYNDUS: Yes, if you, this is an observation, not a question, so I won't start a debate. Some of the regulations of the Texas Water Quality Board, to me, are "iffy" with regards to enforcement and in all candor does the requirement for lawn fertilizer to be restricted within the subdivision by the developer providing deed restrictions to prohibit the use of highly soluble nitrate fertilizers. And also, the minimum of six inches of soil thickness and the practicability of handling that is always in my mind.

780
MR. WHEATLEY: Let me focus on that for a moment please. Actually, the Texas Water Quality Board's hearings and the order for the whole Recharge Zone are in many ways a by product of HUD's work with the developer on this project. You see the developer here is not tied to the TWQB order as other developers are, he is even more tied to it, because of this. We have a contract with HUD which sets out in great detail all of these various items you are talking about. It's called the General Development Plan. HUD required TWQB to enforce those controls against the Ranch. When the state of the act began to develop and people focused on the Aquifer then the TWQB came back and made a general wide up-dated Edwards order which applies to everybody as well as us. But we are bound in addition by the contract with HUD to do that and also an agreement that TWQB has with HUD to do that. So our requirements are not discretionary. TWQB has no discretion on specific items that in the general TWQB order they have discretion on. You know there are many items in there that say that the TWQB may or may not require, I wish we had it that easy. We have agreed to do those things and are bound by a contract.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, any other questions? Yes, Dr. Nielsen.

DR. NIELSEN: I heard that the TWQB dropped that pellet size or type of fertilizer requirement from all their regulations.

MR. WHEATLEY: Not that I am aware of.

MR. HARTMAN: Well there was some discussion about the enforceability, Mel, as you recall. But it was one of those that was recommended by the AACOG review on the thing, you know, there is no way you can enforce that.

MR. WHEATLEY: Well, we have agreed not to do that by contract, so

MR. HARTMAN: Right. I think the initial development aspect of it, you know, where it has any meaning at all and then beyond that, well, there is no way you could do it. I do say that this represents a very, I think, close approach, I guess that's the word I could use with regard to looking in to what can be done in a very sensitive area, and I think it's a very definite first step.

MAYOR COCKRELL: All right, are there any other questions? If not, we thank you, Mr. Wheatley, for making this report to us.

MR. WHEATLEY: Thank you.

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. James Parker, City Attorney, and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: Billa.

AN ORDINANCE 46,485

APPROPRIATING THE SUM OF ONE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED SIXTY SEVEN AND 50/100 DOLLARS OUT OF STREET IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970, FUND NO. 41-002, INDEX CODE NO. 509208, IN SATISFACTION OF THE AWARD OF SPECIAL COMMISSIONERS AND COSTS IN CONDEMNATION CAUSE NO. C-1290 AND DIRECTING PAYMENT BE MADE TO THE COUNTY CLERK OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS.

* * * *

76-15 The following Ordinances were read by the Clerk and explained by Mr. John Brooks, Director of Purchasing, and after consideration, on motion made and duly seconded, were each passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 46,486

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF ELLIOTT PAINT COMPANY OF TEXAS TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH RUBBER BASE STREET MARKING PAINT FOR A TOTAL OF \$4,450.00, LESS 2% - 10 DAYS.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,487

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BIDS OF ALAMO IRON WORKS, CRANE SUPPLY COMPANY, AND THE ROHAN COMPANY TO FURNISH THE CITY WITH GAS SERVICE PIPE AND ACCESSORIES FOR A TOTAL OF \$3,661.12.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,488

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BIDS OF BRO-DART, INC. AND GAYLORD BROS., INC. TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH RECORD CASES AND HOLDERS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$4,269.20.

* * * *

AN ORDINANCE 46,489

ACCEPTING THE LOW QUALIFIED BID OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL CORPORATION TO FURNISH THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO WITH DIFFUSERS FOR A NET TOTAL OF \$51,384.00.

* * * *

76-15 The following Ordinance was read by the Clerk and after consideration, on motion of Mr. Pyndus, seconded by Mr. Hartman, was passed and approved by the following vote: AYES: Pyndus, Billa, Cisneros, Black, Hartman, Rohde, Teniente, Nielsen, Cockrell; NAYS: None; ABSENT: None.

AN ORDINANCE 46,490

APPOINTING MRS. KAREN OWSOWITZ TO THE
ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

* * * *

76-15

NORTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Councilman Nielsen suggested that City Manager Sam Granata write a letter to Mr. Ed Cody, Superintendent of the Northside School District, asking what general area the proposed new high school will serve and what basic density patterns does he foresee.

Councilman Hartman stated that the Northside School District should be apprised that the City is developing a master plan and there should be communication between the school district and the City in view of the sensitive nature of developing over the Recharge Zone. The school district should also be officially advised of the pending study of the Aquifer by a consultant.

After discussion, Mayor Cockrell summarized as follows:

"We will ask the City Manager to communicate with the School Superintendent on the basis of a staff level gathering or exchange of information which will then be conveyed to the Council and then, as Mayor, I will communicate with the Chairman of the School Board advising formally of the Council's status at this point in the development of the Comprehensive Master Plan and some of the other factors that have been mentioned and simply again offer to make any information that we are gathering available to them as they desire."

The Council concurred with the Mayor's statement.

76-15 The Council recessed for lunch at 11:30 and reconvened at 1:35 P. M.

76-15

CITIZENS TO BE HEARD

GAY IN SAN ANTONIO

Mrs. Janie Koenig appeared before the Council again to protest the use of federal funds to sponsor the "Gay in San Antonio" Conference. She said that she was not seeking a formal resolution but a statement of support. She introduced Mrs. Susie Crowley, Mrs. Hattie P. Co. Mrs. Betty Spickler and Mrs. Joyce Hendrix who accompanied her to the meeting.

Councilman Hartman said that while he doesn't agree with this type of forum, he does recognize the right of assembly and could not stop the meeting. He also expressed doubts about the use of federal funding for this type of meeting.

Councilman Pyndus said that he disagreed with the project and he thought it was wasteful and should not be funded by public funds. He asked that his statement be included in the record. His statement is as follows:

"Several people appeared before the Council today protesting the use of federal funds in sponsoring the "gay" conference to be held in San Antonio in May. While it is not my intention to become embroiled in debate with regard to the subject of homosexuality, I have been known to object strongly to current practices in which our tax dollars are squandered and wasted.

It is very easy for me to lend my complete support and voice against using taxpayers' monies to sponsor a gay conference in our city. If open dialogue is desired, let it be at the interested individual's expense."

Councilman Nielsen said that this conference may be an educational opportunity for people to learn about a particular problem and requested that the full text of Mr. Logan Stewart's statements on KTSA radio be put in the record of this meeting. His statement is as follows:

"My commentary this morning asks the question: Is San Antonio gone mad? A famous poen alluding to the secret marching societies of yale contends: "Never draw attention to the things you must not mention". Thus it is, for a news commentator, very dangerous indeed to appear aligned with any cause that does not have majority public support. But a sense of outrage prevents me keeping any longer silent on this so called "Gay Conference" apparently escalating into a community-dividing issue.

Intended as a serious, scientific symposium under partial aegis of the prestigious American Issues Forum, the conference has been insinuated into some sort of a bizarre, x-rated happening. The funding controversy merely providing a vehicle for opponents to develop inflammatory arguments. And, it is to that danger I address myself. It is this outbreak of hatred devoid of Christian charity, understanding, compassion and brotherhood that appalls me.

The venomous remarks made to City Council, Commissioners' Court and at news conferences of self-investiture, have so seeded the city with that from which the harvest can only be a further tearing at the fabric of communal life already rendered asunder by the Aquifer debate, utility scandals and a long history of devisiveness: Neighborhood pitted against neighborhood.

In this present affair, we are witness to the same sick-syndrome which once lynched blacks and degenerated Mexican Americans. Here again is the mentality that once burned witches and still burns books. The actions of the downtown hotel in refusing news conference accomodation to the representatives of the proposed conference is reminiscent of Jews barred from gentile accomodations; blacks banned from white establishments.

The blatant unconstitutionality of all this must surely occur to every thinking citizen. Here, too, is hypocrisy. One opponent to the conference says she 'fears it will give San Antonio a bad name'. Yet this same person saw no such danger in gathering petitions of support for Richard Nixon while he was otherwise occupied obstructing justice. She further manufactured regrettable nation-wide publicity for our city in presenting those lists to Nixon thus

implying, quite falsely, that San Antonio supported Nixon's corrupt administration. Her Jekyll and Hyde Approach to morality should make her present protestations suspect.

Others, of an apparent fundamentalist persuasion would deny the gay community the same constitutional guarantees they demand for themselves. Our founding fathers, too, were Christians, but in their wisdom they did not make the Bible and its injunctions the law of the land. It is, after all, like other religious philosophies, optional. But freedom, under the Constitution, is not optional, it is guaranteed.

In the matter of funding, another double standard is invoked. Opponents contend tax monies should not be used for that which they consider immoral. On that premise it could be argued we should not use tax money to educate, give day and health care to children born out of wedlock since they are the product of immorality. Such reasoning of itself is immoral.

Apart from the minuscule resultant arrived at by dividing \$5,000 by 200 million people, I suggest any conference, any forum that might conceivably contribute to a better understanding of any section of our society, no matter how alienated from prevailing norms it might be, is well worth the money. There will be those who will interpret this commentary as a defense of homosexuality. If so, they missed the point. I make no case for nor against homosexuality. But I voice a defense of all that great wave of American humanity that has suffered intolerance and discrimination at the hands of an unsympathetic majority in defiance of the Constitution of this Republic.

In this Bicentennial Year, which the American Issues Forum celebrates, we need appropriately to remember these words of Abraham Lincoln: "We are not enemies but friends. We must not be enemies. Though passion may have strained, it must not break our bonds of affection."

Mayor Cockrell asked that the television commentary of Reverend Jimmy Allen also be included in the meeting. His statement is as follows:

"The City Council this week heard citizen appeals to go on record opposing the use of tax funds for financing a conference on the problems of communication between lesbian homosexuals and the straight community as part of the American Issues Forum commemorating the Bicentennial. The conference is scheduled April 30 through May 1 in our city and has already been the subject of considerable debate. The debate is centered in the use of \$5,000 of tax money to finance it, the use of the Bicentennial heritage as an occasion for it, and the image of the city projected out of it. Of these objections, the most serious is that tax money designed to commemorate the best of American heritage should be used to provide a platform for militant lesbian speakers to defend their lifestyle and blame society's attitudes for their problems. The American nation was founded with the idealism of the Judeo-Christian perspective as its dominant stream of influence. The first century world in which that message was launched was a society of militant defense of and practice of homosexual lifestyles. These lifestyles were challenged and rejected by the very value system which we are now celebrating in our nation's birthday. To use that birthday celebration as an occasion for conferences on how to bring a greater degree of social approval on such practices is an incredible and ironic turn of events. The Council should oppose such an approach to the problem.

Mayor Cockrell said that she doesn't feel that this is a suitable subject for discussion as a Bicentennial program and objected to the use of this forum for this purpose.

Mr. Billa also expressed his opposition to this conference.

Reverend Black stated that the issue of homosexuality has been a moral issue, a medical issue and now an optional issue. He said there should be an arena for public study and public debate of this subject and would not object to a public discussion of the matter.

The following citizens then appeared to speak in opposition to the conference:

Mrs. Mary Hicks, Association of W's and Eagle Forum

Mrs. Foncie Bravo, Women for Constitutional Government

Mrs. Susie Crowley, Archdiocesan Council of Catholic Women

Pastor Joe West, Pastor Town East Baptist Church and
President of the Town East Christian Academy

Dr. Claud J. Bonam, Pastor, Huisache Avenue Baptist Church

Rev. William E. Fortson, Pastor, Grace Baptist Temple

Rev. Michael R. Martin, Temple Baptist Church

Rev. Cecil Carnes, Pastor, Richmond Avenue Baptist Church

Rev. Jimmy L. Swearingen, Pastor of Harmony Hills Baptist
Church

* * * *

The discussion ended with no official action being taken.

MR. JERRY W. GEIGER

Mr. Jerry W. Geiger, Chairman, Executive Committee for Nob Hill Apartments Residents Association, appeared before the Council. He read a prepared statement requesting the Council to prevent apartment managers from converting commercial master meters to individually metered apartments. He also suggested that apartments be placed under a new rate category at City Public Service since the cost of providing electricity to apartments is lower because of common usage of transmission facilities. (A copy of Mr. Geiger's statement is included with the papers of this meeting.)

Mayor Cockrell asked that this statement be turned over to the City staff for a review and analysis and any comment by the Legal Department. She also asked that the matter be reviewed by O'Brien and Gere, the City's rate consultants.

MR. ROBERT THOMPSON

Mr. Robert Thompson, President of the Public Employees Council of San Antonio and Bexar County, presented a resolution in support of the South Texas Nuclear Project which was unanimously adopted at a conference of the Public Employees Council of San Antonio, Bexar County. (A copy of the resolution is included with the papers of this meeting.)

TREY ELLISON

Mr. Trey Ellison spoke concerning the recent energy symposium. He also urged that single member districts be adopted in the City.

Mayor Cockrell explained that in November, 1974, the matter of single member districts was turned down by the voters in the City.

KARL WURZ

Mr. Karl Wurz, 820 Florida, read a prepared statement continuing his opposition to general obligation bonds. He made reference to articles in "American City Magazine" in which gives illustrations of bond issues and "pay as you go" projects. (A copy of Mr. Wurz' statement is included with the papers of this meeting.)

Mayor Cockrell was obliged to leave the meeting and Mayor Pro Tem Teniente presided.

INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Mr. Bill McLeard, owner of property in close proximity to International Airport again spoke to the Council requesting a report on the proposed airport expansion.

Other property owners speaking were:

Mr. Henry F. Hanz
Mr. Milton A. Jonas
Mr. Curtis Klein

Mr. Tom Raffety, Director of Aviation, said that Federal Aid to Aviation bills are going to a compromise committee later in April. It is expected that there will be rather fast action in Congress once the bill comes out of committee.. Hopefully, in May it will be known how much funds will be available for acquisition of land. He explained further that he had recommended that \$700,000.00 be included in the forthcoming bond issue for this purpose. He promised to advise the affected property owners as soon as possible. He emphasized that no "freeze" has been placed on these properties.

76-15 The Clerk read the following letter:

March 26, 1976

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of San Antonio, Texas

Madam and Gentlemen:

The following petition was received in my office and forwarded to the City Manager for investigation and report to the City Council.

March 25, 1976

Petition submitted by Mr. Terence L. O'Rourke, of O'Rourke, Lawler & Coleman, The Old Cotton Exchange, 202 Travis, Number 310, Houston, Texas, 77002, requesting that a protest be made to the Texas Railroad Commission to terminate "banking" deals by the Lo-Vaca Gathering Company.

/S/ G. V. JACKSON, Jr.
City Clerk

* * * * *

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 3:30 P. M.

A P P R O V E D

Lila Cockrell
M A Y O R

ATTEST:

G. V. Jackson, Jr.
C I T Y C L E R K

April 1, 1976

lin